



# भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित  
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० २८] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, जुलाई १३, १९६८/आषाढ़ २२, १८९०  
No. 28] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, JULY 13, 1968/ASADHA 22, 1890

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

नोटिस

NOTICE

नीचे लिखे भारत के असाधारण राजपत्र १ जुलाई, १९६८ तक प्रकाशित किये गये :—

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published up to the 1st July 1968 :—

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
222	S.O. 2317, dated 27th June, 1968.	Ministry of Finance	Amendments to the notification No F. 3/56/65-G.C. II., dated 20th December, 1965.
223	S. O. 2318, dated 29th June, 1968.	Do.	Authorising expenditure from the consolidated Fund of the State of Bihar.
224	S. O. 2319, dated 29th June, 1968.	Do.	Appointing all officers of Central Excise, of and above the rank of sub-Inspectors and all officers of Customs of and above the rank of Preventive Officers, as Gold Control Officers.
	S. O. 2320, dated 29th June, 1968.	Do.	Authorising all Gold Control Officers to exercise all or any of the powers which any officer of Central Excise or of customs was authorised by the Administrator.
	S. O. 2321, dated 29th June, 1968.	Do.	Empowering all Gold Control Officers of and above the rank of Superintendent of Central Excise to enter and search any premises, vaults, lockers or any other place.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
225	S. O. 2322, dated 29th June, 1968.	Ministry of Finance	Delegation of the powers of adjudication, confiscation and imposition of penalty to the Gold Control Officers mentioned in the table therein.
226	S. O. 2323, dated 29th June, 1968.	Ministry of Home Affairs	Further amendment to the notification No. S. O. 1124, dated 29th March, 1965.
227	S. O. 2324, dated 29th June, 1968.	Do.	Further modifications in the Punjab University Act, 1947 (Punjab Act 7 of 1947).
228	S. O. 2325, dated 1st July, 1968.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Approval of the Film as specified therein.
229	S. O. 2326, dated 1st July, 1968.	Ministry of Commerce.	Corrigendum to S. O. 372, dated 27th January, 1968.
230	S. O. 2327, dated 1st July, 1968	Do.	Corrigendum to S. O. 312, dated 19th January, 1968.
231	S. O. 2328, dated 1st July, 1968.	Ministry of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Cooperation.	Amendment to notification S. O. 2155, dated 1st June, 1968.

ऊपर लिखे प्रसाधारण राजपत्रों की प्रतियाँ प्रकाशन प्रबन्धक, सिविल लाइन्स, दिल्ली के नाम मांगपत्र भेजने पर भेज दी जाएंगी। मांगपत्र प्रबन्धक के पास इन राजपत्रों के जारी होने की तारीख से 10 दिन के भीतर पहुँच जाने चाहिए।

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

### भाग II—खण्ड 3—उपखण्ड (ii)

#### PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(सभा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (संघ क्षेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय प्राधिकरणों द्वारा जारी किए गए विधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएँ।

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

#### ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

New Delhi, the 29th June 1968

S.O. 2443.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13A of the Representation of the People Act, 1950 (43 of 1950), the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Madras hereby nominates Shri H. K. Ghazi, Special Officer for Wakfs and Ex-Officio additional Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Department, as the Chief Electoral Officer for the State of Madras with effect from the 1st July, 1968.

[No. 154/7/68.]

## ORDERS

*New Delhi, the 21st June 1968*

**S.O. 2444.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Gurdev Singh, Village and P. O. Longowal, Tehsil Sangrur, District Sangrur a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Sangrur Parliamentary constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses in the manner required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, after considering the representation made by the said candidate, the Election Commission is further satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Gurdev Singh to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. PB-HP/12/67(5).]

**S.O. 2445.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Gurdial Singh, House No. 345, Block No. VIII, Brown Road, Ludhiana a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Sangrur Parliamentary constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses in the manner required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, after considering the representation made by the said candidate the Election Commission is further satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Gurdial Singh to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. PB-HP/12/67(6).]

*New Delhi, the 27th June 1968*

**S.O. 2446.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Awachar Sakharan Hari. At and Post Washim Deo Peth, Tq. Washim District Akola (Maharashtra) a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Buldana constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses in the manner required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any good reason or explanation for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Awachar Sakharan Hari to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this Order.

[No. MT-HP/16/67.]

*New Delhi, the 1st July 1968*

**S.O. 2447.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Damodar Rao, Advocate, Adilabad, (Andhra Pradesh), a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from 35-Adilabad constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses in the manner required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidate, even after due notice, has not given any reason or explanation for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Damodar Rao to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. AP-HP/35/67.]

By Order,

K. S. RAJAGOPALAN, Secy.

#### ORDERS

*New Delhi, the 29th June 1968*

**S.O. 2448.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Somar Mochi of Village Chhariari Bari, P.O. Bhathar, District Patna (Bihar), a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Nalanda constituency has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidate, even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Somar Mochi to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-HP/33/67(38).]

*New Delhi, the 2nd July 1968*

**S.O. 2449.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Kameshwar Prasad Sinha, "Ashok Bhawan", Pirmohani (Kadam-Kuan), Patna-3 (Bihar), a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Pupri constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses in the manner required by the Representation of the People, Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, after considering the representation made by the said candidate, the Election Commission is further satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Kameshwar Prasad Sinha to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-HP/12/67(40).]

By order,

A. N. SEN, Secy.

#### MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

*New Delhi, the 20th June 1968*

**S.O. 2450.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (1) of Article 258 of the Constitution, the President hereby entrusts to the Government of Punjab with the latter's consent, the functions of the Central Government under the explanation to Section 25 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (26 of 1881)

subject to the condition that notwithstanding this entrustment, the Central Government may itself exercise the said functions should it deem fit to do so in any case.

[No. 39/1/68-Jud.III.]

K. THYAGARAJAN, Dy. Secy.

*New Delhi, the 4th July 1968*

**S.O. 2451.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 492 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898 (5 of 1898), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri P. P. Khambatta as a Public Prosecutor for conducting the prosecution of case No. RC.6/EOW/66/Bombay against S/Shri D. H. Walcott and J. C. Done in the Court of Sessions for Greater Bombay, Bombay.

[No. 225/6/68-AVD-II.]

R. C. JOSHI, Under Secy.

#### **CORRIGENDUM**

*New Delhi, the 3rd July 1968*

**S.O. 2452.**—In the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Home Affairs S.O. 1959, dated the 8th June, 1968 published at page 2671 of the Gazette of India Extraordinary, Part II—Section 3—Sub-Section (ii), dated the 8th June, 1968—

For "Shri Barajakanta Mishra"

read "Shri Barada Kanta Mishra"

[No. F. 43/34/67-Poll.I(B).]

G. K. ARORA, Dy. Secy.

#### **MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS**

*New Delhi, the 3rd July 1968*

**S.O. 2453.**—In pursuance of clause (9) of Section 2 of the Diplomatic and Consular Officers (Oaths and Fees) Act, 1948, the Central Government hereby authorise Shri P. K. Nayar, Senior Executive Officer in the Indian High Commission, London to perform the duties of a "Consular Agent", with immediate effect, until further orders.

[No. T.4330/1/68.]

S. K. CHATTERJEE, Under Secy.

#### **MINISTRY OF FINANCE**

**(Department of Economic Affairs)**

*New Delhi, the 2nd July 1968*

**S.O. 2454.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of section 9 of the said Act shall not apply to the Tanjore Permanent Bank Ltd., Tanjore, in respect of the property held by it at Cuddalore, till the 13th August, 1969.

[No. F. 15(21)-BC/68.]

## S.O. 2455.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India as on the 21st June, 1968.

## BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
Capital paid up . . . . .	5,00,00,000	Notes . . . . .	21,45,89,000
		Rupee Coin . . . . .	4,25,000
Reserve Fund . . . . .	80,00,00,000	Small Coin . . . . .	4,73,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund . . . . .	131,00,00,000	Bills Purchased and Discounted :—	
		(a) Internal . . . . .	..
		(b) External . . . . .	..
		(c) Government Treasury Bills . . . . .	125,96,29,300
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund . . . . .	25,00,00,000	Balances Held Abroad* . . . . .	122,62,73,000
		Investments** . . . . .	288,47,45,000
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund . . . . .	30,00,00,000	Loans and Advances to :—	
		(i) Central Government . . . . .	..
		(ii) State Governments @ . . . . .	53,14,29,000

## Deposits :—

## (a) Government

## (i) Central Government

88,41,23,000

## (ii) State Governments

5,65,33,000

## (b) Banks

## (i) Scheduled Commercial Banks

147,25,65,000

## (ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks

6,32,53,000

## (iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks

1,28,47,000

## (iv) Other Banks

20,31,000

## (c) Others

323,16,95,000

## Bills Payable

29,92,79,000

## Other Liabilities

130,30,70,000

Rupees

1003,53,96,000

## Loans and Advances to :—

## (i) Scheduled Commercial Banks†

111,51,04,000

## (ii) State Co-operative Banks††

136,17,42,000

## (iii) Others

4,24,40,000

## Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund :—

## (a) Loans and Advances to :—

## (i) State Governments

31,69,58,000

## (ii) State Co-operative Banks

13,10,26,000

## (iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks

..

## (b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures

7,93,62,000

## Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund :—

## Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks

6,17,10,000

## Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund :—

## (a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank

6,08,92,000

## (b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank

## Other Assets

74,85,99,000

Rupees

1003,53,96,000

\*Includes Cash and Short-term Securities.

\*\*Excluding investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. 77,01,95,000 advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under section 17(4) (c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 26th day of June, 1968.

## ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department	21,45,89,000		Gold Coin and Bullion :—		
Notes in Circulation	<u>3326,19,14,000</u>		(a) Held in India	115,89,25,000	
Total Notes issued		3347,65,03,000	(b) Held outside India		
			Foreign Securities	<u>206,42,00,000</u>	
			TOTAL		322,31,25,000
			Rupree Coin		72,29,10,000
			Government of India Rupree Securities		2953,04,68,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper		
TOTAL LIABILITIES		<u>3347,65,03,000</u>	TOTAL ASSETS		<u>3347,65,03,000</u>

Dated the 26th day of June, 1968.

L. K. JHA,  
Governor.

[No. F. 3(3)-BC/68.]



New Delhi, the 5th July 1968

S.O. 2456.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India as on the 28th June, 1968

RANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
Capital Paid Up . . . .	5,00,00,000	Notes . . . . .	31,41,47,000
		Rupee Coin . . . . .	1,72,000
Reserve Fund . . . .	80,00,00,000	Small Coin . . . . .	3,12,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund . . . .	131,00,00,000	Bills Purchased and Discounted :—	
		(a) Internal . . . . .	..
		(t) External . . . . .	..
		(e) Government Treasury Bills . . . . .	186,12,85,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund . . . .	25,00,00,000	Balances Held Abroad* . . . . .	107,71,68,000
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund . . . .	30,00,00,000	Investments** . . . . .	243,45,68,000
		Loans and Advances to : . . . .	
		(i) Central Government . . . . .	..
		(k) State Governments@ . . . . .	22,60,00,000
Deposits— . . . . .		Loans and Advances to :— . . . .	
(a) Government— . . . . .		(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks† . . . . .	103,48,29,000
(i) Central Government . . . . .	58,29,59,000	(H) State Co-operative Banks†† . . . . .	148,74,83,000
		(H) Others . . . . .	3,33,40,000

LIABILITIES		ASSETS	
	Rs.		Rs.
		Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—	
(ii) State Governments	7,94,40,000	(a) Loans and Advances to :—	
		(i) State Governments	31,69,16,000
		(ii) State Co-operative Banks	16,46,77,000
		(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks	..
(b) Banks—		(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures	8,00,02,000
(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks	151,40,92,000	Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund—	
(ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	10,72,09,000	Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks	6,14,34,000
(iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	82,33,000		
(iv) Other Banks	42,11,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—	
(c) Others	324,58,76,000	(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank	6,08,92,000
Bills Payable	32,00,94,000	(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank	
Other Liabilities	132,88,47,000	Other Assets	74,77,36,000
Rupees	990,09,61,000	Rupees	990,09,61,000

\*Includes Cash, Fixed Deposit and Short-term Securities.

\*\*Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@ Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

†Includes Rs. 67,00,54,000 advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under Section 17(4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 3rd day of July, 1968.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 28th day of June, 1968

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department . . . .	31,41,47,000		Gold Coin and Bullion:—		
Notes in circulation . . . .	3298,63,99,000		(a) Held in India . . . .	115,89,25,000	
Total Notes issued . . . .		3330,05,46,000	(b) Held outside India . . . .	..	
			Foreign Securities . . . .	206,42,00,000	
			TOTAL . . . .		322,31,25,000
			Rupee Coin] . . . .		74,69,53,000
			Government of India Rupee Securities		2933,04,68,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper . . . .		..
Total Liabilities . . . .		3330,05,46,000	Total Assets . . . .		3330,05,46,000

Dated the 3rd day of July, 1968.

L. K. JHA,  
Governor.

[No. F. 3(3)-BC/68.]

C. F. CHEREATH, Under Secy.

**CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE, ALLAHABAD***Allahabad, the 17th June 1968*

**S.O. 2461.**—The following draft rules further to amend the Coir Industry hereby empower Assistant Collector of Central Excise of this Collectorate for the purposes of the aforesaid rule, to fix the quantum and period of time when the production in the assessee's factory under their respective jurisdiction, was considered normal and to revise the normal production so determined by them in accordance with the provision of sub-rule (1) and (2) respectively of the aforesaid rule.

[No. 2-CE./68.]

M. N. MATHUR, Collector.

**BOMBAY CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE****CENTRAL EXCISES***Bombay, the 17th June, 1968*

**S.O. 2458.**—In exercise of the powers conferred on me under Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules 1944, I hereby empower the Assistant Collectors of Central Excise in the Bombay Central Excise Collectorate, to exercise within their respective jurisdictions the powers of the Collector under Rule mentioned in Column No. 1 subject to the limitations set out in column No. 2 of the subjoined table:—

Rule	Limitations
1	2
52-A	To accept the manufacturer's documents other than gate pass in the statutory form to cover the removal of goods under the Self Removal Procedure under Chapter VII-A of the Central Excise Rules, 1944 provided they contain besides all information requisite for purposes of Rule 52-A(a) details of the rate of duty and the amount of duty on each consignment and (b) the time of actual removal of the consignment from the factory. The description of the goods in such documents should be sufficiently detailed so as to be indicative of the correct tariff classification rate of duty and assessable value. Such documents are to be serially numbered and duly signed by the assessee or his authorised agent or agents.

[No. CER/5/5/68.]

[No. F. V(30)-34/Misc/68 Pt. III.]

*Bombay, the 25th June 1968*

**S.O. 2459.**—In exercise of the powers conferred on me under Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944 I hereby empower the undermentioned officers of Central Excise of the Bombay Central Excise Collectorate to exercise within their respective jurisdictions the powers of the Collector under the Rules mentioned in column No. 2 of the subjoined table:—

Rank and name of officer	Rules
1	2
An Officer of and above the rank of Asstt. Collector	173-E (1)
An Officer of and above the rank of Asst. Collector	173-E (2)

[No. CER/5/6/1968.]

[No. F. V(30)-34/Misc/68 Pt. III.]

A. K. ROY, Collector.

**CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE, BARODA****CENTRAL EXCISE***Baroda, the 19th June 1968*

**S.O. 2460.**—In exercise of the powers conferred upon me by Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby authorise Officers not below the rank of Assistant Collector of Central Excise, to exercise within their jurisdiction, the powers of Collector under Rule 52-A of the Central Excise Rules, 1944 i.e. to authorise removals on documents other than gate passes in statutory form from units working under the Self Assessment Procedure.

[No. 11/68.]

A. K. ROY, Collector.

**MINISTRY OF COMMERCE***New Delhi, the 29th June 1968*

**S.O. 2461.**—The following draft rules further to amend the Coir Industry Rules, 1954, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by section 26 of the Coir Industry Act, 1953 (45 of 1953), are hereby published for general information as required by sub-section (1) of the said section and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 1968.

Any objections or suggestions with respect to the said draft received from any person before the aforesaid date will be considered by the Central Government.

*Draft Rules*

1. These rules may be called the Coir Industry (Second Amendment) Rules, 1968.

2. In the Coir Industry Rules, 1954,—

(1) in rule 15,—

(i) for sub-rules (2) and (3), the following sub-rules shall be substituted, namely:—

“(2) The Board may delcgate to the Chairman, or the Secretary, or in the case of purchases of raw materials for a powerloom factory to the Weaving Master of the powerloom factory, such power for entering into contracts on its behalf as it may think fit.

by the Chairman, or Vice-Chairman, and by the Secretary, or by  
(3) Contracts shall not be binding on the Board unless they are executed the Weaving Master of the powerloom factory, with the previous approval of the appropriate authority concerned and the common seal of the Board, where necessary, is affixed thereto;

Provided that the Weaving Master of the powerloom factory and the Director of the Central Coir Research Institute acting together shall be competent to execute contracts extending over a period not exceeding three years which involve expenditure not exceeding Rs. 30,000 for purchase of raw material for the powerloom factory”;

(2) in rule 16, in sub-rule (1), for the words “Executive Committee or to the Chairman or Secretary”, the words “Executive Committee, or to the Chairman, or to the Secretary, or to the Weaving Master of a powerloom factory in the case of purchase of raw materials for the powerloom factory” shall be substituted.

[No. 18(3)-Tex(D)/68.]

A. G. V. SUBRAHMANYAM, Under Secy.

*New Delhi, the 1st July 1968*

**S.O. 2462.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3, read with section 16 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955 (10 of 1955), the Central Government hereby makes the following Order further to amend the Cotton Textiles (Export Control) Order, 1949, namely:—

1. This Order may be called the Cotton Textiles (Export Control) Amendment Order, 1968.
2. In the Cotton Textiles (Export Control Order, 1949, after clause 10, the following clause shall be inserted, namely:—

“10A *Appeal*:—Any person aggrieved by an order of the Textile Commissioner made under this Order may prefer an appeal to the Central Government within thirty days of the date of communication of such order, and the decision of the Central Government thereon shall be final.”

[No. F.9(9)/68-*Tex*(I).]

*New Delhi, the 2nd July 1968*

**S.O. 2463.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 read with section 16 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955 (10 of 1955), the Central Government hereby makes the following order further to amend the Cotton Textiles (Control) Order 1948, namely:—

1. This Order may be called the Cotton Textiles (Control) Second Amendment Order, 1968.

2. In the Cotton Textiles (Control) Order, 1948, for clause 27, the following clause shall be substituted, namely:—

“27. Where, in pursuance of sub-clause (1)(b) of clause 22, makings are required to be made at one end of any piece of cloth and the piece of cloth is not sold as a whole, that portion of the piece containing the said markings shall be sold last by the dealer.”

[No. F. 21(1)-*Tex*(A)/68.]

*New Delhi, the 4th July 1968*

**S.O. 2464.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 12 of the Textiles Committee Act, 1963 (41 of 1963), the Central Government hereby exempts hand-spun woollen yarn from the payment of fees leviable under rule 21 of the Textiles Committee Rules, 1965, in respect of all or any of the services referred to in the said sub-section that may be rendered by the said Committee in respect of such hand-spun woollen yarn.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st March, 1965.

[No. F.18(13)-*Tex*(A)/68.]

**S.O. 2465.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (1) of clause 21C of the Cotton Textiles (Control) Order, 1948, the Central Government hereby specifies—

- (i) 4 paise per square metre as the rate for the purposes of paragraph (a); and
- (ii) 6 paise per square metre as the rate for the purposes of paragraph (b).

of sub-clause (1) of clause 21C aforesaid for the quarter ending with the 31st July, 1968.

[No. F.21(7)-*Tex*(A)/68.]

H. K. BANSAL, Dy. Secy.

## (Office of the Jt. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

(C.L.A.)

## ORDERS

*New Delhi, the 17th May 1968*

**S.O. 2466.**—M/s Indo Asian Trades Pvt. Ltd., Nakodar Road, Jullundur were granted import licence No. P/S/1606354/C/XX/25/C-D/23/24 dated 17th April, 1967, for the import of Copper, Zinc, Nickel and Tin, falling under I.T.C. S. Nos. 47/1,44(A)/1,48/1 and 45(A)/1 respectively for Rs. 2,73,360/-. They have applied for the issue of a duplicate copy of the customs purposes copy thereof, on the ground that original copy of the customs purposes copy has been lost/misplaced. This Licence has been registered with Bombay Customs House, and has been utilised to the extent of Rs. 64,006/-.

2. The applicant have filed an affidavit in support of their contention is required under para 264(2) read with appendix-7 of the I.T.C. hand book of rules and procedure, 1967. I am satisfied, the original customs purposes copy has been lost/misplaced.

3. In exercise of the powers conferred on me under section 9(cc) Import (Control) Order, 1955, dated 7th December, 1955, I order the cancellation of the customs purposes copy of the import Licence No. P/SS/1606354/C/XX/25/C-D/23 24, Dt. 17-4-67.

4. The applicant is now being issued a duplicate copy of the customs purposes of this Licence, in accordance with the provision of para 264(1), I.T.C. hand book of Rules and Procedure, 1967.

[No. F.PN.155/66/1.2/AM.67/AU.PB/CLA/942.]

*New Delhi, the 21st June 1968*

**S.O. 2467.**—M/s. Jainko Industries, 76, Spatu Road, Ambala City were granted licence No. P/SS/1606603/C/XX/25/CD/23-24 dated 16th May 1967 for the import of Copper, Zinc & Nickel falling under S. No. 47/I, 44 (a)/I & 48/I respectively for Rs. 79,331/- only. They have applied for the issue of a Duplicate of Customs Purposes copy thereof, on the ground that the original copy (Customs Purpose) of the licence has been lost/misplaced. This copy was not utilised at all and duplicate Custom Copy is now required to cover the total amount of Rs. 79,331/-.

2. The applicant have filed an affidavit in support of their contention, as required under Para 299 Read with Appendix 8 of the I.T.C., Hand Book of Rules & Procedure, 1968. I am satisfied, the original Customs Purposes copy of this licence has been lost/misplaced.

3. In exercise of the powers conferred on me under section 9(cc) Imports (Control) Order, 1955, dated 7th December, 1955, I order the cancellation of the Customs purposes copy of the import licence No. P/SS/ 1606603/C, dated the 16th May, 1967.

4. The applicant is now being issued a Duplicate copy of the Customs purposes copy of the licence, in accordance with the provision of Para 299(1), I.T.C., Hand Book of Rules and Procedure 1968.

[No. PN.155/66/J-17/AM.67/AU-HRH/CLA.]

**S.O. 2468.**—Messrs. Shamrock Industries, Mile 13/3, Mathura Road, P.O. Amar Nagar, near Faridabad, were granted an import licence No. P/SS/1609224/C/XX/25/CD/25/26, dated 12th January, 1968 for the import of glassine & vegetable parchment paper and German silver scrap for general area for Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only). They have applied for the issue of a duplicate copy of the Customs purposes thereof, on the ground that their original copy has been lost/misplaced, without having been utilised and without having been registered with any Customs House.

2. The applicant have filed an affidavit, in support of their contention as required under Para 299(2), read with Appendix-88 of the I.T.C. Hand Book of Rules and Procedure, 1968. I am satisfied that original Customs purposes copy has been lost/misplaced and;

3. In exercise of the powers conferred on me, under Clause 9(cc), Imports (Control) Order, 1955, dated 7th December, 1955, as amended up-to-date I order



cancellation of the Customs purposes copy of the Import Licence No. P/SS/1609224/C/XX/25/CD/25/26, dated 12th January, 1968.

4. The applicant are now being issued a duplicate copy of the Customs purposes of the said import licence, in accordance with Para 299(2), I.T.C. Hand Book of Rules & Procedure, 1968.

[No. 7/PRIORITY/HAR/AM68/AU-HRH/CLA/1558.]

New Delhi, the 22nd June 1968

**S.O. 2469.**—M/s. Didar Singh Swani s/o Sardar Atma Singh, Industrial Area, Bahadurgarh, were granted an adhoc licence No. P/EI/0161865/TIR/27/CD/27/NQQ/Adhoc dated 26th April, 1968 for Rs. 6,000/- for import of dates from Iraq for October, September, 1968 licensing period. They have applied for duplicate Customs and Exchange Control copy of the said licence on the ground that the original Customs and Exchange Control Copies have been lost or misplaced. It is further stated by the party that the original Customs copy of licence was not registered with any Customs House and licence not utilized at all.

2. In support of this declaration, the applicant has filed an affidavit duly sworn in before an Oath Commissioner stating that the original Customs and Exchange Control Copies of the licence have been lost or misplaced.

3. I am satisfied that Customs and Exchange Control Copies of licence No. P/EI/0161865/TIR/27/CD/27/NQQ/Adhoc dated 26th April, 1968 for Rs. 6,000/- have been lost and direct that duplicate Customs and Exchange Control copies be issued to the applicant. The original Customs and Exchange Control Copies of the licence are cancelled.

[No. 21-IV/128/2-61/LVC/753/Iraq/OS-68 (P.N. 170/67)/I.S./CLA.]

**S.O. 2470.**—M/s. Avtar Singh Swani s/o S. Atma Singh, Industrial Area Bahadurgarh, were granted adhoc licence No. P/EI/0161864/TIR/26-27/CD/26-27/NQQ/Adhoc dated 23rd April 1968 for Rs. 6,000/- for import of dates from Iraq for October 67—September 68 licensing period. They have applied for duplicate Customs Purposes and Exchange Control copy of the said licence on the ground that the original Customs and Exchange Control Copies have been lost. It is further stated by the party that the original Custom copy of the licence was not registered with any Custom Authority, and not utilised at all.

In support of this declaration, the applicant has filed an affidavit duly attested by Oath Commissioner stating that the original Custom and Exchange Control copy of the licence has been lost.

I am satisfied that Customs Purposes and Exchange Control Copies of Licence No. P/EI/0161864/TIR/26-27/CD/26-27/NQQ/Adhoc dated 23rd April 1968 for Rs. 6,000/- have been lost and direct that duplicate Customs Purposes and Exchange Control Purposes Copies be issued to the applicant. The original Custom and Exchange Control Purposes Copies of licence are cancelled.

[No. 21-IV/4/2-61/LVC/754/Iraq/OS-68(P.N.170/67)/IS/CLA.]

**S.O. 2471.**—M/s. Bodh Raj Jagdish Lal, 18, Subzi Mandi, Ambala City were granted an adhoc licence No. P/EI/0161852/T/IR/24/CD/24/NQQ/Adhoc dated 18th April 1968 for Rs. 765/- for import of dates from Iraq for Oct-Mar. '67 licensing period. They have applied for duplicate Customs and Exchange Control copy of the said licence on the ground that the original Customs and Exchange Control copies thereof have been lost or misplaced. It is further stated by the party that the Original Customs copy of the licence was not registered with any Custom Authority and not utilised at all.

In support of this declaration, the applicant has filed an affidavit duly attested by Oath Commissioner stating that the original Customs and Exchange Control Copy of the Licence have been lost or misplaced

I am satisfied that Customs and Exchange Control Copies of Licence No. P/EI/0161852/T/IR/24/CD/24/NQQ/Adhoc dated 18th April 1968 for Rs. 765/- have been lost and direct that duplicate Customs and Exchange Control Copies be issued to the applicant. The Original Customs and Exchange Control Copies of the licence are cancelled.

[No. 21(b)-IV/172/Iraq/OM-67/IS/CLA.]



*New Delhi, the 27th June 1968*

**S.O. 2472.**—A licence No. P/SS/1607644/C/XX/24/CD/23-24 dated 16th September, 1967 of the value of Rs. 880/- for import of copper, zinc, tin and lead was issued to M/s. Dewan Hans Raj Suri, 85, Spatu Road, Ambala City (Haryana).

2. Thereafter, a show cause notice No. PN. 155/66/D-29/HAR/AM. 67/AU-HRH/335 dated 6th April, 1968 was issued under registered cover asking them to show cause within 15 days as to why the said licence in their favour should not be cancelled on the ground that they having made no progress regarding installation of machinery the licence will not serve the purpose for which it was granted in terms of Clause 9, sub-clause (cc).

3. The registered letter has not come back undelivered and it is presumed to have been delivered to them in natural course of things. They have not, however, sent any reply to it and it is, therefore, to be taken that they have no objection against cancellation of the licence, though the time fixed for the purpose is long since over.

4. Having regard to what has been stated in the preceding paragraph, the undersigned is satisfied that the licence in question should be cancelled or otherwise rendered ineffective. Therefore, the undersigned, in exercise of the powers vested in him under Clause 9, sub-clause (cc) of the Imports (Control) Order, 1955 hereby cancel the licence No. P/SS/1607644/C/XX/24/CD/23-24 dated 16th September, 1967 for Rs. 880/- issued in favour of M/s. Dewan Hans Raj Suri, 85, Spatu Road, Ambala City (Haryana).

[No. F. PN. 155/66/D-29/Har/AM. 67/AUHRH/1395.]

**S.O. 2473.**—A licence No. P/SS/1607628/C/XX/24/CD/23-24 dated 15th September, 1967 of the value of Rs. 585/- for import of Zinc was issued to M/s. Doaba Industries, 11, Industrial Estate, Ambala City.

2. Thereafter, a show cause notice No. PN 155/66/D-18/HAR/AM. 67/AU-HRH/13 dated 5th April, 1968 was issued under registered cover asking them to show cause within 15 days as to why the said licence in their favour should not be cancelled on the ground that they having made no progress regarding installation of machinery the licence will not serve the purpose for which it was granted in terms of Clause 9, sub-clause (cc).

3. The registered letter has not come back undelivered and it is presumed to have been delivered to them in natural course of things. They have not however sent any reply to it and it is therefore to be taken that they have no objection against cancellation of the licence, though the time fixed for the purpose is long since over.

4. Having regard to what has been stated in the preceding paragraph, the undersigned is satisfied that the licence in question should be cancelled or otherwise rendered ineffective. Therefore, the undersigned, in exercise of the powers vested in him under clause 9, sub-clause (cc) of the Imports (Control) Order, 1955 hereby cancel the licence No. P/SS/1607628/C/XX/24/CD/23-24 dated 15th September, 1967 for Rs. 585/- issued in favour of M/s. Doaba Industries, Ambala City.

[No. F. PN. 155/66/D-18/HAR/AM. 67/AU-HRH/CLA/1397.]

*New Delhi, the 29th June 1968*

**S.O. 2474.**—M/s. T. G. Trading Company, Palwal, were granted an Import licence No. P/SS/1609913/C/XX/25/CD/25-26 dated 20th February 1968 for the import of C. A. Butyrate in powder, Polyster Film S. No. 101 (a)/V & 122 (i)/V for Rs. 6.632/- (Rupees Six thousand six hundred and thirty-two only). They have applied for the issue of a duplicate copy of each of the Customs purposes and the Exchange Control Copies thereof, on the ground that their original copies have been lost/misplaced, without having been utilised and without having been registered with any Custom House.

2. The applicant have filed an affidavit, in support of their contention as required under para 299(2) read with appendix 8 of the I.T.C. Hand Book of Rules and Procedure, 1968. I am satisfied, the original Customs purposes and Exchange Control copies have been lost/misplaced.

3. In exercise of the powers conferred on me under clause 9 (cc) Imports (Control) Order, 1955, dated 7th December, 1955, as amended upto date, I order cancellation of both, the customs purposes and the Exchange Control Copies of

the import licence No. P/SS/1609913/C/XX/25/CD/25-26 dated 20th February 1968.

4. The applicant are now being issued a duplicate copy of each of the customs purposes and the exchange control copies of the said licence, in accordance with para 299 (2), I.T.C. Hand Book of Rules and Procedure, 1968.

[No. F. T-10/HAR/AM. 68/A.U.-HRH/CLA/1559.]

J. S. BEDI,

Jt. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

**(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)**

**ORDER**

*New Delhi, the 28th June, 1968*

S.O. 2475.—M/s. Prestolite of India Ltd., 2A/3, Asaf Ali Road, New Delhi-1, were granted an Import Licence No. P/RM/2152066 dated 2nd August 1966 for Rs. 27,50,000/- (Twenty Seven Lakh and Fifty thousand only). They have applied for the issue of a Duplicate Customs Purposes Copy of the said licence on the ground that the original Customs Copy has been lost. It is further stated that the Customs Copy was registered with the Collector of Customs, Bombay and utilised partly. It was utilised for Rs. 9,11,357/- and the Balance available on it was Rs. 18,38,643/-.

2. In support of the contention, the licensee firm has filed an Affidavit. I am accordingly satisfied that the original Customs Purposes Copy of the said licence has been lost. Therefore, in exercise of the Powers conferred under sub-clause 9(CC) of the Imports (Control) Order 1955 dated 7th December 1955, as amended, the said Original Customs Purposes copy of the licence No. P/RM/2152066 dated 2nd August 1966 issued to M/s. Prestolite of India Ltd., New Delhi, is hereby cancelled.

3. A Duplicate Customs Purposes Copy of the said licence is being issued separately to the licensee.

[No. Pt. File No. Auto/P/18/66-67/RM/IV/849.]

P. C. VERMA,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

**(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)**

**ORDER**

*New Delhi, the 14th June 1968.*

S.O. 2476.—Smt. Kamla Bai E-34, (1st Floor) South Extension Part I, New Delhi was granted an import Licence No. P/NA/AD/2019508/C/XX/25/C/H/21-22/NQQ dated 26th June 1967 for Rs. 1,823/- (Rupees one thousand eight hundred and twenty three only) for import of items 1 to 16 of the list attached thereto. The licensee has now applied to this office for the issue of a duplicate copy of the said licence on the ground that the original Customs Purposes copy of the licence in question has been burnt (part of the un-burnt portion surrendered to this office) and exchange control copy of the licence lost. It is further stated that the licence has not been registered with any customs house and has not been utilised at all. The duplicate copy of the licence now required by the licensee is for the full value viz Rs. 1,823/-.

In support of her contentions the licensee has filed an affidavit and also surrendered the un-burnt portion of the customs copy of the licence. I am accordingly satisfied that the original Customs Purposes copy of the licence has been burnt and exchange control copy has been lost. Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred under sub-clause 9(CC) of the Import (Control) Order, 1955 dated 7th December 1955 (as amended) the original licence No. P/NA/AD/2019508/C/XX/25/C/H/21-22/NQQ dated 26th June 1967 issued to Smt. Kamla Bai, E-34, 1st Floor, South Extension, Part I, New Delhi, is hereby cancelled.

Duplicate Customs Purposes copy and Exchange Control copy of the said licence are being issued.

[No. NDRS/K-142/65-66/203.]

Y. J. DENNISON,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 25th June 1968

S.O. 2477.—A licence No. P/RM/2151313/C/XX/23/C/H/21-22/R.M.I. dated 21st July 1966 for the value of Rs. 2,99,250/- for the import of "Raw Materials as per list attached" was issued to M/s. Universal Industrial Works, Turab Nagar, Ghaziabad (U.P.);

2. Thereafter, a show cause notice No. 10(15)/68/Vig dated 7th June, 1968 as amended *vide* corrigendum No. 10(15)/68-Vig dated 12th June 1968 was issued in terms of Clause 9 of the Imports (Control) Order 1955 asking the licensee to explain within ten days as to why the said licence should not be cancelled on the ground that the same was obtained by them on the basis of forged recommendation of the Directorate General of Technical Development;

3. The aforesaid show cause notice has neither been received back undelivered nor has any reply been received from the licensee though the time limit of ten days mentioned in the show cause notice has expired on 17th June 1968;

4. The undersigned has carefully examined the case and has come to the conclusion that the said licence has been obtained on the basis of false and fabricated documents and the licence holders are avoiding a reply to the show cause notice.

5. Having regard to what has been stated in the preceding paragraph the undersigned is satisfied that the licence in question should be cancelled or otherwise rendered in-effective. Therefore the undersigned in exercise of the powers vested in him under Clause 9 (c) of the Imports (Control) Order 1955 hereby cancel the licence No. P/RM/2151313/C/XX/23/C/H/21-22/R.M.I. dated 21st July 1967 for Rs. 2,99,250/- issued in favour M/s. Universal Industrial Works Turab Nagar, Ghaziabad (U.P.).

[No. 10(15)/68-Vig.]

B. D. BHATTACHARYA,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

MINISTRY OF STEEL, MINES & METALS

(Department of Mines and Metals)

ERRATUM

New Delhi, the 2nd July 1968

S.O. 2478.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Steel, Mines and Metals (Department of Mines and Metals) No. S.O. 1352, dated the 5th April, 1968, published in Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India, dated the 20th April, 1968, at pages 1844 to 1845—

(i) at page 1844, in "Boundary Description A—B", in line 2, for "Nakaipost", read "Nakaipasi";

(ii) at page 1845, in line 7, for "L—J—K—L", read "I—J—K—L".

[No. C2-21(3)/63.]

M. S. K. RAMASWAMI, Dy. Secy.

# **MINISTRY OF FOOD, AGRICULTURE, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND COOPERATION**

**(Department of Agriculture)**

**(Committee for the Purpose of Controlling and Supervising Experiments on Animals)**

*Bombay, the 7th June 1968*

**S.O. 2479.**—The following draft of certain rules which the Committee for controlling and Supervising Experiments on Animals proposed to make in exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1960 (59 of 1960), is published for general information and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 15th August, 1968.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person in respect of the said draft before the aforesaid date will be considered by the Committee for Controlling and Supervising Experiments on Animals.

## **EXPERIMENTS ON ANIMALS (CONTROL AND SUPERVISION) RULES, 1968**

**1. Short title.**—These Rules may be called the Experiments on Animals (Control and Supervision) Rules, 1968.

**2. Definitions.**—In these Rules, unless the context otherwise requires,—

- (a) "Act" means the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act 1960 (59 of 1960);
- (b) "Committee" means the Committee constituted under section 15 of the Act, for controlling and supervising Experiments on Animals;
- (c) "Experiment" means any experiment performed on any living animal including an operation for the purpose of discovering something unknown or testing some hypothesis or establishing or illustrating some truth;

**3. Stocking of Animals.**—The animals shall be stocked in following manner namely:—

- (a) Animal houses shall be located in a quiet atmosphere undisturbed by the traffic, and the premises kept tidy, and hygienic and the animals protected from draught and extremes of weather;
- (b) Animal cages for small animals and stables for larger animals shall be such that animals can live in comfort and overcrowding is avoided;
- (c) Where standards have been laid down by the Indian Standards Institution, the cages and the stables, as the case may be, shall conform to those standards;
- (d) Animal attendants shall be suitably trained and sufficiently experienced in the duties allotted to them;
- (e) Animals shall be looked after, before, during and after the experiments by a trained and experienced attendant;
- (f) There shall be satisfactory arrangements for looking after the animals during off hours and on holidays;

(2) Nothing in sub-rule (1) shall apply to animals for field experiments performed under emergency or special circumstances.

**4. Conduct of Experiments.**—In conducting experiments on Animals, regard shall be had to the following conditions, namely:—

- (a) Experiments shall be performed in every case by or under the supervision of persons duly qualified in that behalf, viz., Degree or Diploma holders in Medical or Veterinary or other Science or Pharmacy or Natural Science of a recognised University or an Institution recognised by Government for the purpose, in a Laboratory adequately equipped and staffed for the purpose and under the responsibility of the person performing the experiment. In the case of a teaching research or a Pharmaceutical concern, the responsibility therefore shall be placed also on the person incharge of the Institution.
- (b) Experiments shall be performed with due care and humanity.

- (c) Minimum number of animals shall be used in an experiment, but at the same time meeting the statistical requirements;
- (d) Experiments involving operative procedure more severe than simple inoculation or superficial venesection shall be performed under the influence of anaesthetic of sufficient power to prevent the animal feeling pain and it shall remain so throughout the experiment. Provided that where the services of a Veterinary Surgeon are not available, a person adequately trained in methods of anaesthesia, shall be allowed to administer anaesthesia and the anaesthetist shall remain present near the animal till the completion of the experiment;
- (e) Animals which in the course of experiments under the influence of anaesthetic are so injured that their recovery would involve pain or suffering shall be destroyed humanely while still insensible;
- (f) When there is reason to believe that an animal is suffering abnormal or severe pain at any stage of a continuing experiment, it shall be painlessly destroyed at that stage without proceeding with the experiment.
- (g) The experiment shall not be performed for the purpose of attaining or retaining manual skill. The condition shall not apply to (i) students at the Veterinary Colleges, who shall be permitted to perform surgical operations on living animals subject to that animals are under deep anaesthesia and the operations are performed under the direct supervision of an expert teacher (ii) experts in the Medical field for performing high type of surgery on animals.
- (h) Experiments shall not be performed by way of an illustration of lecture in medical, veterinary and other science schools or colleges and hospitals or elsewhere if other teaching devices such as books, models, films and the like where available can equally serve the purposes provided that wherever possible, such alternative methods like tissue culture or Bio-chemical methods may be used.
- (i) experiments shall not be performed as a public demonstration except for advancement of knowledge.
- (j) the substance known as Urari or Gurari or any such paralyzant shall not be used or administered for the purpose of any experiment except in conjunction with anasesthtic of sufficient depth to produce loss of consciousness;
- (k) except for purposes of research, no experiment the result of which is already conclusively known, shall be repeated solely for the purpose of repetition,
- (l) there shall not be applied to the eye of an animal by way of experiment any chemical substance for the purpose of absorption through the conjunctival membrane or through the cornea calculated to give pain;
- (m) dogs held for experimental purposes in animal houses shall not be debarked.

**5. Records.**—Every person performing an experiment, and where the experiment is performed in an institution, the person in charge thereof, shall maintain a chronological record of the experiments carried on by him or in the institution as the case may be, in which shall be entered in brief, the nature of the experiment, the animals used, the course and the result thereof, manner of final disposal of animals and such other information as the Committee may from time to time require.

Provided that no information shall be disclosed relating to any experiment, which is likely to put any person in trade or business in possession of such information or knowledge, which upholds any existing or prospective patent rights.

[No. 5-1/68/INS.]

Sd/- Illegible.

Secy., Committee for the Purpose of Controlling and Supervising Experiments on Animals.



## (Department of Agriculture)

New Delhi, the 1st July 1968

S.O. 2480.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the Proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules to amend the Delhi Zoological Park (Class III and Class IV Posts), Recruitment Rules, 1960, published with the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Department of Agriculture) No. S.O. 2089 dated the 19th August, 1960 at pages 2374—2385 of Part II—Section 3—Sub-Section (ii) of the Gazette of India dated the 27th August, 1960, namely:—

1. These rules may be called the Delhi Zoological Park (Class III and Class IV Posts Recruitment (Second Amendment) Rules, 1968.
2. In the Schedule to the Delhi Zoological Park (Class III and Class IV Posts) Recruitment Rules, 1960 against item No. 7 relating to "Ticket Clerks", under column 6 on "Educational and other qualifications required for direct recruits" for the entries, the following entries shall be substituted, namely:—

Matriculation or equivalent qualification until replaced by Higher Secondary (ii) speed of 30 words per minute in type-writing (iii) experience in keeping cash and accounts.

*Desirable*: Experience of selling tickets in any cinema, theatre, circus or any similar organisation. (Cash security of Rs. 200 and security deposit of Rs. 1000 in prescribed form to be furnished).

[No. F. 21-2/68-FD.]

S. N. TULSIANI, Under Secy.

## खाद्य, कृषि, सामुदायिक विकास तथा सहकारिता मंत्रालय

(कृषि विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 1 जुलाई 1968

एस० आर० 2481.—संविधान की धारा 309 की व्यवस्था द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकार का प्रयोग करते हुए, राष्ट्रपति जी उस दिल्ली चिड़ियाघर (तृतीय श्रेणी तथा चतुर्थ श्रेणी पद), भर्ती नियम 1960 में संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाते हैं, जोकि भूतपूर्व खाद्य तथा कृषि मंत्रालय (कृषि विभाग) में भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना के अन्तर्गत भारत के राज पत्र दिनांक 27 अगस्त 1960 के भाग-2 अनुभाग-3 उप अनुभाग (2) के एस० आर० संख्या 2089 दिनांक 19 अगस्त, 1960 पृष्ठ 2374-2385 पर प्रकाशित हुआ था।

1. ये नियम दिल्ली चिड़ियाघर (श्रेणी 3 तथा श्रेणी 4 पद) भर्ती (तृतीय संशोधन) नियम, 1968 कहलाया जाये।

2. दिल्ली चिड़ियाघर (श्रेणी 3 तथा श्रेणी 4 पद) भर्ती नियम 1960 की अनुसूची में सोधी नियुक्तियों के लिए आवश्यक शिक्षात्मक तथा अन्य योग्यताओं "सम्बन्धी कालम 6 के अन्तर्गत टिकट कलर्कस" सम्बन्धी भव संख्या 7 इन्दराज के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित इन्दराज बदल दिये जायें:—

मैट्रिक या उसके बराबर की योग्यता जब तक हायर सैकण्ड्री पास उनके स्थान पर नहीं आ जाते (2) टाइप राइटिंग में 30 शब्द प्रति मिनिट की गति (3) नकदी तथा लेखा कार्य में अनुभव।

वांछनीय: किसी सिनेमा, थ्येटर, सरकस या किसी ऐसी ही संस्था में टिकट बेचने का अनुभव । (निर्धारित फार्म में 200 रु० की नकद जमानत और 1000 रु० की जमानत जमा भेजे जाने चाहिए ।)

[सं० 21-2/68-एफ० डी०]

एस० एन० तुलस्यानी, प्रवर सचिव ।

## MINISTRY OF HEALTH, FAMILY PLANNING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

(Department of Health and Urban Development)

*New Delhi, the 1st July 1968*

S.O. 2482.—In pursuance of the provisions of clause (xv) of sub-section (2) of section 5 of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 (23 of 1940), Shri A. V. Mody, Unichem Laboratories, 22, Bhulabhai Desai Road, Bombay-26, having been elected by the Council of the Indian Pharmaceutical Association to be a member of the Drugs Technical Advisory Board *vice* Dr. H. R. Nanji, expired, the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Health No. F. 4-13/64-D dated the 18th November, 1965, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading "Elected under Clause (xv) of sub-section (2) of section 5", for the entry "Dr. H. R. Nanji, Itlab Private Limited, Meher House, Cawasji Patel Street, Bombay-1", the entry "Shri A. V. Mody, Unichem Laboratories, 22, Bhulabhai Desai Road, Bombay-26", shall be substituted.

[No. F. 4-13/64-D.]

S. P. JINDAL, Under Secy.

## MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT AND SHIPPING

(Transport Wing)

MERCHANT SHIPPING

*New Delhi, the 1st July 1968*

S.O. 2483.—In pursuance of clause (a) of sub-section (i) of Section 283 of the Merchant Shipping Act, 1958 (44 of 1958) the Central Government, hereby declares that the Government of Jamaica and the Democratic Republic of Congo have accepted the Safety Convention as defined in clause (37) of section 3 of the said act, that is to say, the Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea signed in London on the seventeenth day of June nineteen hundred and sixty, as amended from time to time.

[No. F. 46-MA(10)/67.]

B. B. LAL, Under Secy.

## MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT AND REHABILITATION

(Department of Labour and Employment)

*New Delhi, the 1st July 1968*

S.O. 2484.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Ashmore, Benson, Pease and Company Limited, Fifth Floor, Meher Chambers, Nicol Road, Ballard Estate, Bombay-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 30th June, 1967.

[No. 8/72/68-P.F.II.]

**S.O. 2485.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Neroth Estate, Konamkatte, Mayamude, P.O. Via: Gonikoppal, South Coorg, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty first day of March, 1968.

[No. 8/90/68-P.F.II.]

**S.O. 2486.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Francis Klein Trading Company Private Limited, Shale Building, Bank Street, Bombay-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 31st December, 1967.

[No. 8/67/68-PF.II.]

**S.O. 2487.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs ENJEYEMS Enterprise, 1028, Fifth Block, P.B. No. 4, Rajaji Nagar, Bangalore-10, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made available to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall come into force on the 30th day of June, 1968.

[No. 8/76/68-PF.II.]

**S.O. 2488.**—In pursuance of clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 5A of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints, with effect from the 1st July, 1968, the Secretary to the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), as the Chairman of the Central Board of Trustees, and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Department of Social Security No. S.O. 1156 dated the 1st April, 1965, namely:—

In the said notification, for the entry against serial Number 1, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"The Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation, (Department of Labour and Employment), New Delhi".

[No. 12(2)68-PF-II.]

*New Delhi, the 2nd July 1968*

**S.O. 2489.**—In pursuance of clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 3A of the Coal Mines Provident Fund and Bonus Schemes Act, 1948 (46 of 1948), read with sub-paragraph (1) of paragraph 9 of the Coal Mines Provident Fund Scheme,



the Central Government hereby appoints the Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) as the Chairman of the Board of Trustees and makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 2451 dated the 17th July, 1967, namely:—

In the said notification, for the entry against serial number 1, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"The Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), New Delhi—Chairman".

[No. 4(5)/67-PF-I.]

*New Delhi, the 3rd July 1968*

**S.O. 2490.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs. Shaha and Company, Chartered Accountants, 10, Old Post Office Street, Calcutta-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 31st day of March, 1968.

[No. 8/97/68-PF-II.]

**S.O. 2491.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs. Associated Electro Manufactures, 7B/6, Sri Arabinda Sarani, Calcutta-6, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 31st day of March 1968.

[No. 8/98/68-PF. II.]

*New Delhi, the 5th July 1968*

**S.O. 2492.**—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that

1. Patherdih Engineering Works
2. Patherdih Coal Washery, Hindustan Steel Ltd.

were situated in Patherdih area which was a sparse area (that is, an area whose insurable population was less than 500) in the district of Dhanbad in the State of Bihar,

And whereas by virtue of their location in a sparse area, the aforesaid factories were granted exemption from the payment of the employers' special contribution under section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) until enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the Act in that area by the Central Government in the Late Department of Social Security Notification No. 945, dated the 19th March, 1965.

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurable population of the Patherdih area in the district of Dhanbad in the State of Bihar has now exceeded 500, and it is no longer a sparse area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the said notification, namely:—

In the schedule in the entries against serial number 2 relating to Dhanbad in column 2 the entry "Patherdih" in column 3 and the entries

1. Patherdih Engg. Works
2. Patheridih Coal Washery, Hindustan Steel Ltd.

in column 4 shall be omitted.

[No. F. 6(62)/68-HII.]

**S.O. 2493.**—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that

1. Sindri Iron Foundry
2. Kedar Industries

were situated in Patherdih area which was a sparse area (that is, an area whose insurable population was less than 500) in the district of Dhanbad in the State of Bihar.

And whereas by virtue of their location in a sparse area, the aforesaid factories were granted exemption from the payment of the employers' special contribution under section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) until enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the Act in that area by the Central Government in the Late Ministry of Labour and Employment Notification No. S.O. 859, dated the 13th March, 1963;

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurable population of the Patherdih area in the district of Dhanbad in the State of Bihar has now exceeded 500, and it is no longer a sparse area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the said notification, namely:—

In the schedule in the entries against Serial No. 2 relating to Dhanbad the entry 'Patherdih' in column 3 and the entries 1. Sindri Iron Foundry and 2. Kedar Industries in column 4 shall be omitted.

[No. F. 6(62)/68-HIII.]

*New Delhi, the 8th July 1968*

**S.O. 2494.**—In pursuance of paragraph 4 of the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952, the Central Government hereby sets up a Regional Committee for the State of Haryana, consisting of the following persons, namely:—

*Chairman appointed by the Central Government*

1. The Secretary to the Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh.

*Persons appointed by the Central Government on the recommendation of the State Government*

*Members*

2. Shri J. C. Dhingra, Deputy Secretary to the Government of Haryana, Finance Department, Chandigarh.
3. The Labour Commissioner, Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.
4. Shri Ranjit Puri, C/o Saraswati Industrial Sugar Syndicate, Yamuna Nagar.

*Representatives of employers appointed by the Central Government in consultation with the Organisations of employers in the State*

5. Shri M. S. Tyagi, General Manager, Shri Gopal Paper Mills, Yamuna Nagar.
6. Shri Yoginder Singh, Honorary General Secretary, Faridabad Industries Association, Faridabad.

*Representatives of employees appointed by the Central Government in consultation with the Organisations of employees in the State*

7. Shri G. C. Joshi, President, Haryana Indian National Trade Union Congress, Shram Shivir, Workshop Road, Yamunanagar.
8. Comrade Raghbir Singh, General Secretary, Woollen and General Engineering Workers' Union, Panipat.
9. Comrade Darshan Singh, General Secretary, Extra Mazdoor Union, Faridabad Township, Faridabad.

[No. F. 12/7/62-PF-II.]

**CORRIGENDUM**

*New Delhi, the 1st July 1968*

S.O. 2495.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), No. S.O. 1275 dated the 26th March, 1968 published in Part II Section 3 (ii) of the Gazette of India dated the 6th April, 1968, at page 1793, in line 6 for "Smt. K. A. Majumdar" read "Smt. K. A. Majmudar".

[No. 20(65)/64-PF-I.]

DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

*New Delhi, the 1st July 1968*

S.O. 2496.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, (No. 2), Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Dhori Colliery (Post Office Bermo, District Hazaribagh) of Messrs Bokaro Ramgarh Coal Company Limited and their raising contractors (Sarangarh Coal Company) of the one part and their workmen of the other part, which was received by the Central Government on the 26th June, 1968.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2).  
AT DHANBAD

PRESENT

Shri Nandagiri Venkata Rao Presiding Officer.

REFERENCE NO. 40 OF 1967

In the matter of an industrial dispute under Section 10((1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

**PARTIES:**

Employers in relation to the Dhori Colliery (Post Office Bermo, District Hazaribagh) of Messrs Bokaro and Ramgarh Limited and their raising-cum-selling contractors (Sarangarh Coal Company) of the one part

AND

Their workmen

**APPEARANCES:**

For the employers: Shri D. Narsingh, Advocate.

For the workmen: Shri Shankar Bose, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Coal.

*Dhanbad, 18th June, 1968*

**AWARD**

The Central Government being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Dhori Colliery (Post Office Bermo, District Hazaribagh) of Messrs Bokaro and Ramgarh Limited and their raising-cum-selling contractors (Sarangarh Coal Company) of the one part and their workmen of the other part, by its Order No. 8/56/65-LR.III dated 18th May, 1965 and

corrigendum No. 8/56/65-LRIII dated 16th June, 1965 referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal Dhanbad under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule thereto. The schedule is extracted below :

#### SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the workmen of Dhori colliery and Karo group of quarries (2, 3 and 4) in going on strike complaining of alleged assault of Shri Ganesh Mahato (Sirdar) by Shri Laxman Singh and Shri Ram Kirit Singh (Chaprasis) was justified? If so, to what relief are they entitled?"

2. The Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad registered the reference as reference No. 82 of 1965 on its file. Workmen as well as the employers filed their statement of demands. While it was pending before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad the proceeding was transferred to this Tribunal, by the Central Government by its Order No. 8/25/67-LRII dated 8th May, 1967 under Section 33B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Consequently, the reference is renumbered on the file of this Tribunal as reference No. 40 of 1967.

3. Admittedly, the workmen of Dhori colliery and Karo group of quarries (2, 3 and 4) (hereinafter referred to as the colliery) went on strike with effect from 6th April, 1965 and in pursuance of the order of the Government issued under Sub-section (3) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 dated 18th May, 1965, the strike was called off on 20th May, 1965. The case of the workmen is that the strike was the result of a provocation to the workmen, in that the Manager abused and insulted and Manager's chaprasis beat and removed Shri Ganesh Mahato (Sirdar). The employers pleaded that the strike was illegal and against the provisions of Sections 22, 23 and 24 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. They have also raised an objection against sustainability of the reference. The workmen were represented by Shri Shankar Bose, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh and the employers by Shri D. Narsingh, Advocate. By consent of the employers Exts. W1 and W2 were marked for the workmen and by consent of the workmen Exts. M1 and M2 were marked for the employers. No party examined any witness.

4. The workmen themselves have admitted in para 8 of their statement of demands that there were no conciliation proceedings and that the Government took action on its own accord in the interest of industrial peace and harmony and production. There is no material that if the workmen had made any demand on the employers in respect of the matter under reference. The reference says, "whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the parties." The word "exists" is of importance to note. The argument of the learned Advocate for the employers is that there was no "industrial dispute" at all about which the Government opined that it existed between the parties. The term "industrial dispute" is defined in Section 2(k) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 as meaning any dispute or difference between employers and employers or between employers and workmen, or between workmen and workmen which is connected with the employment or non-employment or the terms of employment or with the conditions of labour, of any person". The Supreme Court in *Sindhu Resettlement Corporation Ltd. Vs. Industrial Tribunal, Gujarat and others* (1967-68) XXXIII F.J.R. 332 has pointed out that a mere demand to the appropriate Government, without a dispute being raised by the workmen with their employer, cannot become an industrial dispute. It is further explained that if no dispute at all was raised by the workmen with the employer, any request sent by them to the appropriate Government would only be a demand by them and not an industrial dispute between them and their employer. In the case before the Supreme Court the workmen had confined their demand to the employers to retrenchment compensation and did not make any demand for re-instatement. The Court held that any reference for adjudication of the question of reinstatement made by the appropriate Government at the request of the workmen would not be competent. In this view I find considerable force in the argument addressed on behalf of the employers.

5. As per the reference the workmen of the colliery went on strike. It is not open to any party to dispute and the Tribunal to enquire if the workmen went on strike or not. In view of the decision of the Supreme Court in *Delhi Cloth and General Mills Co., Ltd., and their workmen and others* (1967-1-L.L.J. 423). In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to Sub-clause (vi) of

clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Central Government declared the coal industry to be a public utility service for the purpose of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for the period of 6 months from 8th January, 1965. It follows that from 8th January, 1965 to 8th July, 1965 the coal industry was a public utility service within the meaning of Section 22 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Under Clauses (a) and (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 22 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 no person employed in a public utility service shall go on strike in breach of contract without giving to the employer notice of strike within 6 weeks before striking or within 14 days of giving such notice. In the instant case the workmen did not give to the employers any notice contemplated under the above provisions of law and went on strike contravening them during the prohibited period. Consequently, the strike is illegal. In India General Navigation Railway Co. Ltd. and another and their workmen (1960-1-L.L.J. 13) the Supreme Court has held that a strike in respect of a public utility service, which is clearly illegal, could not at the same time be characterised "as perfectly justified". The Court observed "these two conclusions could not in law co-exist. The law has made a distinction between a strike which is illegal and one which is not but it has not made any distinction between an illegal strike which may be said to be justifiable and one which is not justifiable. This distinction is not warranted by the Act and is wholly misconceived specially in the case of employees in public utility service."

6. As a result of my above discussions ignoring the legal objection to the sustainability of the reference, I hold that the action of the workmen of the colliery (Dhori colliery and Karo group of quarries) (2, 3 and 4) in going on strike complaining of alleged assault of Shri Ganesh Mahato (Sirdar) by Shri Laxman Singh and Shri Ram Kirit Singh (Chaprasis) was not justified, and, consequently, they are not entitled to any relief. The Award is made accordingly and submitted under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO,

Presiding Officer,

Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal,

(No. 2) at Dhanbad.

#### APPENDIX I

#### BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (No. 2), AT DHANBAD

Reference No. 40 of 1967

Employers in relation to the Dhori Colliery . . . . . *Employers*

*V.S.*

Their workmen . . . . . *Workmen*

*List of documents admitted in evidence for employers*

Distinguishing mark or number	Description of document and date	Date of admission	Whether admitted by consent or on proof	Proved by	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
Ex. M1	Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour & Employments order dt. 5-3-65 in Ref. No. 95/65	16-3-68	By consent		
Ex. M2	Decision of R.L.C. (C) Dhanbad dt. 17-10-66	Do.	Do.		

*List of documents admitted in evidence for workmen.*

Distinguishing mark or number	Description of document and date	Date of admission	Whether admitted by consent or on proof	Proved by	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
Ex. W1	Original order No. 8/56-LR11-II dt. 18-5-65 by the Ministry of Labour and Employment, New Delhi.	16-3-68	By consent		
Ex. W2	Registered Cover No. 341 of Dhanbad post office addressed to the Manager Dhori Colliery returned back undelivered with endorsement of the postal department.	Do.	Do.		

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO,  
Presiding Officer,  
Central Government Industrial Tribunal,  
No. 2, Dhanbad.

## APPENDIX II

## BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (No.2) AT DHANBAD

REFERENCE No. 40 OF 1967

Employers in relation to the Dhori Colliery . . . . . Employers  
VS  
Their workmen . . . . . Workmen.

*List of witness examined for the employers.*

No. of witness	Name of witness	Date of examination
Nil	Nil	Nil

*List of examined for the workmen.*

No. of witness	Name of witness	Date of examination
Nil	Nil	Nil

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO,  
Presiding Officer,  
Central Government Industrial Tribunal,  
No. 2, Dhanbad.  
[No. 8/56/65LR11.]



*New Delhi, the 3rd July 1968*

**S.O. 2497.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Jamadoba, Post Office Jealgora, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 25th June, 1968.

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-  
LABOUR COURT AT DHANBAD**

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

REFERENCE NO. 5 OF 1964

**PARTIES:**

Employers in relation to the Jamadoba Colliery of M/s. Tata Iron & Steel Co. Ltd., Jamadoba, P. O. Jealgora, District Dhanbad.

*Vs.*

Their Workmen

**PRESENT:**

Shri Kamla Sahai, Presiding Officer.

**APPEARANCES:**

*For the Employers:* Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate.

*For the Workmen:* Shri D. Narsingh, Advocate.

*Camp: Patna, dated the 18th June, 1968*

**AWARD**

The Central Government in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation has, by its order No. 2/72/63-LRII dated the 15th January, 1964, referred the dispute as disclosed in the following schedule for adjudication to this Tribunal:

**THE SCHEDULE**

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Balaram, Haulage Khalasi, Ticket No. 25593, of Jamadoba Colliery by the management of the said Colliery with effect from the 18th January, 1962 was justified?
2. If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

(2) This is a batch of eight cases in which Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate, appearing on behalf of the employers took up the preliminary objection that the reference was invalid because, at the time of his dismissal, the workman concerned was not a member of a union nor was his case sponsored by a substantial number of workmen. This objection prevailed and Shri Raj Kishore Prasad, the then Presiding Officer of this Tribunal, rejected all the references. The workmen filed Writ applications in the Patna High Court against the Tribunal's awards in all the eight cases. Their Lordships first took up C. W. J. C. 192 of 1966 which arose out of reference No. 97 of 1963. They laid down the principles that an individual dispute turns into an industrial dispute if, before the date of the reference a union comes into existence and the workman concerned becomes a member of that union or if his case is sponsored by a substantial number of workmen before the date of the reference. This is reported in 1967 (II) L.L.J. 663 as between Workmen of Jamadoba Colliery of Tata Iron and Steel Company, Ltd., and Jamadoba, Colliery of Tata Iron and Steel Company, Ltd., Jamadoba, and another. The Writ application arising out of the present reference was numbered as C.W.J. C. No. 274 of 1966. Their Lordships took up this case and referring to their decision in C.W.J. C. No. 192 of 1966, set aside the decision of the Tribunal in this case also and remanded the case for hearing on merits and disposal in accordance with law. I have heard the parties after the remand. Shri S. S. Mukherjee attempted to take the preliminary objection that the reference was invalid because the dispute was an individual and not an industrial dispute in view of the fact that the workman concerned did not become a member of any union before the date of the reference. I did not permit him to raise this objection because Their Lordships have themselves applied the principle of law laid down by them to the facts of this case and have quashed the decision of

the Tribunal obviously on the basis that the present reference is not invalid. They have only directed the Tribunal at this stage to decide the case on merits.

(3) It appears that the permanent haulage khalasi was a man named Gulabi. He was on leave. One Md. Sakur did the work of Gulabi overtime on the 12th and 13th December, 1959. On the 14th December, Md. Sakur refused to do overtime work for the purpose of acting as haulage engine khalasi. The Time Keeper then asked Shri Balaram, the alleged delinquent, whether he would work overtime as haulage engine khalasi. The management's case is that Balaram readily agreed to do the work but Balaram's case is that he was unwilling to do the work but he was forcibly assigned the duty. While Balaram was doing the work, (i) the haulage rope snapped; (ii) six loaded mine cars ran down and were badly damaged; (iii) due to terrific shock the motor and cover were broken and (iv) Stator and rotar coils were damaged.

(4) Chargesheet No. 1033/61 dated 18/19th December, 1961 Ext. M. was issued to Balaram and his reply dated the 25th December, 1961 is Ext. M1. In this reply, he has stated that he was not fully conversant with the defect of the haulage, that he was sent to work overtime though he expressed his unwillingness to go to work, the circumstances under which the damage was caused were beyond his control, that all of a sudden the main switch went off which resulted in failure of electricity, that the brake was defective and did not work and that it was wrong to say that the incident took place due to his negligence in energising the motor in a reverse direction.

(5) Shri S. N. Pandey, Welfare Officer, was appointed to hold the enquiry which was fixed for the 27th December 1961.

(6) The enquiry proceedings are Exts. M4, M5 and M6. The first act which Shri Pandey did was to examine Shri Balaram. After recording his statement, he recorded the statement of Shri S. K. Acharlor, Time Keeper. Balaram was asked to cross examine this witness and he put one or two questions to him. The next witness to be examined was Shri D. K. Sen, the Colliery Engineer. He stated that, while lowering six loaded mine cars by 125 H.P. Haulage, Shri Balaram had definitely put a reverse current instead of putting forward current as a result of which damage was caused to the motor, mine cars and the rope. At this stage, curiously enough, Shri Sen was asked to cross examine Shri Balaram. At first, Shri Balaram co-operated and gave his answers to the questions put by Shri Sen. Subsequently, the nature of Shri Sen's questions became rather technical and it seems that Shri Balaram felt completely out of his depth. He started saying in answer to questions put by Shri Sen that he did not know. Ultimately, he said that he could not reply to such questions and, so saying he walked out of the place. The statement of Md. Sakur was then recorded and, according to him, Balaram willingly went to do the work of haulage engine khalasi. The Enquiry Officer has written a note under the statement of Shri Md. Sakur that he was not cross-examined because Shri Balaram had refused to participate in the enquiry any further. In his enquiry report (Ext. M7) Shri Pandey has stated "in the beginning the accused replied to the questions put by the colliery engineer but when certain vital questions connected with the probable cause of the damage were asked he refused to reply and walked out". From the statement that Balaram walked out at that stage, it seems that he was not even present at the time when the statement of Md. Sakur was recorded. In any case, the enquiry officer found that Balaram's guilt had been established. By a note (Ext. M8) the Manager recommended Balaram's dismissal.

(7) By the letter (Ext. M9) dated the 13th January, 1962 the Chief Mining Engineer dismissed Balaram with effect from the 18th January, 1962.

(8) In the case of Meenglas Tea Estate Vs. Its Workmen reported in 1962 (II) L.L.J. 392, Hidayatulla J has laid down the requirements of a good enquiry as follows:

"No witness was examined in support of the charge before the workman was questioned. It is an elementary principle that a person who is required to answer a charge must know not only the accusation but also the testimony by which the accusation is supported. He must be given a fair chance to hear the evidence in support of the charge



and to put such relevant questions by way of cross-examination as he desires. Then he must be given a chance to rebut the evidence led against him. This is the barest requirement of an enquiry of this character and this requirement must be substantially fulfilled before the result of the enquiry can be accepted. A departure from this requirement in effect throws the burden upon the person charged to repel the charge without first making it out against him".

(8) Keeping in view the above observations, it is clear that Rules of natural justice have been seriously infringed in this case. In the first place, Balaram was the first to be examined in this case. At that time, all that he knew was what was alleged in the chargesheet issued against him. He does not appear to have had any idea of the testimony which would be led against him.

(9) In the second place, it is extraordinary that Shri D. K. Sen, a technical man, should have been allowed by the Enquiry Officer to cross-examine Balaram in order to get his own opinion as to the cause of the incident supported. His cross-examination was so intensive that the alleged delinquent was literally put to flight. He must have felt bewildered and lost confidence in the justice of the enquiry.

(10) The Enquiry Officer should have stopped the proceeding when Balaram went away from there because it was not of his own accord that Balaram left the place. He only left the place when attempt was made to put him in a corner while getting him cross-examined on technical matters by an Engineer. The third infirmity in the proceedings, therefore, is that the enquiry officer recorded the statement of Md. Sakur in Balaram's absence immediately after he went away so that he had no opportunity to cross-examine Sakur.

(11) The fourth infirmity in the proceeding is that Balaram was not asked whether he would examine defence witnesses and no opportunity was given to him for any such purpose.

(12) In view of the weaknesses mentioned above, it is clear that the enquiry has not been held in accordance with the principles of natural justice. The alleged delinquent was deprived of his right of defending himself and his cross-examination by Shri D. K. Sen was extremely prejudicial to him. Hence, the finding arrived at by the Enquiry Officer cannot be upheld. Shri D. K. Sen was examined before me after the case was remanded. In his evidence, he has supported his opinion that the incident took place because the Haulage Khalasi applied the reverse current to the motor suddenly. No evidence was adduced before the Tribunal to the effect that Balaram held himself out to be competent to drive 125 H. P. Haulage or that he went willingly to act as haulage engine khalasi. If the evidence adduced at the enquiry is ignored—and it must be ignored on account of the evidence being recorded against the principles of natural justice—no definite finding can be arrived at on the allegations made in the chargesheet against Balaram on the basis of the evidence adduced before the Tribunal.

(13) In the circumstances mentioned above, I hold that the dismissal of Shri Balaram is not justified. That being so, he is entitled to the relief that he should be reinstated with effect from the date of his dismissal with all his back wages as well as continuity of service. This is my award. Let it be submitted to the Central Government under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) KAMLA SAHAI,

Presiding Officer.

[No. 2/72/63-LRII.]

**S.O. 2498.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Sijua Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Jamadoba, Post Office Jealgora, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 25th June, 1968.

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-  
LABOUR COURT AT DHANBAD.**

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

REFERENCE No. 9 of 1964

**PARTIES:**

Employers in relation to the Sijua Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Co., Ltd., Jamadoba, P.O. Jealgora, (Dhanbad).

*Versus*

Their Workmen.

**PRESENT:**

Shri Kamla Sahai.—*Presiding Officer.*

**APPEARANCES:**

*For the Employers.*—Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate.

*For the Workmen.*—Shri D. Narsingh, Advocate.

*Camp: Patna, dated the 18th June, 1968.*

**AWARD**

This is one of the batch of eight references which has been remanded by the Patna High Court to this Tribunal. By its order No. 2/2/64-LR11 dated the 22nd January, 1964, the Central Government made this reference to this Tribunal for adjudication of the dispute mentioned in the schedule which is as follows:—

**SCHEDULE**

“Whether the action of the management of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company, Jamadoba, Post Office, Jealgora, in dismissing Shri Ramautar, Coalfield Recruiting Organisation Miner from service in Sijua Colliery, was justified? If not, to what relief is the employee entitled?”

2. Shri Raj Kishore Prasad, who was then the Presiding Officer of the Tribunal, took up all the references, two of which were this reference and reference No. 97 of 1963. In all the eight cases, Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate, appearing for the employers, took the preliminary objection that these were cases of individual disputes and not industrial disputes because the workmen concerned were not members of any union nor were their cases sponsored by a substantial number of workmen before their dismissal. Shri Raj Kishore Prasad upheld this objection and rejected all the eight references. The workmen filed Writ applications in the Patna High Court against his decisions. C.W.J.C. 192 of 1966 arose out of reference No. 97 of 1963 and C.W.J.C. 275 of 1966 arose out of the present reference. Their Lordships gave a reasoned judgement in C.W.J.C. 192 of 1966 and set aside the decision of this Tribunal on the ground that an individual dispute turns into an industrial dispute if, before the reference, the union comes into existence and the concerned workman join the union or if a substantial number of workmen sponsors his cause. This decision has been reported as Workmen of Jamadoba Colliery of Tata Iron and Steel Company, Ltd., and Jamadoba Colliery of Tata Iron and Steel Company, Ltd., Jamadoba, and another [1967 (II) L.L.J. 663]. When their Lordships took up C.W.J.C. 275 of 1966, they referred to the decision given by them in C.W.J.C. 192 of 1966. They also mentioned that Mr. S. B. Sanyal had urged before them that the finding of the Tribunal that the workman concerned became a member of the union was based on no evidence. In connection with this argument, their Lordships have said that the Tribunal had discussed the materials and held that the workman became a member of the union after the date of his dismissal and that this was a finding of fact which could not be agitated in the High Court. Following the decision in C.W.J.C. 192 of 1966, Their Lordships set aside the award of this Tribunal and remanded the case, directing that the reference should be re-heard on merits and it should be disposed of in accordance with law.

3. Shri S. S. Mukherjee has attempted again to raise the preliminary objection but the High Court has not only laid down the principle of law in C.W.J.C. 192 of 1966 but it has applied the principle specifically to this case also on its own facts. That being so, I did not permit Shri Mukherjee to agitate the preliminary objection again.

4. The allegation against Ramautar, Miner, C.R.O. (Coalfield Recruiting Organisation) is that, at about 6-30 A.M. on 4th October, 1963, he assaulted Shri Bashir Md. C. R. O. Miner while on duty at 12 Pit landing Golai, in the presence of other co-workers. The management issued chargesheet No. 462 (Ext. M) dated the 12/14th October, 1963, to Shri Ramautar on this allegation. Ramautar submitted his reply (Ext. M1) on the 15th October, 1963. In the reply, he alleged that he was falsely implicated on false allegation because the Hostel Superintendent and the Welfare Officer were annoyed with him on account of his activities in enrolling members of the Congress Mazdoor Sangh and in holding meetings of the C.R.O. workers for not taking meal in the common kitchen.

5. Shri R. K. Varma, Welfare Officer, was appointed to be the Enquiry Officer. He issued a notice (Ext. M2) on the 23rd October, 1963 to Ramautar, stating that he had previously been informed that the enquiry would be held on the 23rd October, 1963, but he had not cared to come on that day and that he was once again being advised to attend the enquiry which was now to be held on the 25th October, 1963.

6. On the 25th October, 1963, the Enquiry Officer recorded the statement of Shri Laloo Singh (Ext. M3), Ramdeo (Ext. M4) and Ramadhar (Ext. M5). All the three witnesses stated that Ramautar came to the enquiry but told the Welfare Officer that he would not make any statement or attend the enquiry and that he then went away and did not take any further part in the enquiry. It appears that the enquiry officer then recorded the evidence of Shri M. S. Hazra, Bashir Mohammad, Ramadhar Kewat, Ramdeo Ahir and Sohanlal (Exts M6 to M10) who supported the alleged incident of assault by Ramautar on Bashir Mohammad either as a hear-say or as an eye-witness.

7. In the report (Ext. M11) dated 25th October, 1963, Shri R. K. Varma, the Enquiry Officer, has mentioned that Ramautar was asked to attend the enquiry on 23rd October, 1963, but he did not turn. It is not clear how this information is alleged to have been given to Ramautar. I have already mentioned that, by a notice (Ext. M2), Ramautar was asked to appear at the enquiry on 25th October, 1963. The Enquiry Officer then added that the accused accordingly reported to him at 9-00 A.M. on 25th October, 1963, but he refused to give his statement or to take part in the enquiry. When the enquiry started, he left the office saying "that he will not participate in the enquiry". He has subsequently commented that, from the fact that Ramautar did not participate in the enquiry, it seemed that he had nothing to say in his defence. On this basis, he held Ramautar on *ex-parte* evidence to be guilty of the charge.

8. The Manager's letter (Ext. M12) dated 30th October, 1963, shows that an earlier date of enquiry was the 21st October, 1963 but there seems to be no material to show how information relating to that date was conveyed to Ramautar. The letter further shows that when Ramautar came to attend the enquiry on the 25th October, he asked for 10 days time to prepare his defence and filed a letter to that effect. The letter was replied to. The Welfare Officer (P) advised Ramautar to attend the enquiry saying that the enquiry would otherwise be conducted *ex-parte*. On the basis of these facts, he recommended dismissal.

9. Ext. M13 is a letter dated the 4th/5th November, 1963, addressed by the Agent and Asstt., Chief Mining Engineer of the Sijua Group of collieries to Shri Ramautar. The information conveyed under this letter is that Ramautar was being dismissed from the company's service with effect from 13th November, 1963.

10. When I took up this case after remand, the employers examined Shri R. K. Varma, the Welfare Officer, who held the chargesheet enquiry in this case. Among other thing he has stated that, when Ramautar came to the place of enquiry on 25th October, 1963, he requested him (the Welfare Officer, for 10 days time to produce his defence witnesses and filed an application (Ext. M15) for that purpose. The Enquiry Officer says that he told Ramautar that, since the managements' witnesses had come, he would like to examine them and then to give time to Ramautar to produce his witnesses and that thereafter Ramautar went away without participating in the enquiry.

11. It must be clear from what I have already stated that a curious feature of this case is that, in their statements (Exts. M3 to M5), the first three witnesses, who were examined on the 25th October, did not say anything about the request of Ramautar for time to produce witnesses. In his report (Ext. M11) also, the Enquiry Officer made no mention whatsoever about such a request—much less about an application. It is for the first time that the Manager made reference to Ramautar's request and a letter filed by him for that purpose in his letter

(Ext. M12) dated the 30th October, 1963. It is only before this Tribunal for the first time that Shri Varma has not only produced the application (Ext. M15) but has also stated that he told Ramautar that he would like to record the evidence of the prosecution witnesses and then to give time to him to examine his witnesses. I find it extremely difficult to accept such a belated statement made about five years after the events took place. I may refer in this connection also to Ext. W4 which is a letter addressed by the Chief Mining Engineer to Sri Ramautar. It is dated 20/22nd November, 1963—long after the letter of dismissal was issued. Although this letter purports to be the reply to a letter dated the 25th October, 1963, it seems to be the reply to some letter other than Ext. M15. I say so because the only point raised in Ext. M15 is that 10 days' time might be granted. This has not been replied to in Ext. W4. All that has been stated therein is that, since Ramautar refused to make any statement to the Enquiry Officer and left the office, there was no alternative for the Enquiry Officer but to conduct the enquiry *ex-parte*.

12. From the circumstances mentioned above, it appears to me that Ramautar prayed for adjournment of the enquiry for 10 days so that he might be enabled to produce his witnesses and filed an application with that prayer. The Enquiry Officer ignored the prayer and wished to proceed on the footing that Ramautar made no request nor any statement. This is clear from the evidence of the first three witnesses as recorded by the Enquiry Officer. Finding, however, that the Manager had mentioned in his letter (Ext. M12) that there was a request and a letter by Ramautar, he was forced to make that admission and to produce the application (Ext. M15) before the Tribunal. He then came out with the explanation that he told Ramautar that he would examine the management's witnesses and then grant him 10 days time for production of his defence witnesses. If the case was being adjourned for 10 days, there was absolutely no point in recording the statement of prosecution witnesses on that date and then to grant adjournment. Ramautar might have said that his witnesses could give him information and could enable him to cross-examine the prosecution witnesses also. On a consideration of these facts, I am not prepared to accept the Enquiry Officer's statement about what he told Ramautar in connection with his prayer for time.

13. The incident in question is alleged to have taken place on the 4th October, 1963. The management claims to have started fixing dates of enquiry from the 21st October. The proceeding cannot be said to have been delayed on the 25th October, 1963. The letter conveying the order of dismissal is dated the 4th/5th November, 1963. Surely, the Enquiry Officer could, without any difficulty, grant 10 days adjournment of the enquiry to Ramautar. No material has been shown to me which could lead me to the conclusion that Ramautar's request for time was un-reasonable or for the purpose of delaying the proceeding. It was the first date on which he had attended the enquiry and from the facts that no time was given to him on his request, that there was a denial of opportunity to him to put up his defence by cross-examining the management's witnesses and examining his own defence witnesses, it is manifest that the inquiry has not been conducted legally and the principles of natural justice have not been kept in view. It is therefore undoubtedly vitiated. I therefore, hold that the dismissal of Ramautar is not justified. In my opinion, he is entitled to be reinstated from the date of his dismissal with all his back wages along with continuity of service. This is my award and it may now be submitted to the Central Government under section 15 of the Act.

Sd./- KAMLA SAHAI, Presiding Officer.

[No. 2/2/64-LRI.]

S.O. 2499.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal (No. 2), Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Messrs Kumardhubi Colliery of Messrs K. Worah and Company Private Limited, Post Office Chirkunda, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 26th June, 1968.



**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2)  
AT DHANBAD.**

**PRESENT:**

Shri Nandagiri Venkata Rao.—*Presiding Officer.*

REFERENCE NO. 77 OF 1967

In the matter of an industrial dispute under Section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

**PARTIES:**

Employers in relation to the M/s. Kumardhubi Colliery of M/s. K. Worah and Company Private Limited, P.O. Chirkunda, District Dhanbad.

AND

Their Workmen.

**APPEARANCES:**

*Fr the employers.*—Shri B. P. Dabral, Chief Personnel Officer.

*For the workmen.*—Shri Shankar Bose, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh

**STATE:** Bihar.

**INDUSTRY:** Coal.

Dhanbad, the 21st June, 1968.

**AWARD**

The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the M/s. Kumardhubi Colliery of M/s. K. Worah and company Private Limited, P.O. Chirkunda, District Dhanbad and their workmen, by its order No. 2/58/65/LRII dated 11th October, 1965, referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad under Section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The schedule is extracted below:

**SCHEDULE**

“Whether the stoppage from work of Sarvashri Janki Bhuia, Jamuna Bhuia and Devsaran Thakur, Trammers, with effect from the 30th October, 1964, and of Jagarnath Bhuia, Trammer, with effect from 28th October, 1964, and their subsequent dismissal from service with effect from the 23rd November, 1964, by the management of M/s. East Kumardhubi Colliery of M/s. K. Worah and company Private Limited, P.O. Chirkunda, District Dhanbad was an act of victimisation. If so, to what relief are these workmen entitled?”

2. The Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad registered the reference as reference No. 171 of 1965 on its file. Employers as well as workmen filed their statements of demands. While it was pending before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad the proceeding was transferred to this Tribunal by the Central Government by its order No. 8/25/67-LRII dated 8th May, 1967, under Section 33 B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Consequently, the reference is renumbered on the file of this Tribunal as reference No. 77 of 1967. Employers filed an addendum to their statement of demands and workmen filed rejoinder to the statement of demands and addendum filed by the employers.

3. In the dispute involved in the reference there are 4 workmen who are affected, S/Shri Janki Bhuia, Jamuna Bhuia, Devsaran Thakur and Jagarnath Bhuia. The first three affected workmen were permanent trammers. All the 4 affected workmen were the workmen of Kumardhubi Colliery (hereinafter referred to as the colliery). All the 4 affected workmen were served with charge-sheets dated 30th October, 1964, and as a result of a joint domestic enquiry their services were terminated with effect from 23rd November, 1964. These facts are not in dispute. The dispute was raised before the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Dhanbad on behalf of the workmen by the General Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh. The case of the workmen is that the first 3 affected workmen were stopped from work with effect from 30th October, 1964, and the 4th Shri Jagarnath Bhuia with effect from 28th October, 1964, and ultimately all the 4 affected workmen were dismissed from service with effect from 23rd November,

1964, to victimise them for their trade union activities. According to the workmen the suspension of the affected workmen was in contravention of the Standing Orders, that the charges brought against them were false and concocted and that the domestic enquiry held against them was improper and the findings arrived at by the enquiry officer were perverse. The stand taken by the employers is that the dispute involved in the reference was not an industrial dispute but it was only an individual dispute, that the 4th affected workman, Shri Jagarnath Bhuia was only a badli trammer and he was stopped from work with effect from 30th October, 1964, and not from 28th October, 1964, that charge-sheets were issued to all the 4 affected workmen on 30th October, 1964 for committing misconduct by assaulting the Manager of the colliery and stopping the 4 workmen pending enquiry, that the domestic enquiry was held in presence of all the 4 affected workmen, that in the enquiry guilt was brought home to the 4 affected workmen, that as a result of the enquiry and as recommended by the enquiry officer the 4 affected workmen were dismissed from service and that the action of the employers taken against the 4 affected workmen was proper and just. They have categorically denied the allegation of victimisation. The workmen were represented by Shri Shankar Bose, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh and the employers by Shri B. P. Dabral, Chief Personnel Officer. By consent of the employers, Exts. W1 to W11 were marked for the workmen and on admission by the workmen, Exts. M1 to M12 were marked for the employers. On behalf of the workmen a witness was examined and Exts. W12 and W12A and M13 were marked. On behalf of the employers 2 witness were examined and Exts. M14 to M50 were marked.

4. At the outset the employers had raised an objection that the dispute involved in the reference was only an individual dispute and not an industrial dispute. The contention of the employers is that the Branch of the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh working at the colliery did not raise the dispute, that a large majority of the members of the Executive Committee of the Branch Sangh was opposed to raising any industrial dispute in respect of the 4 affected workmen and that the Central Colliery Mazdoor Sangh could not convert an individual dispute into an industrial dispute. For support they have relied upon the evidence of WW1 and documents. The evidence of WW1 is not of much consequence to either of the parties, except to prove some documents. WW1 has deposed that in the year 1964, he was a Secretary of the Branch Sangh at the colliery and subsequently came forth with the statement that he was elected as an Assistant Secretary in the election held in September, 1964. But Ext. M10, which is a letter addressed by Shri R. N. Sharma, M.L.A., General Secretary of the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh to the Manager of the colliery dated 8th September, 1964, appending a list of newly elected office bearers of the Branch Sangh at the colliery for the year 1964-65, does not show the name of WW1 as the Assistant Secretary, instead Shri Ram Kishun Das is shown elected as the Assistant Secretary. But it is in the evidence of WW1 that in the year 1964 there were about 700 or 800 members of the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh at the Branch Sangh at the colliery. This fact is not rebutted by the employers. Ext. M50 is a report of the meeting of the Executive Committee of the Branch Sangh at the colliery stated to have been held on the evening on 22nd January, 1965, stating that the meeting was held under the Presidentship of Shri R. N. Sharma, M.L.A. and was attended by 37 members. It further says that a resolution was passed with the concurrence of 36 members present, that the committee disassociated and itself from illegal actions committed by members by way of violation or strike and wished that whatever action proposed to be taken by the President must have the concurrence of the Vice President and the Assistant Secretary of the Branch. It is to be remembered that Ext. M10 clearly shows that Shri R. N. Sharma, M.L.A. was not only the General Secretary of the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh but he was also the President of the Branch Sangh at the colliery. The failure report of the Regional Labour Commissioner (C) Dhanbad dated 14th May, 1965, annexed to the order of reference shows that the dispute was raised before him by the General Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, P.O. and District Dhanbad by a letter No. VII(3A)/64-65/7640-41 dated the 8th February, 1964. This letter is no other than Ext. W5, which is marked on admission by the employers. The letter was addressed by Shri R. N. Sharma, M.L.A., General Secretary. The letter was addressed after Shri R. N. Sharma, M.L.A. was elected as the President of the Branch Sangh at the colliery, as is seen by Ext. M10 and after the meeting of the Executive Committee dated 22nd January, 1965, was stated to have passed the resolution. According to the resolution whatever action was proposed by the President must have had the concurrence of the Vice President and the Assistant Secretary of the Branch Sangh. According to Ext. M10, Shri Sadhu Pashi and Shri Ram

Prawesh Mahato were Vice Presidents and Shri Ram Kishun Das was the Assistant Secretary. There is no evidence that the letter W5 submitted to the Regional Labour Commissioner(C) Dhanbad on 8th February, 1965, by the President, Shri R. N. Sharma, M.L.A. had not the concurrence of the Vice Presidents or the Assistant Secretary. Neither Sri R. N. Sharma, M.L.A. the President Shri Sadhu Pashi and Shri Ram Prawesh Mahato Vice Presidents. Shri Ram Kishun Das, Assistant Secretary nor any of them is examined. Under these circumstances it is not correct to say that the dispute before the Regional Labour Commissioner(C) Dhanbad was not raised by the Branch Sangh of the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh or that it was raised by the Central Union of the Sangh, Consequently, the objection cannot sustain. It is over-ruled.

5. The charge-sheets issued to the 4 affected workmen are Exts. M14, M15, M16 and M17. They are all dated 30th October, 1964. In Ext. M14 the affected workman Shri Jagarnath Bhuia is described as a badli trammer. The case of the workmen is that Shri Jagarnath Bhuia was a permanent trammer. But there is no material brought on record to show that Shri Jagarnath Bhuia was a permanent trammer and not a badli trammer. Exts. M2 and M3 are letters dated respectively 12th October, 1964, and 22nd October, 1964, addressed by Shri R. N. Sharma, M.L.A., General Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh to the Manager of the colliery complaining that the affected workman, Shri Jagarnath Bhuia was not being given work six days in a week. In Ext. M3 it was stated that the affected workman, Shri Jagarnath Bhuia and some others were told by the management that they were temporary while several of the workmen who were juniors to the affected workmen were given permanent jobs. Ext. M38 is a reply by the Manager of the colliery to Ext., M3, pointing out therein that the affected workman, Shri Jagarnath Bhuia was borne in the list of badli trammers and that he was being given badli employment in place of permanent trammers who absented themselves on account of leave, sickness, etc. The letter shows that even before the charge-sheet, Ext. M14 was issued, the affected workman, Shri Jagarnath Bhuia was treated as a badli trammer and not as a permanent trammer. It is contended on behalf of the workmen and it is also stated in the reference that the affected workman, Shri Jagarnath Bhuia, stopped from work from 28th October, 1964, while the employers pleaded that there was no question of his being stopped from work as he was a badli workman and he was not provided with work when there was none to provide him. Even the affected workman, Shri Jagarnath Bhuia did not go into the witness box to show that he was a permanent trammer. On this material I hold that the affected workman, Shri Jagarnath Bhuia was only a badli trammer and as such the employers could not be found fault for not providing him with work with effect from 28th October, 1964.

6. As I have already pointed out, the charge-sheets issued to the 4 affected workmen are Exts. M14, M15, M16 and M17. No where in the statement of demands was it stated that the charge-sheets were not served on the affected workman or that they had no knowledge of the domestic enquiry held against them. On the contrary, it was stated in paras 5 and 12 of their statement of demands that all the affected workmen were served with charge-sheets, while pleading that they contained false and concocted charges. Shri B. P. Dabral, who was the Group Labour Officer in 1964 had conducted the domestic enquiry against all the 4 affected workmen and he is examined as MW1. It is in his evidence that all the 4 affected workmen were present throughout the enquiry and some of them cross examined the management's witnesses also. He held the joint enquiry against all the 4 affected workmen on 19th November, 1965, and the enquiry proceedings are Ext. M23. Exts. M21 and M22 are explanations to the charge-sheets submitted by the 2 affected workmen, Shri Jamuna Bhuia and Shri Janki Bhuia. In the enquiry proceedings, Ext. M23 there are statement of all the 4 workmen recorded by MW1 and the statements are signed by the affected workmen respectively and they are proved by MW1. The proceedings, Ext. M23 also show that the affected workmen examined 3 witnesses in their defence and this fact is also proved by MW1. On the face of this record it cannot be argued that the affected workmen had no knowledge of the enquiry or charges brought against them. Shri Shankar Bose, the learned representative of the workmen has referred me to para 7 of the statement of demands filed on behalf of the employers and contended that while the domestic enquiry was held on 19th November, 1964, 3 of the affected workmen, Shri Devsaran Thakur, Shri Jamuna Bhuia and Shri Jagarnath Bhuia were served with charge-sheets respectively on 23rd November, 1964, 17th November, 1964, and 21st November, 1964 and as such, the affected workmen had no opportunity to submit their explanations or participate in the domestic enquiry. But, as I have already pointed out all the 4 affected workmen did participate in the domestic enquiry whether they were served with the charge-sheets or not. MW1 deposes that they attended

the enquiry on oral information. There is no reason to infer otherwise. None of the affected workmen is examined to show that he did not participate in the domestic enquiry or his statement was not recorded by the enquiry officer or he did not sign the statement. The enquiry proceedings show and MW1 has sworn that the affected workmen were given sufficient opportunity to cross examine the management's witnesses and lead their defence. There is no plea that the findings arrived at by the enquiry officer were perverse. Having gone through the enquiry proceedings, Ext. M23 and the statement of the management's witnesses and the defence witnesses, I cannot conclude that the findings were perverse. It is contended on behalf of the workmen that 3 of the affected workmen were acquitted by the First Class Magistrate on the same charge and on the evidence of the same witnesses. The Magistrate had weighed the evidence adduced before him and the enquiry officer, MW1, the evidence recorded by him and arrived at their findings. Although the same witnesses were examined before the Magistrate and the enquiry officer, MW1, it cannot be stated with certainty that the evidence tendered by the witness was exactly the same. Further, the enquiry officer is not bound to substitute the findings of the Magistrate for his own. It is not shown in what manner the findings were unjust or the enquiry was in contravention of the principles of natural justice. It is also not the case of the workmen that there was any violation of any law or Standing Orders in the employers accepting the findings of the enquiry officer and dismissing the affected workmen.

7. The case of the workmen was that the dismissal of the affected workmen was with a view to victimise them for their trade union activities. But there is no clinching evidence to give rise to this inference. Not even the affected workmen are examined. I do not find any substance in the allegation.

8. As per clause 27 of the Standing Orders of the colliery, Ext. W10 no employee can be suspended without pay, whether as a punishment or pending enquiry exceeding for more than 10 days. This position is admitted by the employers.

9. As I have pointed out above, the employers cannot be found fault for stopping the affected workmen, Shri Jagarnath Bhuia from work from 28th October, 1964, as he was a badli trammer. As regards the other 3 affected workmen the charge-sheets were issued on 30th October, 1964, and at the time suspending them from their service from the same date. All the 4 affected workmen are dismissed from service with effect from 23rd November, 1964. Thus, the period of suspension of the 3 affected workmen, viz., Sarvashri Janki Bhuia, Jumuna Bhuia and Devsaran Thakur was for 24 days and exceeding the 10 days limit prescribed in clause 27 of the Standing Orders, Ext. W10. The contention of the employers is that the suspension period should be counted from the date on which the charge-sheets were served on the concerned affected workmen. But this contention cannot be accepted in view of the fact that the employers kept the 3 affected workmen under suspension actually from 30th October, 1964 and it is not their case that they allowed the 3 affected workmen to continue in their service till the date of service of charge-sheets on them and paid them their wages and other dues accordingly. In this view the 3 affected workmen will be entitled to their wages and other emoluments for 14 days.

10. I, therefore, hold that the dismissal from service with effect from 23rd November, 1964, of Sarvashri Janki Bhuia, Jamuna Bhuia, Devsaran Thakur and Jagarnath Bhuia by the management of M/s. East Kumardhubi Colliery of M/s. K. Worah and Company Private Limited, P.O. Chirkunda, District Dhanbad was not an act of victimisation and it was justified. But, suspension of Sarvashri Janki Bhuia, Jumuna Bhuia and Devsaran Thakur for 14 days was not justified. and as such, they are entitled to their wages and other emoluments for the 14 days. Award is made accordingly and submitted under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Sd./- N. VENKATA RAO,  
Presiding Officer,

Central Government Industrial Tribunal  
(No. 2) at Dhanbad.



## APPENDIX I

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-  
LABOUR COURT NO. 2, AT DHANBAD

REFERENCE NO. 77 OF 1967

Employers in relation to the East Kumardhubi Colliery

.....Employer(s)

vs.

Their Workmen

....Workmen.

*List of Documents admitted in evidence for Employers*

Distinguishing mark or number	Description of document and date	Date of admission	Whether admitted by consent or on proof	Proved by	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
Ex. M1	Letter dt. 12-12-63 from the General Secretary of the Union to the Manager of the Colliery.	6-5-68	By consent		
Ex. M2	Letter dt. 12-10-64 from the General Secretary of the Union to the Manager of the Colliery.	Do.	Do.		
Ex. M3	Letter dt. 22-10-64 from the Gen. Secy. of the Union to the Manager of the Colliery	Do.	Do.		
Ex. M4	Award in Reference No. 164 of 1965 . . . . .	Do.	Do.		
Ex. M5	Award in Reference No. 165 of 1965 . . . . .	Do.	Do.		
Ex. M6	Letter from the Gen. Secy. of the Union to the Manager reg. Sri S. Bhuiya . . . . .	Do.	Do.		
Ex. M7	Letter dt. 30-6-65 from the C.P.O. to the conciliation officer (C) II, Dhanbad . . . . .	Do.	Do.		
Ex. M8	Copy of letter dt. 20-1-66 from the Manager to Sri S. Bhuiya	Do.	Do.		
Ex. M9	Copy of letter dt. 24-1-66 from the Gen. Secy. of the Union to the Manager of the Colliery	Do.	Do.		
Ex. M10	Letter dt. 8-9-64 from the Gen. Secy. of the Union to the Manager of the Colliery . . . . .	Do.	Do.		
Ex. M11	Letter dt. 16-1-65 from the Gen. Secy. of the Union to the Manager of the Colliery . . . . .	Do.	Do.		
Ex. M12	Letter dt. 23-5-63 from the Gen. Secy. of the Union to the Manager of the Colliery . . . . .	Do.	Do.		
Ex. M13	Letter dt. 18-9-63 from the Gen. Secy. of the Union to the Manager . . . . .	23-5-68	on proof	WWI	

1	2	3	4	5	6
Ex. M14	Chargesheet dt. 30-10-64 to Sri J. Bhuiya . . . . .	23-5-68	On proof	MW1	
Ex. M14(a)	Returned postal cover . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M15	Chargesheet dt. 30-10-64 to Shri D. Thakur . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M15(a)	Returned postal cover . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M16	Chargesheet dt. 30-10-64 to Shri J. Bhuiya (III) . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M16(a)	Returned postal cover . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M17	Chargesheet dt. 5-11-64 to Sri J. Bhuiya . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M17(a)	Postal acknowledgement . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M18	Notice dt. 16-11-64 to Shri D. Thakur . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M18(a)	Acknowledgement . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M19	Notice to S/Shri J. Bhuiya & J. Bhuiyan (III) dt. 16-11-64 . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M19(a) & M19(b)	Two Acknowledgements . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M20	Notice dt. 16-11-64 to Shri J. Bhuiyan . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M20(a)	Acknowledgement . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M21	Explanation of Sri J. Bhuiyan . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M22	Explanation of Sri J. Bhuiyan . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M23	Enquiry proceedings(Hindi) . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M24	Enquiry Report . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M25	Letter of dismissal dt. 23-11-64 to Sri J. Bhuiyan . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M25(a)	Acknowledgement . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M26	Letter of dismissal dt. 23-11-64 to Sri D. Thakur . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M26(a)	Acknowledgement . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M27	Letter of dismissal dt. 23-11-64 to Sri J. Bhuiya(III) . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M27(a)	Acknowledgement . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M28]	Letter of dismissal dt. 23-11-64 to Sri J. Bhuiya . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M28(a)	Acknowledgement . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M29	Letter dt. 26-7-60 from the Manager to Sri J. Bhuiya . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M30	Letter dt. 28-10-60 from the Manager to Sri J. Bhuiya . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M31	Letter dt. 31-1-61 from the Manager to Sri J. Bhuiya . . . . .	Do.	Do.	Do.	

1	2	3	4	5	6
Ex. M32	Letter dt. 4-8-62 from the Manager to Sri J. Bhuia .	23-5-68	On proof	MWI	
Ex. M33	Letter dt. 27-11-63 from the Manager to Sri Bhuia .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M34	Letter dt. 18-12-63 from the Manager to Sri J. Bhuia .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M35	Letter dt. 17-12-63 from the Manager to the General Secretary to the Union .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M36 <sup>1</sup>	Letter dt. 15-2-64 from the Manager to Sri R.N. Sharma .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M37	Letter dt. 19-10-64 from the Manager to the General Secretary to the Union .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M38	Letter dt. 29-10-64 from the Manager to the General Secretary of the Union .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M39	Letter dt. 10-12-64 from the Manager to the General Secretary of the Union .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M40	Letter dt. 10-11-64 from the Manager to the Medical Inspector of Mines .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M41	Letter dt. 24-5-63 from the Manager to the General Secretary of the Union	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M42	Letter dt. 27-9-63 from the Manager to the General Secretary of the Union .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M43	Letter of Sri B. Upadhyaya	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M44	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M45	Letter dt. 7-12-63 from the Welfare Officer to Sri J. Bhuia	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M46	Letter dt. 18-12-63 from the Welfare officer to the Manager.	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M47	Enquiry proceedings .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M48	Letter dt. 26-5-65 from Sri K.V. Ayyar to Mr. Radhakrishnan .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M49	Letter dt. 23-1-66 from Sri L.J. Pathak to Sri Sodheshwar Babu .	Do.	Do.	Do.	
Ex. M50	Meeting of the Executive Committee on 22-1-65 .	Do.	Do.	Do.	

Sd/- N. VENKATA RAO,

Presiding Officer,

Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal No. 2,  
Dhanbad.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2,  
DHANBAD.

REFERENCE NO. 77 OF 1967

Employers in relation to the East Kumardhubi Colliery .. .. Employer(s)

Vs.

Their workmen.

*List of Documents admitted in Evidence for Workmen*

Distinguishing mark or number	Description of document and date	Date of admission	Whether admitted by consent or on proof	Proved by	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
Ex. W1	Letter Dt. 30-10-64 from the Welfare Officer to Shri J. Bhuia (No. III)	6-5-68	By consent		
Ex. W2	Letter Dt. 16-11-64 from the Manager to Shri J. Bhuia (No. III)	Do.	Do.		
Ex. W3	Letter Dt. 29-11-64 from the Manager to Shri J. Bhuia(III)	Do.	Do.		
Ex. W4	Copy of Judgement dt. 23-6-66 of the Munsif Magistrate Ist Class in G.R. Case No. 2582 of 64	Do.	Do.		
Ex. W5	Office copy of letter dt. 8-2-65 from the Gen. Secy. of the Union to the Conciliation officer, Dhanbad.	Do.	Do.		
Ex. W6	Failure Report of conciliation Officer, Dhanbad II dt. 14-5-65	Do.	Do.		
Ex. W7	Bonus Registers for 1962, '63 and '64	Do.	Do.		
Ex. W8	Provident Fund contribution for the relevant period.	Do.	Do.		
Ex. W9	Form-B Registers for 1964	Do.	Do.		
Ex. W10	Copies of standing orders	Do.	Do.		
Ex. W11	Statement in Form-I for the period 1-1-60 to 30-12-65	Do.	Do.		
Ex. W12	Membership Register—Branch Dzxg Kumardhubi Colliery	23-5-68	On proof	WWI	
Ex. W12(a)	Membership Nos. 859-860	Do.	Do.	Do.	

Sd/- N. VENKATA RAO,

Presiding Officer,

Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad.

## APPENDIX II

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL ( NO.2),  
AT DHANBAD.

REFERENCE NO. 77 OF 1967

Employes in relation to the East Kumardhubi Colliery .... Employer(s)

Vs.

Their Workman

.. Workmen

*List of witness examined for the Employers*

No. of witness	Name of witness	Date of examination
MW1	Biswambar Prasad Debral	23-5-1968
MW2	Roshendra Chandra Dutta	23-5-1968

*List of witness examined for the workmen*

No. of witness	Name of witness	Date of examination
WW1	Mahendra Jha	23-5-1968

Sd/-  
N. VENKATA RAO,  
Presiding Officer.  
Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal No. 2 Dhanbad

[No. 2/58/65-LRIL]

New Delhi, the 5th July 1968

S.O. 2500.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Ballhari Colliery of Messrs Ballhari Colliery Company (Private) Limited (and their Managing Agents, Messrs Khatau and Company (Private) Limited), Post Office Kusunda, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 2nd July, 1968.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR  
COURT AT DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

REFERENCE NO. 58 OF 1967

## PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Ballhari Colliery, P.O. Kusunda, Dist. Dhanbad.

Vs.

Their Workmen

## PRESENT:

Shri Kamla Sahai, Presiding Officer.

**APPEARANCES:**

*For the Employers:* Shri S. S. Kapoor, Advocate.

*For the Workmen:* Shri Lalit Burman, General Secretary, Bihar Koyla Mazdoor Sabha Dhanbad.

**STATE:** Bihar

**INDUSTRY:** Coal-

Dhanbad, dated the 24th June, 1968

**AWARD**

By order No. 2/124/64-LRII dated the 11th January, 1965, the Government of India made this reference to this Tribunal for adjudication and by its order No. 8/25/67-LRII dated the 16th September, 1967, it was transferred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal at Jabalpur. It was numbered there as reference No. 132 of 1967. By another order, bearing No. 8/25/67-LRII dated the 24th November, 1967 the reference has been re-transferred to this Tribunal. It has now been numbered as reference No. 58 of 1967. The dispute which has to be adjudicated upon is mentioned in the schedule which is as follows:—

**SCHEDULE**

- (1) Whether the management of the Balihari Colliery of Messrs Balihari Colliery Company (Private) Limited [and their Managing Agents, Messrs Khatau and Company (Private) Limited] rendered the following workman idle/or stopped them from their work with effect from the dates shown against their names. If so, whether their action was justified? If not justified to what relief are the workmen entitled?

<i>Name of the workmen</i>	<i>Date from which rendered idle</i>
1. Shri Degan Ram	12-8-64
2. Shri Barhan Bhuiya	12-8-64
3. Shri Bhuvaneshwar Bhuiya	12-8-64
4. Shri Rajack Mian	11-8-64
5. Shri Shankar Ram	10-8-64
6. Shri Bideshi Ram	21-8-64
7. Shri Anup Mahato	21-8-64
8. Shri Jagdish Thakur	21-8-64
9. Shri Jifan Chamar	21-8-64
10. Shri Bharat Mahato	5-9-64

- (2) Whether the Management of Balihari Colliery of Messrs Balihari Colliery Company (Private) Limited [and their Managing Agents Messrs Khatau and Company (Private) Limited], were justified in stopping from work Shri Ram Khelawan Rauth, Pump Khalasi with effect from the 27th August, 1964 and keeping Shri Chulhan Sao, Under Ground Trammer, under suspension from the 21st August, 1964 and finally dismissing him from service with effect from the 14th September, 1964? If not to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

2. Both parties have entered into a compromise and have filed today a compromise petition dated the 22nd June, 1968. Annexure 'A' to the petition is a list of all the 12 workmen mentioned in both parts of the reference and, against the name of each is mentioned the amount of retrenchment compensation which is payable to him. The management has agreed to treat these workmen as having been retrenched and, on that basis, the retrenchment compensation is being paid. The parties have stated before me through their representatives that the workmen will be paid their bonus dues, leave wages, and other legal dues, if not already paid, over and above the retrenchment compensation mentioned in annexure 'A'.

3. I find that the terms of the compromise are quite fair. I, therefore, accept it.

4. Accordingly I dispose of the reference in terms of the compromise which will, along with annexure 'A', form part of my award. Let this award be submitted to the Central Government under section 18, of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Sd/- KAMLA SAHAI,  
Presiding Officer.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT, INDUSTRIAL  
TRIBUNAL NO. 1, DHANBAD

IN THE MATTER OF REFERENCE NO. 58 OF 1967.

BETWEEN

Employers in relation to Balihari Colliery of M/s. Balihari Colliery Co. Pvt. Ltd.,  
P.O. Kusunda, Dist. Dhanbad.

AND

Their Workmen

*Joint petition of compromise*

Both the parties in this case respectfully submit:—

(1) That this case is fixed for hearing before your Honour.

(2) That both the parties without prejudice to their respective stands, after mutual negotiations, have amicably resolved this dispute on the following terms:—

*Terms of Settlement.*

(a) The Management agrees to treat the workmen concerned in this dispute as retrenched under the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 on and from the date of termination from their respective services.

(b) The workmen concerned shall be paid their dues on above account as shown against each in the Annexure—'A' attached herewith and this amount shall be paid to them by the Management on or before 24-7-68.

(3) That the parties agree to bear their own cost.

(4) That an Award in terms of the above Settlement may kindly be given.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that your Honour may be graciously pleased to accept this settlement as fair and reasonable and your Honour may be further pleased to give the Award in terms of this Settlement.

And for this act of your kindness both the parties shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

Dated 22nd June, 1968.

For Workmen.

For Employers.

for Balihari Colliery Co. Pvt. Ltd.  
for Khatau & Co. Pvt. Ltd.

(Sd.) Illegible,

Director,  
Managing Agents.

(Sd.) LALIT BURMAN,  
General Secretary,  
Bihar Koyla Mazdoor Sabha.

ANNEXURE 'A'

		Amount to be paid
		Rs.
1. Shri Degon Ram	Miner	150.00
2. Shri Barhan Bhuiya	"	150.00
3. Shri Bhuneswar Bhuiya	"	150.00
4. Shri Rajak Mian	"	150.00
5. Shri Shankar Ram	"	150.00
6. Shri Bideshi Ram	Hazree Worker	150.00
7. Shri Arup Raut	"	150.00
8. Shri Jagdish Thakur	"	150.00
9. Shri Jitan Chamar	"	150.00
10. Shri Bharat Mahato	Miner	150.00
11. Shri Ram Khelwan Raut	Hazree Worker	500.00
12. Shri Chulan Shaw	Trammar	1,500.00

(Sd.) LALIT BURMAN,

(Sd.), Illegible.

[No. 2/124//64-LRII.]



**S. O. 2501.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal (No. 2), Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the East Lohapati Colliery of Messrs East Lohapati Coal Company, Post Office Nudkhurkee, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 20th June, 1968.

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2)  
AT DHANBAD**

**PRESENT:**

Shri Nandagiri Venkata Rao, Presiding Officer

REFERENCE No. 80 of 1967

In the matter of an industrial dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

**PARTIES:**

Employers in relation to the East Lohapati colliery of Messrs East Lohapati Coal Company, Post office Nudhurkee, District Dhanbad.

AND

Their workmen

**APPEARANCES:**

*For the Employers:* Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate

*For the workmen:* Shri Shankar Bose, Secretary.

STATE: Bihar

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad 24th June, 1968

3rd Ashadha, 1890, Saka.

**AWARD**

The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the East Lohapati Colliery of Messrs East Lohapati Coal Company, Post office Nudkhurkee, District Dhanbad and their workmen, by its order No. 2/90/65-LRII dated 28th October, 1965 referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The schedule is extracted below:

**SCHEDULE**

"Whether the action of the management of East Lohapati Colliery of Messrs East Lohapati Coal Company, P.O. Nudkhurkee, (District, Dhanbad) in stopping the following workmen from working in the said colliery with effect from the 5th June, 1965 and transferring them to West Godhur Colliery, Post Office Kusunda (District, Dhanbad) with effect from the 4th August, 1965 was justified?"

- |                     |      |              |
|---------------------|------|--------------|
| 1. Shri Surja Bhuia | .... | Wagon loader |
| 2. Shri Gopi Bhuia  |      | Wagon loader |
| 3. Shri Karu Bhuia  | .... | Wagon loader |
| 4. Shri Sokhi Bhuia | .... | Wagon loader |

If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

2. The Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad registered the reference as Reference No. 178 of 1965 on its file. While it was pending before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad the proceeding was transferred to this Tribunal, by the Central Government by its order No.

8/25/67-LRII dated 8th May, 1967 under Section 33B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Consequently, the reference is renumbered on the file of this Tribunal as reference No. 80 of 1967. The employers as well as the workmen filed their statements of demands.

3. On 20th May, 1968 parties filed a compromise memo and it is duly verified. According to the compromise the four affected workmen are to be provided with jobs of truck loaders at East Lohapathy colliery, the workmen have consented for the period to be treated as leave without pay and the employers have agreed to pay Rs. 100/- as an *ex-gratia* payment to each of the four affected workmen. I consider the terms of compromise as beneficial to the four affected workmen and the workmen. The compromise is, therefore, accepted and the award is made in terms of the compromise. The compromise memo is annexed herewith and is made part of the Award. The award is submitted under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO,  
Presiding Officer,

Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 2,  
Dhanbad.

**BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL  
TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD**

A.T. REF. No. 80 OF 1967

In the matter of Notification No. 2/90/65-LR II dated the 28th October, 1965.

AND

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute

**BETWEEN**

The Employers in relation to East Lohapati Colliery,

AND

Their Workmen

The humble petition on behalf of the parties above-named

Most respectfully Sheweth :—

That after discussions and negotiation the above dispute has been amicably settled between the parties on the following terms :—

1. That S/Shree Sarjoo Bhuia, Gopi Bhuia, Sakhi Bhuia and Karo Bhuia will be provided with Job of Truck Loader at East Lodhpati Colliery on and from 1st June '68. Since wagons are not placed at the siding, the Company do not require the services of any wagon loaders.

2. That as East Lohapati Colliery and West Godhar Colliery are under the same management and as the workmen concerned have refused to accept the transfer, this period will be treated as leave without pay.

3. The Company, as a gesture of good-will, will pay Rs. 100/- (Rupees One Hundred) only each as an *ex-gratia* payment. The Union have agreed to inform the workmen concerned to report for duty within two week's time. If they do not report for duty within the said period their claim for re-instatement of duty will cease.

It is therefore humbly prayed that the above compromise may kindly be recorded and an Award passed in terms thereof.

(Sd.) Illegible.

For Workmen

(Sd.) Illegible

Witness :—

(Sd.) Harihar Singh.

For Employer.

Date.....

## APPENDIX I

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (N O. 2)  
AT DHANBAD.

REFERENCE NO. 80 OF 1967

Employers in relation to the East Lohapaty Colliery

AND

Their workmen

*List of Documents Admitted in Evidence for Employers*

Distinguishing mark or number	Description of document and date.	Date of admission.	Whether admitted by consent or on Proof	Proved by	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

*List of Documents Admitted in Evidence for Workmen*

Distinguishing mark or number	Description of document and date	Date of admission	Whether admitted by consent or on proof	Proved by	Remark
1	2	3	4	5	6
Ex. W1.	One original of notice dated 2nd June, 1965 issued by the Manager, East Lohapaty Colliery to the affected workmen.	14-2-68	By consent		
Ex. W2	One carbon copy of notice dated 3rd June, 1965 issued by the Manager, East Lohapaty Colliery to 8 workmen including the 4 affected workmen.	Do.	Do.		
Ex. W3.	Office copy of the joint petition of the 4 affected workmen dated 9-6-65 addressed to the Manager, East Lohapaty Colliery in Hindi (along with its translation in English).	Do.	Do.		
Ex. W4.	One original of Notice dated 10-7-65 issued by the Manager, East Lohapaty Colliery to all the 4 affected workmen.	Do.	Do.		

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO,  
Presiding Officer.Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal No. 2,  
Dhanbad.

## APPENDIX II

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-  
LABOUR COURT (No. 2) AT DHANBAD

REFERENCE No. 80 OF 1967

Employers in relation to the East Lohapatty colliery

AND

Their workmen

*List of Witness examined for the Employers*

No. of witness	Name of witness	Date of examination
Nil.	Nil.	Nil.

*List of Witness examined for the Workmen*

No. of Witness	Name of Witness	Date of Examination.
Nil.	Nil.	Nil.

Sd/- N. VENKATA RAO,  
Presiding Officer,  
Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad.  
[No. 2/90/65-LRII.]

*New Delhi, the 6th July 1968*

**S.O. 2502.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Balihari Colliery of Messrs Balihari Colliery Company (Private) Limited, Post Office Kusunda, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 2nd July, 1968.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-  
LABOUR COURT AT DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

REFERENCE No. 68 OF 1967

## PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Balihari Colliery, P.O. Kusunda, Dist. Dhanbad.

Vs.

Their Workmen

## PRESENT:

Shri Kamla Sahai, Presiding Officer.

## APPEARANCES:

For the employers.—Shri S. S. Kapur, Advocate.

For the workmen.—Shri Lalit Burman, General Secretary, Bihar Koyla Mazdoor Sabha, Dhanbad.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad the 24th June, 1968.

## AWARD

By order No. 2/41/67-LRII dated the 6th April, 1967, the Central Government made this reference for adjudication to this Tribunal, and by its order No. 8/25/67-LRII dated the 16th September, 1967 it was transferred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal at Jabalpur. It was numbered there as reference No. 140 of 1967. By another order bearing No. 8/25/67-LRII dated the 24th November, 1967, the reference has been re-transferred to this Tribunal. It has now been numbered here as reference No. 68 of 1967. The dispute which has to be adjudicated upon is mentioned in the schedule which is as follows:—

## SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether the action of the management of Balihari Colliery of Messrs Balihari Colliery Company (Private) Limited, Post Office Kusunda, District Dhanbad, in transferring the miners named below to the colliery named against their respective names with effect from the 1st November, 1965, was justified

Sl. No.	Names of the miners transferred	Name of the colliery to which they are transferred
1	2	3
1	Shri Joti Manjhi . . . . .	Murulidih Colliery.
2	Shri Imaman Mia . . . . .	Do.
3	Shri Barhan Bhuia . . . . .	Do.
4	Shri Baleswar Dhobi . . . . .	Do.
5	Shri Bhagirathi Gope . . . . .	Do.
6	Shri Mindo Sao . . . . .	Do.
7	Shri Salim Mia . . . . .	Do.
8	Shri Joti Orang . . . . .	Do.
9	Shri Manohar Orang . . . . .	Do.
10	Shri Jhari Orang . . . . .	Do.
11	Shri Nasir Mia . . . . .	Do.
12	Shri Sikandar Mia . . . . .	Do.
13	Shri Abdul Mia . . . . .	Do.
14	Shri Godhan Orang . . . . .	Do.
15	Shri Latu Kumhar . . . . .	Do.
16	Shri Saha tul Mia . . . . .	Do.
17	Shri Fagu Orang . . . . .	Do.
18	Shri Liloo Hazam . . . . .	Do.
19	Shri Ozir Bhua . . . . .	Do.
20	Shri Sarwan Orang . . . . .	Do.
21	Shri Roshan Mia . . . . .	Do.
22	Shri Dil Mohammad . . . . .	Do.
23	Shri Habib Mia . . . . .	Do.
24	Shri Sibon Bhuia . . . . .	Do.
25	Shri Jugal Rajwar . . . . .	Do.
26	Shri Salkhu Mijhi . . . . .	Do.
27	Shri Annoj Das . . . . .	Do.
28	Shri Sakil Mia . . . . .	Do.
29	Shri Makund Bauri . . . . .	Do.
30	Shri Samshor Mia . . . . .	Do.
31	Shri Joti Bouri . . . . .	Do.
32	Shri Rabi Orang . . . . .	Do.
33	Shri Chuna Manjhi . . . . .	Do.
34	Shri Kewal Mahato . . . . .	Do.
35	Shri Bakhori Mahato . . . . .	Do.
36	Shri Narshing Singh . . . . .	Do.
37	Shri Arjoon Singh . . . . .	Do.
38	Shri Alijan Mia (Ozir) . . . . .	Do.

1	2	3
39	Shri Jageswar Dusadh	Murulidih Colliery
40	Shri Pusha Majhi	Do.
41	Shri Neman Mia	Do.
42	Shri Tetar Pashii	Do.
43	Shri Doman Chamar	Do.
44	Shri Lochan Chamar	Do.
45	Shri Dewani Mia	Do.
46	Shri Nemi Bhuia	Do.
47	Shri Liloo Bhuia	Do.
48	Shri Charan Mahato	Do.
49	Shri Naro Mahato	Do.
50	Shri Nandlal Dusadh	Do.
51	Shri Ruplal Mahato	Do.
52	Shri Gulab Mahato	Do.
53	Shri Mindo Mahato	Do.
54	Shri Khiru Sao	Do.
55	Shri Abdul Mia	Do.
56	Shri Thanu Mahato	Do.
57	Shri Banwari Ram	Do.
58	Shri Rambarat Dusadh	Do.
59	Shri Ahijan Mia (Teko)	Do.
60	Shri Janki Barhi	Do.
61	Shri Abu Mia	Do.
62	Shri Hari Sao	Do.
63	Shri Jhari Mia	Do.
64	Shri Laldhari Mahato	Do.
65	Shri Liyakar Mian	Do.
66	Shri Latan Chamar	Do.
67	Shri Haro Dhobi	Do.
68	Shri Gopal Bauri	Do.
69	Shri Alibox Mian	Do.
70	Shri Gulam Rasul	Do.
71	Shri Ozir Mian	Do.
72	Shri Janki Mahato	Do.
73	Shri Radhika Singh	Do.
74	Shri Ramjan Mian	Do.
75	Shri Surjan Majhi	Bhatdee Colliery.
76	Shri Dhani Pashii	Do.
77	Shri Shankar Manjhi	Do.
78	Shri Rabhunandan Kurmi	Do.
79	Shri Matabhik Passi	Do.
80	Shri Raman Kurmi	Do.
81	Shri Bilat Bhuia	Do.
82	Shri Atwari Mahato	Do.
83	Shri Hublal Orang	Do.
84	Shri Shamsundar Thakur	Do.
85	Shri Mahabir Kurmi	Do.
86	Shri Bharat Kurmi	Do.
87	Shri Satidin Kurmi	Do.
88	Shri Jiblal Dhobi	Do.
89	Shri Tahal Mahato	Do.
90	Shri Sarjoo Passi	Do.
91	Shri Teko Dhobi	Do.
92	Shri Satrugan Mahato	Do.
93	Shri Kisto Mahato	Do.
94	Shri Sankar Mahato	Do.
95	Shri Ruplal Dusadh	Do.
96	Shri Sahabali Mian	Do.
97	Shri Jagdeo Chamar	Do.
98	Shri Jalaluddin	Do.
99	Shri Moti Mahato	Do.
100	Shri Jawahir Bhuia	Do.
101	Shri Rameswar Bhuia	Do.
102	Shri Ramdhani Singh	Do.
103	Shri Baleswar Barhi	Do.
104	Shri Nanku Majhi	Do.

1	2	3
105	Shri Pokhan Hajam . . . . .	Bhatdea Colliery
106	Shri Lokhan Rajwar . . . . .	Do.
107	Shri Mshindi Raiwar . . . . .	Do.
108	Shri Dhuma Majhi . . . . .	Do.
109	Shri Suchand Mahato . . . . .	Do.
110	Shri Janki Barhi . . . . .	Do.
111	Shri Dayal Chamar . . . . .	Do.
112	Shri Chander Sao . . . . .	Do.
113	Shri Ramsureman Gope . . . . .	Do.
114	Shri Chaitu Orang . . . . .	Do.
115	Shri Damri Chamar . . . . .	Do.
116	Shri Budhu Mahato . . . . .	Do.
117	Shri Kaloo Rajwar . . . . .	Do.
118	Shri Sunder Lal B. P. . . . .	Do.
119	Shri Tribeni Chamar . . . . .	Do.
120	Shri Doglal Chamar . . . . .	Do.
121	Shri Sarju Bhuia . . . . .	Do.
122	Shri Tahal Chamar . . . . .	Do.
123	Shri Mohan Chamar . . . . .	Do.
124	Shri Garib Bhuia . . . . .	Do.
125	Shri Prayag Dhobi . . . . .	Do.
126	Shri Jhari Mahato . . . . .	Do.
127	Shri Sahadat Mia . . . . .	Do.
128	Shri Shibu Passi . . . . .	Do.
129	Shri Peyari Chamar . . . . .	Do.
130	Shri Manu Chamar . . . . .	Do.
131	Shri Charan Mahato . . . . .	Do.
132	Shri Deoki Mahato . . . . .	Do.
133	Shri Mangal Mahato . . . . .	Do.
134	Shri Sukar Sao . . . . .	Do.
135	Shri Jagdish Ram . . . . .	Do.
136	Shri Parmeswar Ram . . . . .	Do.
137	Shri Banshi Ram . . . . .	Do.
138	Shri Darshan Mahato . . . . .	Do.
139	Shri Ruplal Dhobi . . . . .	Do.
140	Shri Mangaran Raiwar . . . . .	Do.
141	Shri Dukhi Chamar . . . . .	Do.

(ii) If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

2. Both parties have entered into a compromise. They have filed the compromise petition dated the 22nd June, 1968 today. The agreement substantially is this that the orders of transfer passed against the 141 workmen will be treated as orders of retrenchment. All these 141 workmen will, therefore, be paid retrenchment compensation. This agreement has been signed by the Director of the Managing Agents on behalf of the company and by Shri Lalit Burman, General Secretary of the Bihar Koyla Majdoor Sabha, on behalf of the union.

3. It has been orally stated by Shri Kapoor, the learned Advocate for the employers, and by Shri Lalit Burman that the dues mentioned against the names of all the 141 workmen are only the amounts payable as retrenchment compensation. The workmen are entitled to get their bonus, leave wages and other legal dues, if not already paid, over and above the amounts mentioned against the names of the workmen in the list attached to the compromise petition.

4. In my opinion, the compromise is fair and reasonable. I accept it.

5. The reference is, therefore, disposed of in terms of the compromise which, along with the list, will form part of the award. Let this award be submitted to the Central Government under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947.

Presiding Officer.



**BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL  
TRIBUNAL NO. 1 DHANBAD.**

In the matter of Reference No. 68 of 1967 (OLD REFERENCE NO. 37 OF 1967)

**BETWEEN**

Employers in relation to Balihari Colliery of M/s. Balihari Colliery Co., Pvt.  
Ltd., P.O. Kusunda, Dist. Dhanbad.

**AND**

Their Workmen

*Joint petition of compromise.*

Both the parties in this case respectively submit:—

(1) That this case is fixed for hearing before your honour.

(2) That both the parties without prejudice to their respective stands, after mutual negotiations, have amicably resolved this disputes on the following terms:—

*Terms of Settlement*

(a) The Management agrees to treat the workmen concerned in this dispute as retrenched under the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 on and from the date of their respective transfers.

(b) The workmen concerned shall be paid their dues on above account as shown against each in the Annexure A' attached herewith and this amount shall be paid to them by the Management on or before 24th July 1968.

(3) That the parties agree to bear their own cost.

(4) That an Award in terms of the above Settlement may kindly be given.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that your Honour may be graciously pleased to accept this settlement as fair and reasonable and your Honour may be further pleased to give the Award in terms of this Settlement.

And for this act of your kindness both the parties shall, as in duty bound, every pray.

Dated 22nd June, 1968.

For Workmen.

(Sd.) LALIT BURMAN,

General Secretary.

Bihar Koyla Mazdoor Sabha.

For Employers,

For Balihari Colliery Co. Private Ltd.

For Khatau & Co., Private Ltd.,

(Sd.) ILLEGIBLE,

Director.

Managing Agents.

ANNEXURE 'A'

Sl. No.	Name	Son of	Amount to be paid
<i>Miners transferred to Murulidih Colliery</i>			
1	Joti Manjhi	Babulal Manjhi	Rs. 200.00
2	Imaman Miah	Nabi Miah	200.00
3	Barhan Bhuiya	Sidu Bhuiya	200.00
4	Baleshwar Dhobi	Bandhan Dhobi	200.00
5	Bhagirathi Gope	Pancham Gope	200.00
6	Nindo Saw	Utim Saw	200.00

Serial No.	Name	Son of	Amount to be Paid
			Rs.
7	Salim Mian	Mohammud Mian	200.00
8	Joti Orang	Thelo Orang	250.00
9	Manohar Orang	Budhu Orang	250.00
10	Jhari Orang	Biroo Orang	250.00
11	Nasir Miah	Manbodh Miah	500.00
12	Sekander Miah	Abdul Miah	400.00
13	Abdul Miah	Lochan Miah	450.00
14	Sodhan Orang	Bideshi Orang	400.00
15	Latu Kumhar	Jabbi Kumhar	600.00
16	Sahadul Miah	Jubratl Miah	400.00
17	Fagu Orang	Rupai Orang	400.00
18	Liloo Hazam	Shib Charan Hazam	250.00
19	Qzir Bhuiya	Ghaman Bhuiya	250.00
20	Sarban Orang	Jawri Orang	400.00
21	Roshan Miah	Jubratl Miah	450.00
22	Dil Mohammad	Nurmoli Mahammad	500.00
23	Habib Miah	Phuchari Miah	350.00
24	Shiban Bhuiya	Dharam Bhuiya	350.00
25	Jugal Bajwar	Kisto Rajwar	400.00
26	Salkoo Manjhi	Baghu Manjhi	400.00
27	Anuj Das	Jalal Das	450.00
28	Sakil Miah	Samir Miah	500.00
29	Mukund Bawri	Sochan Bawri	450.00
30	Sameshar Miah	Diloo Miah	400.00
31	Joti Bawri	Lakhan Bawri	500.00
32	Rabi Orang	Lekho Orang	450.00
33	Chuna Manjhi	Jhapar Manjhi	250.00
34	Kewal Mahato	Goneri Mahato	350.00
35	Bakhori Mahato	Kewal Mahato	500.00
36	Narsing Singh	Juma Singh	300.00
37	Arjun Singh	Laldhari Singh	350.00
38	Alijan Miah	Qzir Miah	450.00
39	Jageshwar Dusadh	Dokhan Dusadh	350.00
40	Pusa Manjhi	Surjan Manjhi	500.00
41	Neman Miah	Teko Miah	500.00
42	Tetar Passi	Mangar Passi	450.00
43	Doman Chamar	Rebal Chamar	500.00
44	Lochan Chamar	Hiroo Chamar	400.00
45	Dewani Mia	Parbali Mia	400.00
46	Nemi Bhuiya	Degon Bhuiya	500.00
47	Liloo Bhuiya	Bishni Bhuyia	500.00
48	Charan Mahato	Hulas Mahato	500.00
49	Naroo Mahato	Peyare Mahato	550.00
50	Nandlal Dusadh	Ramautar Dusadh	500.00
51	Ruplal Mahato	Hemraj Mahato	550.00
52	Gulab Mahato	Murat Mahato	450.00
53	Nindo Mahato	Chaman Mahato	450.00
54	Khirroo Saw	Amrit Saw	500.00
55	Abdul Mia	Jumrati Mia	450.00
56	Thanu Mahato	Mangar Mahato	450.00
57	Banwari Ram	Diloo Ram	350.00
58	Ram Barat Dusadh	Gokul Dusadh	350.00
59	Alija Mia	Teko Mia	450.00
60	Janki Barhi	Teko Barhi	350.00
61	Abu Mia	Mohammad Mia	250.00
62	Hari Saw	Fagu Saw	500.00
63	Jhari Mia	Nanku Mia	400.00
64	Laldhari Mahato	Nindo Mahato	450.00
65	Liakat Mia	Amir Mia	400.00
66	Lotan Chamar	Manghu Chamar	500.00
67	Haru Dhobi	Nemon Dhobi	500.00
68	Gopal Bourri	Asha Bourri	350.00
69	Alibox Mia	Nanku Mia	400.00
70	Gulam Rasul	Basarat	250.00

Serial No.	Name	Son of	Amount to be paid
			Rs.
71	Ozir Mia	Budhan Mia	250.00
72	Janki Mahato	Nehal Mahato	500.00
73	Radhika Singh	Bhajo Singh	450.00
74	Ramjan Mia	Dhanu	350.00

*Miners transferred to Bhatdee Colliery.*

1	Surjan Manjhi	Haradhan	200.00
2	Dhanai Pasi	Guruddin	200.00
3	Shankar Manjhi	Upendo	200.00
4	Raghunandan Kurmi	Sampot	200.00
5	Matabhik Pasi	Bhajo	200.00
6	Raman Kurmi	Matabadal	200.00
7	Billato Bhuiya	Shibcharan	200.00
8	Etwari Mahato	Budhan	200.00
9	Hublal Orang	Bhaduri	200.00
10	Shayam Sunder Thakur	Harbhajan	200.00
11	Mahabir Kurmi	Ramautar	200.00
12	Bharat Kurmi	Dujai	200.00
13	Satidin Kurmi	Ramauart	200.00
14	Jiblal Dhobi	Nuna	200.00
15	Tehal Mahato	Laloo	200.00
16	Sarju Passi	Budhan	250.00
17	Teke Dhobi	Tokhan	250.00
18	Satrughan Mahato	Shrinath	250.00
19	Kisto Mahato	Golakh	200.00
20	Shankar Mahato		200.00
21	Ruplal Dusadh	Prayag	250.00
22	Sahbali Mia	Doman	200.00
23	Jagdeo Chamar	Bhatu	200.00
24	Jalaluddin Mia	Amorali	200.00
25	Moti Mahato	Khagpat	250.00
26	Jawahar Bhuiya	Ghanu	200.00
27	Rameshar Bhuiya	Jhaman	200.00
28	Ramadhin Singh	Dhonki	200.00
29	Baleshwar Barhi	Tehal	200.00
30	Nanku Manjhi	Tarku	250.00
31	Pokhan Hazam	Somar	200.00
32	Lokhan Rajwar	Dhuma	250.00
33	Mehandi Rajwar	Nabin	200.00
34	Dhuma Manjhi	Rasikh	150.00
35	Suchand Mahato		200.00
36	Janki Barhi	Ozir	200.00
37	Dayal Chamar	Hiroo	200.00
38	Chander Saw	Maharoo	200.00
39	Ram Sureman Gope	Budhai	200.00
40	Chaita Orang	Maira	200.00
41	Domari Chamar	Sonu	150.00
42	Buddhu Mahato	Bhabal	200.00
43	Kaloo Rajwar	Dapu	200.00
44	Sunderlal B. P.	Shriraut	200.00
45	Tribeni Chamar	Hiroo	200.00
46	Deghlal Chamar	Bodraj	200.00
47	Sarju Bhuiya	Budhan	150.00
48	Tehal Chamar	Matla	150.00
49	Mlohan Chamar	Teko	200.00
50	Garib Bhuiya	Somar	200.00
51	Prayag Dhobi	Tokhan	200.00
52	Jhari Mahato	Madho	200.00
53	Sahadat Mia	Karim	200.00
54	Sibu Passi	Sukar	200.00
55	Peyare Chamar	Peman Chamar	500.00
56	Manu Chamar	Barhan	200.00
57	Charan Mahato	Pyera	150.00
58	Deoki Mahato	Madho	150.00

Sl. No.	Name	Son of	Amount to be paid
			Rs.
59	Mangal Mahato . . . . .	Lokho	200.00
60	Sukar Saw . . . . .	Chhato	200.00
61	Jagdish Ram . . . . .	Jhari	200.00
62	Parameswar Ram . . . . .	Ghani	150.00
63	Banshi Dhobi . . . . .	Dhaun	200.00
64	Darshan Mahato . . . . .	Biren	200.00
65	Ruplal Dhobi . . . . .	Garucharan	200.00
66	Mizaram Rajwar . . . . .	Ratan	200.00
67	Dakhi Chamar . . . . .	Sariu	200.00

[No. 2/41/67-LRIL.]

(Sd.) LALIT BURMAN,

(Sd.) ILLEGIBLE.

## ORDERS

*New Delhi, the 2nd July 1968*

**S.O. 2503.**—Whereas the employers in relation to S. C. Rungta Colliery, Post Office Rungta Colliery, District Shahdol (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen represented by the Rungta Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, Post Office Rungta Colliery, District Shahdol (Madhya Pradesh), have jointly applied to the Central Government under sub-section (2) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) for reference to a Tribunal of an industrial dispute that exists between them in respect of the matter set forth in the said application and mentioned in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the persons applying represent the majority of each party;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

## SCHEDULE

In view of the provisions contained in the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 (21 of 1965) whether the workers of Messrs Rungta Colliery, Burhar (Madhya Pradesh) are entitled for bonus for the years 1966 and 1967.

[No. 8/31/67-LRIL.]

*New Delhi, the 5th July 1968*

**S.O. 2504.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Katras Choltodih Colliery of Messrs Bird and Company Limited, Post Office Sijua (Dhanbad) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

## SCHEDULE

Whether the management of Katras Choltodih Colliery of Messrs Bird and Company Limited Post Office Sijua was justified in dismissing Shri Chandra Dusadh, Coal Cutter, with effect from the 13th March, 1968? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 2/85/68-LRIL.]

**S.O. 2505.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Neyveli Lignite Corporation Limited, Mines Division, Post Office Neyveli-1, South Arcot District, Madras State and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

Whether the management of the Neyveli Lignite Corporation Limited, Neyveli Post Office Neyveli, South Arcot District Madras State was justified in dismissing Shri Suyambu, Driver Grade II, Mines Branch with effect from the 27th July, 1966? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

[No. 4/3/66-LRII.]

BALWANT SINGH, Under Secy.

#### (Department of Labour and Employment)

*New Delhi, the 8th July 1968*

**S.O. 2506.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to Messrs Eastern Manganese and Minerals Limited, Domchanch, District Hazaribagh and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 26th June, 1968.

#### BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM- LABOUR COURT AT DHANBAD.

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 107 of 1965

#### PARTIES:

Employers in relation to Messrs Eastern Manganese and Minerals Limited, Domchanch, District Hazaribagh.

*Vs.*

Their Workmen.

#### PRESENT:

Shri Kamla Sahai, Presiding Officer.

#### APPEARANCES:

*For the Employers.*—Shri Girdhar Gopal.

*For the Workmen.*—Shri B. D. Bansal and Shri Jagar Nath Singh.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Mica.

Camp: Patna, dated the 18th June, 1968.

#### AWARD

The employers in relation to Messrs Eastern Manganese and Minerals Limited, Sibsagar, passed orders of transfer of three workmen namely Shri Jiwat Singh, Darwan, from Domchanch Mica Mine to the Sibsagar factory owned by M/s. Chrestion Mica Industries Limited, P. O. Domchanch; Sri B. D. Bansal, Checker, from Bhelwarla No. 12 Mica Mine to Sibsagar Factory owned by M/s. Chrestion

Mica Industries Limited, P.O. Domchanch, and Shri Jagan Nath Singh, Pump, Jhalasi, from Kukurkolwa Mica Mine to the Sibsagar Workshop owned by M/s. Brestlen Mica Industries Limited, P. O. Domchanch.

2. The employers' case is that they have the right to make the transfers and that the workmen did in fact join their duties at the places of transfer but the Abrakh Mazdoor Union raised a dispute through its General Secretary, Harish Chandra Mishra. Conciliation proceedings failed and the Central Government made a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal at Dhanbad. The first question put in the schedule was whether the transfers of the three workmen as mentioned above were acts of victimisation of the workmen concerned. The second question which was put in the schedule was that, if the transfers were acts of victimisation; what relief were the workmen entitled to?

3. It seems that the Abrakh Mazdoor Union entered into an agreement with the management of the employers. The agreement was signed by the General Manager of the employers on behalf of the management, by Shri H. C. Mishra, General Secretary of the union on behalf of the union and also by the Asstt. Labour Commissioner, Hazaribagh, on the 22nd February 1966. It was filed before the Tribunal on the 26th February, 1966.

4. One of the workmen namely Shri B. D. Bansal filed an application before the Tribunal on his own behalf as well as on behalf of Shri Jagan Nath Singh that they did not know anything about the terms of the settlement and that they were not prepared to agree to the settlement. He prayed that the reference be heard on merits. The other workman namely Jiwat Singh did not appear and it has been stated in the agreement that the union did not press the case of Jiwat Singh as he had already taken whatever was due to him on full and final settlement.

5. The then Presiding Officer, Shri Raj Kishore Prasad, heard the parties and, while giving the award in terms of the settlement in so far as Jiwat Singh was concerned, he did not accept the settlement in so far as B. D. Bansal and Jagan Nath Singh were concerned. He gave an award in accordance with his judgment as to the merits of the case.

6. The management then filed C.W.J.C. No. 308 of 1967 in the High Court. This case has been disposed of by their Lordships the Chief Justice and Mr. Justice B. N. Jha by a judgment dated the 8th April, 1968. Their Lordship have, in the last paragraph of their judgment, said as follows:—

"For the reasons stated above, the application is allowed and the award of the Industrial Tribunal (Central), Dhanbad dated the 28th March, 1967 is quashed and the Tribunal is directed to make an award in terms of the settlement dated February 22, 1966, filed before it on the 26th February, 1966 (Annexure 'B'). The petitioners are entitled to costs. Hearing fee: Rs. 100/-".

7. I issued notices upon all the parties. The management has appeared today through Shri Giridhar Gopal. The union has not appeared at all. The workman, Shri B. D. Bansal and Shri Jagan Nath Singh have appeared in person. The original agreement signed by the parties is already on the record as annexure 'A'. The workmen have not given any reason why an award should not be passed in terms of the direction given by Their Lordship of the Patna High Court. I have considered the terms of the award and it seems to be that they are fair and reasonable. I, therefore, accept the agreement and direct that this reference should be disposed of in terms of the agreement dated the 22nd February, 1966 which was made before this Tribunal on the 26th February, 1966. That will form part of my award. This is my award which may be submitted to the Central Government under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Sd./- KAMLA SAHAI,  
Presiding Officer.

#### ANNEXURE 'A'

#### Memorandum of Settlement

**Representing the Employers:** Shri R. G. Agarwala, General Manager, Eastern Manganese and Minerals Ltd., Domchanch, P.O. Hazaribagh, Dist.

**Representing the workmen:** Shri Harish Chandra Mishra, General Secretary, Abrakh Mazdoor Union, P. O. Jhumritelaiya, Dist., Hazaribagh, Bihar.

*Short Recital*

This Union has raised a dispute regarding the transfer of Shri Jiwat Singh, Durwan, Shri B. D. Bansal, Checker and Shri Jagannath Singh, Pump Khalas. This dispute has been referred to adjudication by the Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment *vide* their order dated 18th June 1965.

The Union has also raised a General Demand regarding the right of the Management for transferring the employees from one place to another or from one sister concern to another.

After the reference the parties showed a desire to discuss this dispute mutually. As a result of the mutual discussions held on 22nd February, 1966 in the presence of the Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central), Hazaribagh, they have arrived at the following settlement.

*Terms of Settlement*

(1) It is agreed by both the parties that Shri B. D. Bansal will be paid Rs 705/54p (Rupees seven hundred and five and paise fifty four only) in full and final settlement of all his dues on this Management, within a week from the date of this settlement. After the acceptance of this amount Shri Bansal will have no claim whatsoever over this Management. This payment is over and above his leave wages and earned wages.

(2) Shri Jagannath Singh will be given one additional increment with effect from 1st February, 1966. He will have no claim for his transfer and the Union will also drop this matter before the Hon'ble Tribunal.

(3) The Union is not pressing the case of Shri Jiwat Singh, Durwan as he has already taken in full and final settlement.

(4) The Union recognises the right of the Management to transfer the monthly paid employees from one place to another or from one concern to another sister concern and in future they will not raise any demand or dispute in this respect.

(5) The Union is agreeable to send one copy of this agreement to the Honourable Tribunal with the request that an award on the lines may please be given.

Representing the employers:

For Eastern Manganese and Minerals Ltd  
Sd./- R. G. AGARWALA,  
General Manager

Representing the workmen:

For ABRAKH MAZDOOR UNION  
Sd./- HARISH CHANDRA MISHRA,  
General Secretary 22/2/66  
Witnesses: 1. Sd./- Illegible  
2. Sd./- Illegible

Sd./- K. K. SHARMA,

Asstt. Labour Commissioner, Hazaribagh.

[No. 20/2/65-LRI.]

**S.O. 2507.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the matter of a complain under section 33A of the said Act, filed by Shri B. D. Bansal, Sibsagar, which was received by the Central Government on the 27th June, 1968.



BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR  
COURT AT DHANBAD

In the matter of a complaint under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

COMPLAINT No. 13 OF 1966

(Arising out of Reference No. 107 of 1965)

**PARTIES:**

Shri B. D. Bansal, Sibsagar, Domchanch—*Complainant.*

*Vs.*

M/s. Manganese Mines, Domchanch—*Opposite Party.*

**PRESENT:**

Shri Kamla Sahai—*Presiding Officer.*

**APPEARANCES:**

*For the Complainant—*Shri B. D. Bansal concerned workman.

*For the Opposite Party—*Shri Girdhar Gopal.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Mica.

*Dhanbad, dated the 18th June, 1968*

**AWARD**

The complaint which the complainant has filed is not in accordance with form I of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules 1957. He has alleged that he has been stopped from work but has not stated when the order for such stoppage from work was passed and in what circumstance. There seems to have been a settlement between the Abrakh Mazdoor Union which sponsored the complainant's cause and the management in connection with the dispute which was raised. The complainant protested that the settlement should not be recorded. Under the orders of the High Court of Patna, I have just passed an award in reference No. 10 of 1965, in accordance with the settlement. The complainant has not even stated whether the stoppage of work was in accordance with the agreement or otherwise. In these circumstances, this complaint, which is not in proper form, cannot be entertained. It is accordingly rejected.

2. The complainant may, if he is so advised, file a fresh complaint in proper form, giving all necessary and relevant details.

3. This is my award. It may be submitted to the Central Government.

(Sd.) KAMLA SAHAI,  
Presiding Officer.

[No. 20/2/65-LRI.]

**ORDERS**

*New Delhi, the 1st July 1968*

**S.O.2508.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Dalmia Dadri Cement Limited, Charkhi Dadri and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in Schedule I hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal with Shri Ishwar Dass Pawar as Presiding Officer with Headquarters at Chandigarh and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

## SCHEDULE I

"Whether the action of the management in stopping from work the workmen as per Schedule II annexed hereto with effect from the dates mentioned against each, was justified. If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

## SCHEDULE II

S. No.	Name and Father's Name	Village	Date of the termination of Services
1	2	3	4
1	Dhir Singh s/o Mangli	Bhagwi	21-1-68
2	Mange s/o Udmi	Dhani	Do.
3	Shish Pal s/o Ade Ram	Shamaspur	Do.
4	Ragbir s/o Sampat	Rojwas	22-1-68
5	Bhima s/o Doulat	Raipur	Do.
6	Chunia s/o Mehar Chand	Sasroli	Do.
7	Rama Nand s/o Balu	Birohar	Do.
8	Sugar s/o Maidhan	Do.	Do.
9	Subh Ram s/o Gharshyam	Do.	Do.
10	Hari Singh s/o Chotu	Do.	Do.
11	Miti s/o Sheo Dan	Patuwas	Do.
12	Chander s/o Jai Lal	Do.	21-1-68
13	Juth Ram s/o Jai Ram	Shelanga	Do.
14	Lachman s/o Nonad	Do.	Do.
15	Ram Sarup s/o Devi Sha	Birohar	24-1-68
16	Daya Ram s/o Chandgi	Do.	Do.
17	Attar Singh s/o Ram Sarup	Do.	Do.
18	Ram Chander s/o Jamna	Patuwas	22-1-68
19	Har Lal s/o Kalia	Neemli	24-1-68
20	Nihala s/o Juhara	Do.	Do.
21	Manphool s/o Jage	Do.	Do.
22	Deep Chand s/o Ghisa	Do.	Do.
23	Subha Chand s/o Ghisa	Do.	Do.
24	Roop Ram s/o Chandgi	Do.	Do.
25	Paras Ram s/o Chandgi	Do.	Do.
26	Om Parkash s/o Ram Saran	Do.	Do.
27	Raju s/o Chandgi	Birohar	Do.
28	Richpal s/o Ramji Lal	Neemli	Do.
29	Badlu s/o Kanhya	Do.	Do.
30	Deep Chand s/o Badlu	Do.	Do.
31	Ganeshi s/o Roop Chand	Do.	Do.
32	Ude Ram s/o Roop Chand	Do.	Do.
33	Ishwar s/o Mangli	Do.	Do.
34	Mool Chand s/o Kirta	Do.	Do.
35	Bihari s/o Pandu	Do.	Do.
36	Ram Pat s/o Chandi	Do.	Do.
37	Jeewan s/o Maria	Birohar	Do.
38	Mansa Ram s/o Maria	Do.	Do.
39	Samsu s/o Udmi	Neemli	Do.
40	Surta s/o Udmi	Do.	Do.
41	Mata Deen s/o Gomda	Do.	Do.
42	Sumer s/o Gomda	Do.	Do.
43	Chet Ram s/o Chhaju	Birohar	Do.
44	Gopal s/o Leelu	Do.	Do.
45	Shiksan s/o Bakhtawar	Do.	Do.
46	Nandu s/o Parsa	Do.	Do.
47	Banwari s/o Salag	Do.	Do.
48	Shish Ram s/o Popia	Subana	Do.
49	Bhagwana s/o Kurda	Pardoli	27-1-68
50	Ghisa s/o Uda	Do.	Do.
51	Dhana s/o Pema	Do.	Do.

1	2	3	4
52	Suraj Bhan s/o Ramji Lal . . . . .	Budhera	27-1-68
53	Suraj Bhan s/o Jhandu . . . . .	Raipur	Do.
54	Parma s/o Doulat . . . . .	Do.	Do.
55	Man Singh s/o Chela . . . . .	Do.	Do.
56	Kashi s/o Sheo Chand . . . . .	Morewala	Do.
57	Munshi s/o Parsa . . . . .	Dharan	Do.
58	Fool Singh s/o Mata Deen . . . . .	Kanti	Do.
59	Harphool s/o Ramdeva . . . . .	Do.	Do.
60	Jagan s/o Kusal . . . . .	Do.	Do.
61	Chandgi s/o Kurda . . . . .	Babddoli	Do.
62	Sheo Parshad s/o Ram Sarup . . . . .	Do.	Do.
63	Jot Ram s/o Ruda . . . . .	Do.	Do.
64	Bihar s/o Ruda . . . . .	Do.	Do.
65	Banwari s/o Budh Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
66	Jaswant s/o Ghisa . . . . .	Kishangarh	Do.
67	Khiali s/o Heera . . . . .	Bhuwana	Do.
68	Narain s/o Heera . . . . .	Do.	Do.
69	Ghisa s/o Heera . . . . .	Do.	Do.
70	Sohan s/o Ganpat . . . . .	Do.	Do.
71	Parbhathi s/o Sheo Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
72	Sheo Karan s/o Ganpat . . . . .	Do.	Do.
73	Parbhu s/o Ganpat . . . . .	Do.	Do.
74	Ganpat s/o Ladhu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
75	Narain s/o Ladhu . . . . .	Tasar	Do.
76	Sunda s/o Bheru . . . . .	Do.	Do.
77	Giarsa s/o Bhima . . . . .	Bowana	Do.
78	Heera s/o Bhiman . . . . .	Do.	Do.
79	Rati Ram s/o Chelu . . . . .	Une	Do.
80	Ram Kumar s/o Guljari . . . . .	Do.	Do.
81	Durga s/o Banwari . . . . .	Sanwhasra	Do.
82	Gordhan s/o Girdhari . . . . .	Sohtai	Do.
83	Ram Sarup s/o Girdhari . . . . .	Do.	Do.
84	Hari Singh s/o Dalip . . . . .	Achina	Do.
85	Ram Sarup s/o Bhika . . . . .	Gopinathpura	Do.
86	Shib Lal s/o Mam Chand . . . . .	Dhani	Do.
87	Uttam Chand s/o Jhabar . . . . .	Sahasra	Do.
88	Munshi s/o Nanar . . . . .	Sasroli	Do.
89	Harnarain s/o Nandu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
90	Jale Singh s/o Chhotu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
91	Ram Sarup s/o Secta Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
92	Gugan s/o Mai Bax . . . . .	Do.	Do.
93	Mala Ram s/o Sukh Ram . . . . .	Bhuwana	Do.
94	Meethu s/o Sukh Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
95	Parbhu s/o Bihari . . . . .	Do.	Do.
96	Chandgi s/o Mokham . . . . .	Khaohroll	Do.
97	Pirthi s/o Dhana . . . . .	Do.	Do.
98	Balwant s/o Chhaju . . . . .	Do.	Do.
99	Hawa Singh s/o Hera . . . . .	Do.	Do.
100	Dalip s/o Sheo Chand . . . . .	Do.	Do.
101	Duli Chand s/o Lok Ram . . . . .	Kaliawas	Do.
102	Chandan s/o Lalji . . . . .	Dhalanwas	Do.
103	Bakhtawar s/o Lalji . . . . .	Do.	Do.
104	Ramohander s/o Chandan . . . . .	Do.	Do.
105	Shib Lal s/o Sama Chand . . . . .	Do.	Do.
106	Sheo Karan s/o Sama Chand . . . . .	Do.	Do.
107	Piara Lal s/o Sarna Chand . . . . .	Do.	Do.
108	Bhagwana s/o Ramji Lal . . . . .	Birohar	Do.
109	Harphool s/o Mange . . . . .	Do.	Do.
110	Harchand s/o Kanna . . . . .	Do.	Do.
111	Umed s/o Harchand . . . . .	Barda	Do.
112	Bhagwana s/o Harchand . . . . .	Do.	Do.
113	Bhagwana s/o Ladhu . . . . .	Palri	28-1-68
114	Binja s/o Gopi . . . . .	Undensar	Do.
115	Pura s/o Chandra . . . . .	Sewa	Do.
116	Lachman s/o Surja . . . . .	Do.	Do.
117	Bodu s/o Uda . . . . .	Do.	Do.

1]	2	3	4
118	Bhana s/o Chotu . . . . .	Sewa	28-1-68
119	Ganpat s/o Bana . . . . .	Do.	Do.
120	Shalu s/o Dalu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
121	Mangala s/o Malu . . . . .	Chainpura Dadli	Do.
122	Mangu s/o Gopi . . . . .	Sewa	Do.
123	Rugha s/o Malu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
124	Sugna s/o Gangu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
125	Tiku s/o Khana . . . . .	Do.	Do.
126	Piare s/o Sawalia . . . . .	Birohar	Do.
127	Jage s/o Surja . . . . .	Do.	Do.
128	Meer Singh s/o Sankar . . . . .	Do.	Do.
129	Lalu s/o Uda . . . . .	Sanwioda	Do.
130	Mohba s/o Ladhu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
131	Gharsi s/o Phusa . . . . .	Do.	Do.
132	Bhagwana s/o Chandra . . . . .	Churoli Dhani	Do.
133	Ram Deva s/o Chandra . . . . .	Do.	Do.
134	Birda s/o Budha . . . . .	Sanwiodha	Do.
135	Pahlad s/o Harphool . . . . .	Dhalanwas	Do.
136	Shish Ram s/o Udmi . . . . .	Do.	Do.
137	Shri Chand s/o Sunda . . . . .	Do.	Do.
138	Harnand s/o Mangtu . . . . .	Budhera	Do.
139	Sobha Ram s/o Sadi . . . . .	Do.	Do.
140	Om Kar s/o Bharthu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
141	Ram Kishan s/o Umed Singh . . . . .	Do.	Do.
142	Rohtas s/o Shoba . . . . .	Do.	Do.
143	Surta s/o Umed Singh . . . . .	Do.	Do.
144	Jiwala s/o Cher Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
145	Jai Karan s/o Balu . . . . .	Shilanga	Do.
146	Mange Ram s/o Harnarain . . . . .	Do.	Do.
147	Daya Nand s/o Chandgi . . . . .	Mahrana	Do.
148	Chander s/o Chandgi . . . . .	Do.	Do.
149	Dhandu s/o Sheo Nath . . . . .	Do.	Do.
150	Hari Ram s/o Surjan . . . . .	Buwana	Do.
151	Bina s/o Tirkha . . . . .	Mehrana	Do.
152	Chand Ram s/o Tirkha . . . . .	Do.	Do.
153	Dharam Pal s/o Jug Lal . . . . .	Do.	Do.
154	Man Singh s/o Guljari . . . . .	Dhilanwas	Do.
155	Maru s/o Guljari . . . . .	Do.	Do.
156	Rati Ram s/o Sarjeet . . . . .	Bhiror	Do.
157	Banwari s/o Sukhia . . . . .	Do.	Do.
158	Hardeva s/o Sundar . . . . .	Sewa	Do.
159	Sugna s/o Kalu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
160	Kartar s/o Chotu . . . . .	Shelanga	Do.
161	Lakshman s/o Nonand . . . . .	Do.	Do.
162	Ishwar s/o Ramjilal . . . . .	Birohar	Do.
163	Hari's/o Mota . . . . .	Pardoli	29-1-68
164	Bula s/o Bhura . . . . .	Do.	Do.
165	Parshada s/o Ram Chander . . . . .	Khanpur	Do.
166	Banwari s/o Ram Chander . . . . .	Do.	Do.
167	Har Chand s/o Khuba . . . . .	Hansawas	Do.
168	Ram Sarup s/o Nagar . . . . .	Shelanga	Do.
169	Jhabar s/o Dola . . . . .	Chelasi	Do.
170	Jagan s/o Luna . . . . .	Do.	Do.
171	Budh Ram s/o Mam Chand . . . . .	Chandubudhera	Do.
172	Jagdish s/o Mamchand . . . . .	Do.	Do.
173	Pokhar s/o Mamchand . . . . .	Dhana	Do.
174	Sukh Pal s/o Rati Ram . . . . .	Budhera	Do.
175	Dharam Pal s/o Rati Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
176	Het Ram s/o Jee Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
177	Bhagwana s/o Mohar Singh . . . . .	Do.	Do.
178	Shish Ram s/o Sheo Chand . . . . .	Do.	Do.
179	Shri Ram s/o Chet Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
180	Mool Chand s/o Kalu . . . . .	Dhikwar	Do.
181	Ganpat s/o Kalu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
182	Chandgi s/o Jamel . . . . .	Sheoasra	Do.

1	2	3	4
183	Bhana s/o Bishnu . . . . .	Sheoasra	29-I-68
184	Rattan s/o Baldeva . . . . .	Nanukala	Do.
185	Har Narain s/o Hardyal . . . . .	Gopalwas	Do.
186	Nathu s/o Ranjeet . . . . .	Golagarh	Do.
187	Nanak s/o Bhuna . . . . .	Dhakas	Do.
188	Chotu s/o Mangat . . . . .	Dhilanwas	Do.
189	Jalu s/o Ladhru . . . . .	Fathepura	Do.
190	Mota s/o Khanga . . . . .	Do.	Do.
191	Narain s/o Jhutha . . . . .	Do.	Do.
192	Dharma s/o Jot Ram . . . . .	Shelanga	Do.
193	Paltu s/o Shankar . . . . .	Do.	Do.
194	Parbhu s/o Sobhat . . . . .	Birohar	Do.
195	Kamla s/o Sonhat . . . . .	Do.	Do.
196	Jhandu s/o Net Ram . . . . .	Dobra	Do.
197	Kashi Ram s/o Net Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
198	Subh Ram w/o Net Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
199	Badri s/o Net Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
200	Sohan s/o Chelu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
201	Mange Ram s/o Lachman . . . . .	Do.	Do.
202	Manohar s/o Bhola . . . . .	Khudia	Do.
203	Dhuda s/o Sukh Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
204	Mange Ram s/o Girdhari . . . . .	Fartia	Do.
205	Ranjil Lal s/o Girdhari . . . . .	Do.	Do.
206	Jagat Singh s/o Siri Chand . . . . .	Khatiwass	Do.
207	Ram Phal s/o Bhagwana . . . . .	Do.	Do.
208	Manohar s/o Juhara . . . . .	Ghasera	Do.
209	Bansi s/o Kana . . . . .	Do.	Do.
210	Juhara s/o Nathu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
211	Girdhari s/o Jharla . . . . .	Kanuko	Do.
212	Ram Chander s/o Girdhari . . . . .	Do.	Do.
213	Paltu s/o Man Singh . . . . .	Nankula	Do.
214	Ram Kishore s/o Paltu . . . . .	Do.	31-I-68
215	Tara Chand s/o Jhuntha Ram . . . . .	Bhuwana	Do.
216	Nand s/o Shanwal . . . . .	Do.	Do.
217	Jhuntha Ram s/o Gopal . . . . .	Do.	Do.
218	Lila Ram s/o Jhuntha . . . . .	Do.	Do.
219	Bahadur s/o Parbhati . . . . .	Do.	Do.
220	Ran Singh s/o Parbhati . . . . .	Do.	Do.
221	Budh Ram s/o Bula . . . . .	Dharan	Do.
222	Bansi s/o Saman . . . . .	Bhuana	Do.
223	Parbhati s/o Sanwal . . . . .	Do.	Do.
224	Saman s/o Luna . . . . .	Do.	Do.
225	Sagar s/o Ganesh . . . . .	Do.	Do.
226	Bhagu s/o Nathu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
227	Sho Chand s/o Phulu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
228	Banwari s/o Ganpat . . . . .	Phartia	Do.
229	Suraj Bhan s/o Har Chand . . . . .	Kaloth	Do.
230	Rameshwar s/o Ladhru . . . . .	Pilodh	Do.
231	Hunshra s/o Budh Ram . . . . .	Bhulanwas	Do.
232	Manphul s/o Budh Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
233	Sohan s/o Udmi . . . . .	Birohar	Do.
234	Hardwari s/o Nanta . . . . .	Do.	Do.
235	Chandar s/o Chotu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
236	Des Raj s/o Molad . . . . .	Kaliawas	Do.
237	Jug Lal s/o Not Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
238	Parhlad s/o Man Singh . . . . .	Do.	Do.
239	Bhagwana s/o Mohara . . . . .	Budhera	Do.
240	Sis Ram s/o Sho Chand . . . . .	Do.	Do.
241	Siri Ram s/o Chet Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
242	Daya Nand s/o Madu . . . . .	Sasroli	Do.
243	Shohan s/o Sukh Ram . . . . .	Bhuana	Do.
244	Sis Ram s/o Phul Chand . . . . .	Mahrana	Do.
245	Richpal s/o Mai Dhan . . . . .	Birohar	Do.
246	Hardewa s/o Surjan . . . . .	Do.	Do.
247	Nand Lal s/o Lalji . . . . .	Do.	Do.
248	Biraj Lal s/o Sho Sha . . . . .	Bhuana	Do.

1	2	3	4
249	Shosha s/o Sanawat . . . . .	Bhuena	31-1-68
250	Mithu s/o Khuba . . . . .	Do.	Do.
251	Bhagirath s/o Chuna . . . . .	Do.	Do.
252	Jit Ram s/o Chuna . . . . .	Do.	Do.
253	Udmi s/o Khuba . . . . .	Do.	Do.
254	Chet Ram s/o Mamn . . . . .	Do.	Do.
255	Rama Pat s/o Ramji Lal . . . . .	Khachroli	Do.
256	Singh Ram s/o Chandan . . . . .	Nimli	Do.
257	Ram Chander s/o Budh Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
258	Beg Raj s/o Gagan . . . . .	Dobra	Do.
259	Banwari s/o Magha . . . . .	Do.	Do.
260	Ramji Lal s/o Chatru . . . . .	Do.	Do.
261	Jage Ram s/o Bujan . . . . .	Nimli	Do.
262	Sher Singh s/o Jage Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
263	Jia Ram s/o Minhu . . . . .	Birohar	Do.
264	Manbhar s/o Hajari . . . . .	Do.	Do.
265	Sugna s/o Gagu . . . . .	Sewa	Do.
266	Ramsarup s/o Madia . . . . .	Selnga	Do.
267	Bhagwana s/o Mohar Singh . . . . .	Budhera	29-1-68
268	Sis Ram s/o Sho Chand . . . . .	Do.	Do.
269	Siri Ram s/o Chet Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
270	Ram Dhan s/o Rambhagat . . . . .	Kaliawas	25-2-68
271	Kurda s/o Ramnath . . . . .	Do.	Do.
272	Bhagwana s/o Kishan Lal . . . . .	Do.	Do.
273	Mai Lal s/o Lachaman . . . . .	Dobra	29-1-68
274	Mange Ram s/o Mangli . . . . .	Bhagwi	22-1-68
275	Srupa s/o Nathan . . . . .	Do.	Do.
276	Rati Ram s/o Chandgi . . . . .	Srupgarh	Do.
277	Shonanain s/o Kalia . . . . .	Kandwas	Do.
278	Jai Ram s/o Chunia . . . . .	Bhagwi	Do.
279	Balwan s/o Jai Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
280	Phate Singh s/o Balde . . . . .	Do.	Do.
281	Kheta Ram s/o Lok Ram . . . . .	Morwala	18-1-68
282	Madu Ram s/o Sis Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
283	Mange Ram s/o Iswar . . . . .	Do.	Do.
284	Balwant s/o Sis Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
285	Naphe Singh s/o Ram Sarup . . . . .	Do.	Do.
286	Chander s/o Parbhati . . . . .	Loharwara	Do.
287	Parbhati s/o Mangli . . . . .	Do.	Do.
288	Phakira s/o Malhu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
289	Sumer s/o Malhu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
290	Hukma s/o Manu Chand . . . . .	Lamba	Do.
291	Bhathu s/o Lila . . . . .	Do.	Do.
292	Shohan s/o Nand . . . . .	Chelasi	Do.
293	Bidia Nand s/o Sohan . . . . .	Do.	Do.
294	Chotu Ram s/o Harnam . . . . .	Hogama	Do.
295	Chot Ram s/o Moji Ram . . . . .	Galkta	Do.
296	Banwari s/o Maisukh . . . . .	Dhani	Do.
297	Attar s/o Shobax . . . . .	Do.	Do.
298	Ram Kumar s/o Mamn . . . . .	Do.	Do.
299	Puran s/o Mamn . . . . .	Do.	Do.
300	Ram Kishan s/o Nihala . . . . .	Do.	Do.
301	Bhimad s/o Chunia . . . . .	Samaspur	Do.
302	Hunsara s/o Digh Ram . . . . .	Sasroli	Do.
303	Ramanand s/o Bhimar . . . . .	Samaspur	Do.
304	Harchand s/o Pirana . . . . .	Basantpur	Do.
305	Ramkishan s/o Pirana . . . . .	Do.	Do.
306	Vishna s/o Nanda . . . . .	Do.	Do.
307	Pool Singh s/o Ade Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
308	Ram Sarup s/o Bodan . . . . .	Raipur	Do.
309	Paltu s/o Bodan . . . . .	Do.	Do.
310	Thawar s/o Davisha . . . . .	Do.	Do.
311	Tara Chand s/o Kanhya . . . . .	Katopura	Do.
312	Jug Lal s/o Nanta . . . . .	Morewala	Do.
313	Sukh Lal s/o Nanta . . . . .	Do.	Do.



I	2	3	4
314	Dharam Chand s/o Beg Raj	Morewala	18-1-68
315	Sarjeet s/o Kanhya	Do.	Do.
316	Kanhya s/o Jeeta	Do.	Do.
317	Balbir s/o Chandgi	Loharwara	Do.
318	Amar Singh s/o Jage Ram	Do.	Do.
319	Turti s/o Bela	Ahri	Do.
320	Sarjeet s/o Bela	Do.	Do.
321	Ran Singh s/o Ram Parshad	Do.	Do.
322	Bhagwana s/o Bela	Do.	Do.
323	Sher Singh s/o Ganni	Loharwara	Do.
324	Dalip s/o Giani	Do.	Do.
325	Chandgi s/o Raje Ram	Do.	Do.
326	Chander s/o Harlal	Santore	Do.
327	Kamal Singh s/o Het Ram	Do.	Do.
328	Dharam Pal s/o Giani	Do.	Do.
329	Atter s/o Sua	Sirohar	Do.
330	Sheo Lal s/o Giani	Do.	Do.
331	Ramji Lal s/o Sheo Lal	Do.	Do.
332	Mahia s/o Makhan	Dhani	Do.
333	Bahadur s/o Surjan	Do.	Do.
334	Harke s/o Surjan	Do.	Do.
335	Atter Singh s/o Manglu Ram	Do.	Do.
336	Manohar s/o Dhan Singh	Chandani	19-1-68
337	Dil Bag s/o Nanta	Jaishree	Do.
338	Balu s/o Mota	Thorasi	Do.
339	Bhima s/o Botu	Do.	Do.
340	Rameshwar s/o Narsa	Do.	Do.
341	Sarjeet s/o Tota	Loharwara	Do.
342	Satnarain s/o Sarjeet	Do.	Do.
343	Puran s/o Matu	Pandwan	Do.
344	Amar Singh s/o Dhani	Sarupgarh	Do.
345	Manohar s/o Mama Chand	Imlota	Do.
346	Puran s/o Amar Singh	Sarupgarh	Do.
347	Bharthu s/o Hukma	Badal	Do.
348	Lachman s/o Chuni Lal	Bhuwana	Do.
349	Pani Lal s/o Hera Lal	Pahladgarh	Do.
350	Hari Chand s/o Matu	Jhinjher	Do.
351	Jai Kishan s/o Matu	Do.	Do.
352	Mithan s/o Kundan	Samaspur	Do.
353	Udmi s/o Jai Ram	Kapuri	Do.
354	Balbir s/o Mohan Lal	Samaspur	Do.
355	Shri Ram s/o Harphool	Sirohar	20-1-68
356	Murli s/o Gopal	Do.	Do.
357	Mam Chand s/o Sunda	Pawthi	Do.
358	Murari s/o Parbhati	Sirohar	Do.
359	Sunda s/o Deba	Kubja	Do.
360	Dhan Singh s/o Asa Ram	Do.	Do.
361	Sheo Chand s/o Ade Ram	Do.	Do.
362	Reru s/o Molar	Loharwara	Do.
363	Ram Chander s/o Ade Ram	Do.	Do.
364	Puran s/o Ade Ram	Do.	Do.
365	Balbir s/o Mange Ram	Laprana	Do.
366	Sohan s/o Dalu	Gadhli	Do.
367	Ram Sarup s/o Khuba	Chainpur	Do.
368	Maru s/o Richpal	Shapur	Do.
369	Gordhan s/o Jag Deva	Gadhli	Do.
370	Dhoop Singh s/o Nanhar	Loharwara	Do.
371	Sube Singh s/o Parbhu	Do.	Do.
372	Ram Chander s/o Gordhan	Galoutta	Do.
373	Doonger s/o Sada Ram	Loharwara	Do.
374	Chander s/o Deban	Khatiwass	Do.
375	Daya Nand s/o Deban	Do.	Do.
376	Deban s/o Dayala	Do.	Do.
377	Chanden s/o Harsukh	Babdoli	Do.
378	Jug Lal s/o Tota Ram	Do.	Do.
379	Sheo Chand s/o Nanta	Rorai	Do.
380	Sidhu s/o Jug Lal	Dohkia	Do.

1	2	3	4
381	Man Chand s/o Narain	Rajgarh	20-1-68
382	Shri Chand s/o Richpals	Dhandoda	Do.
383	Sheo Ram s/o Pem Raj	Bathere	Do.
384	Subh Ram s/o Pem Raj	Do.	Do.
385	Umrao s/o Pem. Raj	Do.	Do.
386	Thawar s/o Bala Ram	Rodai	Do.
387	Kishan s/o Bhagwant	Badrai	Do.
388	Mange Ram s/o Durjan	Marebra	Do.
389	Jai Dyal s/o Mange Ram	Do.	Do.
390	Nighya s/o Mangli	Dhor	Do.
391	Kartar s/o Nighiya	Do.	Do.
392	Sarupa s/o Lalman	Do.	Do.
393	Kirpa s/o Lalman	Do.	Do.
394	Matu Ram s/o Dhan Singh	Do.	Do.
395	Des Ram s/o Jugti	Jhinjher	Do.
396	Med Singh s/o Chandgi	Do	Do.
397	Bhagu Ram s/o Mala Ram	Sewa	21-1-
398	Dhana Ram s/o Mala Ram	Do.	Do.
399	Deba Ram s/o Jutha	Do.	Do.
394	Jag Ram s/o Molar	Samaspur	Do.
395	Ganpat s/o Fool Chand	Do.	Do.
396	Ram Singh s/o Udmi	Do.	Do.
397	Laje Ram s/o Neki	Do.	Do.
398	Sardara s/o Kundan	Do.	Do.
399	Ram Kumar s/o Deeg Ram	Do.	Do.
400	Noran s/o Parlad	Do.	Do.
401	Ran Singh s/o Parlad	Do.	Do.
402	Om Parkash s/o Noran	Do.	Do.
403	Kewal s/o Udmi	Dhani	Do.
404	Giani s/o Mara Ram	Khachroli	Do.
405	Mange Ram s/o Udmi	Dhani	Do.
406	Shri Chand s/o Jag Ram	Do.	Do.
407	Dhanpat s/o Ramji Lal	Do.	Do.
408	Jagat Ram s/o Mange Ram	Do.	Do.
409	Sunda Ram s/o Ram Lal	Budhera	Do.
410	Beg Raj s/o Kanhya	Moonsa	Do.
411	Ram Nath s/o Maman	Khatiwas	Do.
412	Dhaja Ram s/o Ram Nath	Do.	Do.
413	Tara Chand s/o Ram Nath	Do.	Do.
414	Manohar s/o Harchand	Loharwara	Do.
415	Munshi s/o Sanwal	Do.	Do.
416	Harnam s/o Harchand	Do.	Do.
417	Shri Chand s/o Budh Ram	Sunduva	Do.
419	Rampat s/o Jug Lal	Loharwara	Do.
420	Puran s/o Jug Lal	Do.	Do.
421	Ram Parshad s/o Ram Singh	Do.	Do.
422	Risal Singh s/o Mohar Singh	Bhagwi	Do.
423	Heera s/o Bhai Ram	Do.	Do.
424	Sultan s/o Balu	Do.	Do.
425	Lalti s/o Daya Chand	Do.	Do.
426	Musadi s/o Amru	Do.	Do.
427	Sher Singh s/o Ramji Lal	Do.	Do.
428	Ghlaa Ram s/o Ade Ram	Do.	Do.
429	Dhare s/o Subh Ram	Do.	Do.
430	Meer Singh s/o Ade Ram	Do.	Do.
431	Subash s/o Sarjeet	Niwada	22-1-68
432	Chander s/o Sarjeet	Do.	Do.
433	Ami Lal s/o Jaharia	Do.	Do.
434	Har Chand s/o Deeg Ram	Jhinjher	Do.
435	Jai Narain s/o Jaya Lal	Do.	Do.
436	Mange s/o Mugli	Goria	Do.
437	Surta s/o Bihari	Ghesera	Do.
438	Pit Ram s/o Bihari	Do.	Do.
439	Hardwari s/o Bodan	Masit	Do.
440	Jagdish s/o Kanhya	Do.	Do.

I	2	3	4
441	Sher Singh s/o Doulat	Rodai	22-I-68
442	Sumer s/o Dhan Singh	Ahmedpur	Do.
443	Sarjeet s/o Sheo Lal	Do.	Do.
444	Chandgi s/o Kurda	Kaliwas	Do.
445	Ram Singh s/o Chandgi	Do.	Do.
446	Jeet Singh s/o Chandgi	Do.	Do.
447	Banwari s/o Chandgi	Do.	Do.
448	Net Ram s/o Harchand	Samaspur	Do.
449	Om Parkash s/o Net Ram	Do.	Do.
450	Harphool s/o Gugan	Khachroli	Do.
451	Bodan s/o Gisa	Dharuhera	Do.
452	Parbhathi s/o Ghisa	Do.	Do.
453	Dal Chand s/o Khurda	Do.	Do.
454	Jeet Singh s/o Rai Singh	Khachroli	Do.
455	Mange Ram s/o Sukh Pal	Do.	Do.
456	Parbhathi s/o Bhaju	Do.	Do.
457	Bharat Singh s/o Sheo Chand	Do.	Do.
458	Shri Chand s/o Pohlar	Do.	Do.
459	Maru s/o Nathuwa	Do.	Do.
460	Shis Ram s/o Shib Lal	Jhinjher	24-I-68
461	Balbir s/o Ramji Lal	Do.	Do.
462	Hukam Chand s/o Masta	Do.	Do.
463	Harkesh s/o Jag Ram	Do.	Do.
464	Ram Kumar s/o Mange Ram	Do.	Do.
465	Mool Chand s/o Kishna	Jagatpura	Do.
466	Lachman s/o Kishna	Do.	Do.
467	Dya Ram s/o Gumana	Jigarkala	Do.
468	Ganpat s/o Nopa	Pardoli	Do.
469	Banwari s/o Bhiku	Budhera	Do.
470	Jai Lal s/o Bhiku	Do.	Do.
471	Fatch Singh s/o Bhiku	Do.	Do.
472	Sher Singh s/o Bhiku	Do.	Do.
473	Kalia s/o Roop Chand	Do.	Do.
474	Bharat Singh s/o Pokhar	Dhani	Do.
475	Nanhar s/o Pokar	Do.	Do.
476	Chaju s/o Parsa	Do.	Do.
477	Hari Singh s/o Adgi	Do.	Do.
478	Parbhu s/o Adc Ram	Baloda	Do.
479	Parbhathi s/o Birta	Do.	Do.
480	Harphool s/o Mangha Ram	Dobra	Do.
481	Subha Ram s/o Rekha Ram	Mahpalwas	Do.
482	Sher Singh s/o Hardyal	Koka	Do.
483	Manohar s/o Gopi	Do.	Do.
484	Pani Ram s/o Mohar Singh	Tawru	Do.
485	Harnarain s/o Mukh Ram	Jhinjher	Do.
486	Surajbhan s/o Mukh Ram	Do.	Do.
487	Chhotu Ram s/o Durga P.d.	Do.	Do.
488	Ram Kishan s/o Matu Ram	Do.	Do.
489	Dalip s/o Ram Nath	Bidla	Do.
490	Kartar s/o Bhura	Do.	Do.
491	Giani s/o Piare	Loharwara	Do.
492	Bhagwana s/o Lalti	Do.	Do.
493	Manphool s/o Tale	Do.	Do.
494	Raghbir s/o Jumla	Do.	Do.
495	Munshi s/o Jaimal	Samaspur	Do.
496	Jumla s/o Phoolu	Loharwara	Do.
497	Badlu s/o Nobat	Do.	Do.
498	Mansa s/o Dhan Singh	Do.	Do.
499	Udmi s/o Pirtha	Badal	Do.
500	Sheo Ram s/o Udmi	Do.	Do.
501	Subh Ram s/o Banwari	Do.	Do.
502	Jag Ram s/o Prem	Dharuhera	Do.
503	Kishan s/o Prem	Do.	Do.
504	Ram Niwas s/o Udmi	Loharwara	Do.
505	Ram Sarup s/o Nathu Ram	Do.	Do.
506	Tek Ram s/o Chandgi	Do.	Do.

1	2	3	4
507	Munshi s/o Sheo Chand . . . . .	Manheru	24-1-68
508	Harchand s/o Gidha . . . . .	Bhnod Majra	Do.
509	Manohar s/o Kalu . . . . .	Dadian	Do.
510	Raghbir s/o Sheo Lal . . . . .	Santore	Do.
511	Mangal s/o Chhaju . . . . .	Chhapar	Do.
512	Ramjilal s/o Mangtu . . . . .	Raipur	Do.
513	Parbhathi s/o Jai Ram . . . . .	Khachroli	Do.
514	Rati Ram s/o Jai Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
515	Ganeshi s/o Chandgi . . . . .	Ghagwi	Do.
516	Bhana s/o Chandgi . . . . .	Do.	Do.
517	Bhagwana s/o Sunda . . . . .	Khachroli	Do.
519	Pania s/o Sunda . . . . .	Do.	Do.
520	Mara s/o Bhaj Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
521	Ram Saran s/o Nand Lal . . . . .	Khatiwas	Do.
522	Balbir s/o Ramsaran . . . . .	Do.	Do.
523	Dharampal s/o Molar . . . . .	Bhagwi	Do.
524	Leela s/o Chunia . . . . .	Do.	Do.
525	Tara Chand s/o Mohar Singh . . . . .	Do.	Do.
526	Rati Pal s/o Udmi . . . . .	Dhani	Do.
527	Mange Ram s/o Udmi . . . . .	Do.	Do.
528	Mohkam s/o Ram Nath . . . . .	Do.	Do.
529	Omkar s/o Prem . . . . .	Do.	Do.
530	Parbhathi s/o Lehlu . . . . .	Khatiwas	Do.
531	Munshi s/o Parbhathi . . . . .	Do.	Do.
532	Chanderbhan s/o Parbhathi . . . . .	Do.	Do.
533	Ram Sarup s/o Lehlu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
534	Shri Chand s/o Ram Sarup . . . . .	Do.	Do.
535	Banwari s/o Pirbhu . . . . .	Bhagwi	Do.
536	Mange s/o Parbhu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
537	Hari Kishan s/o Parbhu Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
538	Shri Ram s/o Sakru . . . . .	Do.	Do.
539	Hoshar s/o Sakru . . . . .	Do.	Do.
540	Mchar Singh s/o Sunda Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
541	Karan Singh s/o Ram Sarup . . . . .	Kanheti	Do.
542	Nand Lal s/o Sukhdev . . . . .	Bhagwi	Do.
543	Balwan s/o Sukhdev . . . . .	Do.	Do.
544	Meer Singh s/o Lal Singh . . . . .	Do.	Do.
545	Shri Chand s/o Shiv Datt . . . . .	Jakhala	Do.
546	Nanak s/o Choru Ram . . . . .	Malchroli	Do.
547	Sube Singh s/o Raje Ram . . . . .	Bhagwi	Do.
548	Hari Ram s/o Raje Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
549	Manphool s/o Raje Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
550	Lal Ram s/o Sohmat . . . . .	Do.	Do.
551	Mohar Singh s/o Kanha . . . . .	Do.	Do.
552	Sukh Lal s/o Ramjilal . . . . .	Budhera	Do.
553	Deeg Ram s/o Bakhtawar . . . . .	Do.	Do.
554	Sultan s/o Badan . . . . .	Seeror	Do.
555	Bodan s/o Barthu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
556	Lal Chand s/o Badan . . . . .	Do.	Do.
557	Ramji Lal s/o Sukh Lal . . . . .	Khatiwas	Do.
558	Subh Ram s/o Bhugmal . . . . .	Atela	Do.
559	Mange Ram s/o Mangli . . . . .	Do.	Do.
560	Chhater s/o Mangul . . . . .	Gadhli	Do.
561	Parbhathi s/o Mukh Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
562	Roda Ram s/o Mangal . . . . .	Do.	Do.
563	Harkishan s/o Roop Ram . . . . .	Siror	Do.
564	Het Ram s/o Kurda . . . . .	Do.	Do.
565	Parbhathi s/o Nathu Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
566	Raghbir s/o Ghinsa . . . . .	Do.	Do.
567	Changa Ram s/o Sheo Narain . . . . .	Do.	Do.
568	Ram Sarup s/o Budh Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
569	Moola Ram s/o Bala Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
570	Kishori s/o Parbhathi . . . . .	Do.	Do.
571	Ami Lal s/o Mula Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
572	Chhotu Ram s/o Todi Shar . . . . .	Pavdhi	Do.
573	Kishan Lal s/o Chhaju Ram . . . . .	Dadia	Do.

1	2	3	4
574	Ram Singh s/o Tota Ram	Dhani	24-1-68
575	Sher Singh s/o Tej Ram	Do.	Do.
576	Nihala s/o Sheo Ji	Do.	Do.
577	Om Parkash s/o Nanar	Do.	Do.
578	Suraj Bhan s/o Mahia	Do.	Do.
579	Chhotu s/o Budh Ram	Kaliawas	Do.
580	Bhola Ram s/o Budh Ram	Do.	Do.
581	Jug Lal s/o Budh Ram	Do.	Do.
582	Sumer s/o Chhotu Ram	Do.	Do.
583	Shri Chand s/o Sih Ram	Do.	Do.
584	Bhai Ram s/o Shri Chand	Do.	Do.
585	Ganga Ram s/o Sih Ram	Do.	Do.
586	Ram Sarup s/o Chhalu	Imlota	Do.
587	Hoshara s/o Lela Ram	Do.	Do.
588	Surat Singh s/o Ramjilal	Do.	Do.
589	Dharam Pal s/o Sukhlal	Do.	Do.
590	Banwari s/o Shi Ram	Do.	Do.
591	Karan Singh s/o Khema	Gadhli	Do.
592	Mangtu s/o Karan Singh	Do.	Do.
593	Pat Ram s/o Sheo Nath	Loharwara	25-1-68
594	Dharam Pal s/o Nathu Ram	Do.	Do.
595	Sis Pal s/o Nathu Ram	Do.	Do.
596	Sat Narain s/o Pat Ram	Do.	Do.
597	Hanuman s/o Dalu	Pardoli	Do.
598	Ruda Ram s/o Dalu	Do.	Do.
599	Mohan s/o Dalu	Do.	Do.
600	Foola Ram s/o Chandra	Do.	Do.
601	Daya Lal s/o Ganeshi	Gathera	Do.
602	Ramsukha s/o Ganeshi	Do.	Do.
603	Balbir s/o Jugti	Loharwara	Do.
604	Meer Singh s/o Jai Lal	Do.	Do.
605	Balbir s/o Sheo Ram	Do.	Do.
606	Ami Lal s/o Bula Ram	Ghesera	Do.
607	Balbir s/o Sheo Dan	Do.	Do.
608	Harchand s/o Nanak	Do.	Do.
609	Daya Nand s/o Bansi	Do.	Do.
610	Kishter s/o Layak Ram	Neemoth	Do.
611	Fusa Ram s/o Layak Ram	Do.	Do.
612	Bhagwana s/o Bihari	Kalgoan	Do.
613	Dharampal s/o Mehar Chand	Jaitpur	Do.
614	Jot Ram s/o Mehar Chand	Do.	Do.
615	Daya Nand s/o Masta	Jhinjher	Do.
616	Hawa Singh s/o Palu Ram	Do.	Do.
617	Itwari s/o Gokal	Do.	Do.
618	Satan s/o Sukhi Lal	Do.	28-1-68
619	Chandru s/o Ghisa Ram	Do.	Do.
620	Uma Datt s/o Sheo Ram	Do.	Do.
621	Dharama s/o Mohan	Chandeni	Do.
622	Om Parkash s/o Dharma	Do.	Do.
623	Juthar s/o Chandgi	Nangla]	Do.
624	Sih Ram s/o Gokal	Jhinjher	Do.
625	Amar Singh s/o Gokal	Do.	Do.
626	Ramkishan s/o Roopram	Do.	Do.
627	Kirpa Nand s/o Roopram	Do.]	Do.
628	Hukani s/o Shivalal	Do.	Do.
629	Bhola Ram s/o Sheo Dan	Bhagwi	Do.
630	Kundan s/o Mangli	Do.]	Do.
631	Sheona s/o Natha	Babdoli	Do.
632	Mehar Chand s/o Jhunja	Do.	Do.
633	Hardwari s/o Sheo Lal	Do.	Do.
634	Subha Chand s/o Ramlal	Do.]	Do.
635	Chhotu s/o Jagan	Do.	Do.
636	Tara Chand s/o Shiblal	Do.	Do.
637	Shri Ram s/o Jhunja Ram	Do.	Do.
638	Jot Ram s/o Sukhdev	Chadva	Do.

1	2	3	4
639	Mam Chand s/o Prem	Ghasera	28-1-68
640	Nathu Ram s/o Pohkar	Badrai	Do.
641	Chabila s/o Nathu Ram	Do.	Do.
642	Chander s/o Nathu Ram	Do.	Do.
643	Manohar s/o Pirana	Nangal	Do.
644	Chander s/o Bhalu	Bhagwi	29-1-68
645	Mamchand s/o Bhalu	Do.	Do.
646	Ram Pal s/o Sukhlal	Do.	Do.
647	Shri Chand s/o Nanak	Daboda	Do.
648	Sumer s/o Bhola	Ghasera	Do.
649	Shri Ram s/o Kashi Ram	Do.	Do.
650	Chhatu Ram s/o Shri Ram	Do.	Do.
651	Gokal s/o Ramsahai	Deroli	Do.
652	Sheo Ram s/o Ramsahai	Do.	Do.
653	Lakhmi s/o Gokal	Do.	Do.
654	Ramkumar s/o Gokal	Do.	Do.
655	Chhotu s/o Udmi	Kaliawas	Do.
656	Raghubir s/o Nathuram	Do.	Do.
657	Bhumal s/o Fool Chand	Biror	Do.
658	Jai Lal s/o Gopal	Kaliawas	Do.
659	Ranbir s/o Jhandu	Chandeni	Do.
660	Umer s/o Jhandu	Do.	Do.
661	Brahma Nand s/o Nanak	Do.	Do.
662	Sher Singh s/o Neki	Do.	Do.
663	Dalip s/o Kundan	Do.	Do.
664	Sarjeet s/o Harlal	Imlota	Do.
665	Amar Singh s/o Tejram	Do.	Do.
666	Ramsarup s/o Salar	Do.	Do.
667	Surjan s/o Het Ram	Do.	Do.
668	Gopal s/o Jaisram	Loharwara	Do.
669	Jage Ram s/o Sheo Lal	Do.	Do.
670	Heera Lal s/o Molar	Do.	Do.
671	Bray Lal s/o Harsha	Kakroli	Do.
672	Bhano Ram s/o Shiv Lal	Jhinjher	Do.
673	Rampal s/o Rai Singh	Jhinjher	Do.
674	Gopi s/o Ramnarain	Do.	Do.
675	Hari Chand s/o Ramnarain	Do.	Do.
676	Radhe Shyam s/o Bhagwana	Do.	Do.
677	Ramnath s/o Chunia	Do.	Do.
678	Lila s/o Thakaria	Siror	Do.
679	Sheokarana s/o Puran	Do.	Do.
680	Surajbhan s/o Puran	Do.	Do.
681	Ramkumar s/o Jasi Ram	Khendevla	Do.
682	Maman s/o Dai Ram	Chandeni	Do.
683	Sheoji s/o Dai Ram	Do.	Do.
684	Kirpa Nand s/o Harphul	Do.	Do.
685	Shiblal s/o Jagram	Jhinjher	31-1-68
686	Rambax s/o Matu Ram	Do.	Do.
687	Bharthu s/o Ramniwas	Do.	Do.
688	Tota Ram s/o Herdeva	Sagsan	Do.
689	Tejram s/o Phulu	Kabulpur	Do.
690	Ujasa s/o Masta	Jhinjher	Do.
691	Lilu Ram s/o Shera	Do.	Do.
692	Jugti s/o Mangli	Do.	Do.
693	Moji Ram s/o Sampat	Do.	Do.
694	Ramher s/o Musadi	Do.	Do.
695	Chander s/o Chandgi	Loharwara	Do.
696	Maichand s/o Gagan	Do.	Do.
697	Abhe Ram s/o Meehu	Do.	Do.
698	Lilu s/o Mada	Do.	Do.
699	Parbha s/o Mada	Do.	Do.
700	Jogi Ram s/o Mailal	Do.	Do.
701	Bhima s/o Ganesha	Kakrala	Do.
702	Nathu s/o Bhima	Do.	Do.
703	Sohan s/o Ramsha	Chirala	Do.
704	Jailal s/o Maidin	Loharwara	Do.

1	2	3	4
705	Tale s/o Lalti . . . . .	Loharwara	31-1-68
706	Mailal s/o Sudhan . . . . .	Do.	Do.
707	Pahlad s/o Gokal . . . . .	Do.	Do.
708	Chandgi s/o Mada . . . . .	Do.	Do.
709	Chandgi s/o Udmi . . . . .	Asawri	Do.
710	Mansingh s/o Chandgi . . . . .	Do.	Do.
711	Mange Ram s/o Chandgi . . . . .	Bhagwi	Do.
712	Hava Singh s/o Chandgi . . . . .	Kubja	Do.
713	Mange Ram s/o Chandgi . . . . .	Do.	Do.
714	Jaidyal s/o Hanmat . . . . .	Bhagwi	Do.
715	Amar Singh s/o Moharsingh . . . . .	Do.	Do.
716	Puran s/o Lila . . . . .	Deroli	Do.
717	Bhagwana s/o Rura . . . . .	Bhagwi	Do.
718	Lial s/o Ruda . . . . .	Do.	Do.
719	Hava Singh s/o Lila . . . . .	Do.	Do.
720	Chutan s/o Fatu . . . . .	Dharuhera	Do.
721	Seva Ram s/o Net Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
722	Lal Chand s/o Tota Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
723	Dhana s/o Gordhan . . . . .	Rajpura	Do.
724	Devkaran s/o Dhana . . . . .	Do.	Do.
725	Dalu s/o Jeewan . . . . .	Ghasera	Do.
726	Bodam s/o Jeewan . . . . .	Do.]	Do.
727	Bhundu s/o Amilal . . . . .	Lamba	Do.
728	Ramkumar s/o Ganeshi . . . . .	Do.	Do.
729	Sis Ram s/o Mansukh . . . . .	Sator	Do.
730	Parbhati s/o Subh Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
731	Pokhar s/o Rupla . . . . .	Do.	Do.
732	Khiali s/o Mansukh . . . . .	Do.	Do.
733	Mohar Singh s/o Baldeva . . . . .	Bhagwi	Do.
734	Jagram s/o Milabram . . . . .	Kaliawas	Do.
735	Bujan s/o Lalji . . . . .	Bhagwi	Do.
736	Pidchand s/o Lokram . . . . .	Loharwara	Do.
737	Jlam s/o Molar . . . . .	Do.	Do.
738	Chandram s/o Lakhuram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
739	Parmanand s/o Ramchander . . . . .	Jhinjher	Do.
740	Rajc Ram s/o Siaram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
741	Partap s/o Sis Ram . . . . .	Loharwara	Do.
742	Umrao s/o Rajc Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
743	Bhagwana s/o Tuhi Ram . . . . .	Ghikara	Do.
744	Hariram s/o Saiblal . . . . .	Berla	Do.
745	Pahlad s/o Fakira . . . . .	Do.	Do.
746	Raisingh s/o Chandgi . . . . .	Do.	Do.
747	Bhola s/o Hasan . . . . .	Sagsan	Do.
748	Sheochand s/o Shanker . . . . .	Do.	Do.
749	Ranjilal s/o Bhairam . . . . .	Do.	Do.
750	Parbhu s/o Mangal . . . . .	Do.	Do.
751	Ramautar s/o Deena . . . . .	Gumina	Do.
752	Ranjcet s/o Deena . . . . .	Do.	Do.
753	Rohtas s/o Deena . . . . .	Do.	Do.
754	Harnam s/o Molar . . . . .	Kaliawas	Do.
755	Bala s/o Molar . . . . .	Do.	Do.
756	Mange Ram s/o Cheju Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
757	Amrit s/o Bhujan . . . . .	Do.	Do.
758	Bhagan s/o Nand Ram . . . . .	Do.	Do.
759	Kundan s/o Moru . . . . .	Bhagwi	Do.
760	Dulichand s/o Gangadatt . . . . .	Do.	Do.
761	Mojiram s/o Chandgi . . . . .	Do.	Do.
762	Shiblal s/o Khubiram . . . . .	Khatiwas	Do.
763	Ramanand s/o Ramkrishan . . . . .	Do.	Do.
764	Choturam s/o Naruram . . . . .	Kachroli]	Do.
765	Subhram s/o Ramsingh . . . . .	Do.	Do.
766	Bishamber s/o Gangadatt . . . . .	Do.	Do.
767	Amarsingh s/o Nanar . . . . .	Budhera	Do.
768	Mangal s/o Jhandu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
769	Surjan s/o Nanar . . . . .	Do.	Do.
770	Munshi s/o Budhram . . . . .	Padamkawas	Do.



1	2	3	4
771	Chattar s/o Ramsarup	Morewala	31-1-68
772	Baldev s/o Sohan	Do.	Do.
773	Sardara s/o Sohan	Do.	Do.
774	Bansi s/o Sochand	Do.	Do.
775	Parthi s/o Kurda	Bhagwi	Do.
776	Moti s/o Ruda	Do.	Do.
777	Chajuram s/o Shriram	Deroli	Do.
778	Gokal s/o Harchand	Kanheti	Do.
779	Ramsarup s/o Juglal	Sagsan	Do.
780	Juglal s/o Baluram	Do.	Do.
781	Parbhu s/o Arjan	Santore	Do.
782	Chanderbhan s/o Shersingh	Biror	Do.
783	Ramchander s/o Nihala	Santore	Do.
784	Banwari s/o Bhajina	Riwasa	Do.
785	Jaidev s/o Ramsarup	Kanheti	Do.
786	Banwari s/o Maidhan	Do.	Do.
787	Ramber s/o Ramchander	Do.	Do.
788	Munshi s/o Shri Ram	Do.	Do.
789	Kartar s/o Shriram	Do.	Do.
790	Subha Chand s/o Hariram	Do.	Do.
791	Banwari s/o Lalchand	Kaliawas	Do.
792	Darya s/o Nana	Do.	Do.
793	Ramphal s/o Harphool	Do.	Do.
794	Balwant s/o Gangasha	Patuwas	Do.
795	Richpal s/o Maria	Do.	Do.
796	Rampat s/o Shimbu	Do.	Do.
797	Munshi s/o Gilu	Do.	Do.
798	Pool Singh s/o Sidhan	Kaliawas	Do.
799	Randhir s/o Molar	Do.	Do.
800	Molar s/o Budhram	Do.	Do.
801	Sheonaraian s/o Lilu	Jatusana	Do.
802	Ramher s/o Attar Singh	Jhingher	Do.
803	Subhram s/o Sadi	Do.	Do.
804	Dhoopsingh s/o Shriram	Imlota	Do.
805	Ramher s/o Mangli	Do.	Do.
806	Sisram s/o Maidhan	Santore	Do.
807	Pahlad s/o Subh Ram	Do.	Do.
808	Raje Ram s/o Mamchand	Do.	Do.
809	Giani Ram s/o Bhagwana	Loharwara	Do.
810	Daya Nand s/o Giani	Do.	Do.
811	Bidari s/o Bhagal	Khatiwas	Do.
812	Banwari s/o Bhugal	Do.	Do.
813	Ishwar s/o Bhagal	Do.	Do.
814	Shera s/o Deban	Do.	3-2-68
815	Hava Singh s/o Maturam	Do.	Do.
816	Omparkash s/o Subha Chand	Do.	Do.
817	Nonathi s/o Lakhu	Do.	Do.
818	Fulu s/o Shoochand	Bhagwi	8-2-68
819	Sohmat s/o Kalu	Do.	Do.
820	Ramkishan s/o Sohmat	Do.	Do.
821	Mahia s/o Kalu	Do.	Do.
822	Puran s/o Chunia	Loharwara	9-2-68
823	Lila s/o Sawal	Do.	Do.
824	Gopi s/o Sawal	Do.	Do.
825	Kamanad s/o Piare	Do.	Do.
826	Badlu s/o Chunia	Do.	Do.
827	Surjan s/o Maidha	Do.	Do.
828	Sultan s/o Kanhya	Gachi	Do.
829	Dharma s/o Aderam	Sanaspur	Do.
830	Shishpal s/o Aderam	Do.	Do.
831	Sheonarain s/o Kundan	Do.	Do.
832	Balbir s/o Data Ram	Do.	Do.
833	Tekram s/o Puran	Do.	Do.
834	Mange Ram s/o Puran	Do.	Do.
835	Lakhi Ram s/o Chalu	Imlota	Do.
836	Kartar s/o Jailal	Do.	Do.

1	2	3	4
837	Meer Singh s/o Chandgi . . . . .	Imlota	9-2-68
838	Ramchander s/o Richpal . . . . .	Bloda	Do.
839	Ishwer s/o Bhagwana . . . . .	Do.	Do.
840	Fool Chand s/o Mardan . . . . .	Loharwara	12-2-68
841	Attar Singh s/o Foolchand . . . . .	Do.	Do.
842	Bihar s/o Udmi . . . . .	Dhani	Do.
843	Chet Ram s/o Chhaju . . . . .	Do.	Do.
844	Shera s/o Harphool . . . . .	Do.	Do.
845	Ramkishan s/o Nihalu . . . . .	Do.	Do.
846	Parbhu s/o Chhaju . . . . .	Do.	Do.
847	Moji s/o Sunda . . . . .	Sanaspur	16-2-68
848	Ramphal s/o Jagli . . . . .	Do.	Do.
849	Jai Narain s/o Maru . . . . .	Bhuwana	28-1-68

[No. 36/9/68-LRI.]

*New Delhi, the 5th July 1968*

**S.O. 2509.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Pounia Manganese Mines of M/s. Rajaramka Brothers (Private) Limited, Post Office Tumsar and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

**SCHEDULE**

1. Whether the action of the management of Pounia Manganese Mines in terminating the services of Shri Shyamlal Banghor, Surveyor by their notice dated the 27th September, 1967 was justified?
2. If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled?

[No. 35/15/68-LRI.]

**S.O. 2510.**—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 83, dated the 30th December, 1967, the Central Government had declared the coal industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), for a further period of six months from the 8th January, 1968;

And, whereas the Central Government is of the opinion that public interest requires the extension of the said period by a further period of six months;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby declares the said industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act for a further period of six months from the 8th July, 1968.

[No. F. 1/43/68-LR.I.]

O. P. TALWAR, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

**ORDERS***New Delhi, the 2nd July 1968*

**S.O. 2511.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government

hereby makes the following amendments to the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) S.O. No. 314 dated the 17th January, 1968, namely:—

In the Schedule, after item 2, the following items shall be inserted, namely:—

- “(3) Whether the demand for the free supply of footwear made by the workmen of the Madras Dock Labour Board is justified?
- (4) If so, what are the broad principles on the basis of which footwear may be given to such workmen and what are the categories of workmen to whom footwear should be supplied free and what should be the type of footwear to be supplied to each such category and the scale and periodicity of supply of such footwear.”

[No. 29(2)/68-LR.III.]

**S.O. 2512.**—Whereas an industrial dispute between the Madras Dock Labour Board and their workmen was referred to the National Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad by the Order of Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 314 dated the 17th January, 1968;

And whereas the Central Government is of opinion that the said dispute is of such a nature that the establishments specified in the Schedule hereto annexed are likely to be interested in or affected by that dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub section (5) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby includes in the said reference, the establishments specified in the said Schedule.

#### SCHEDULE

1. Madras Port Trust.
2. Bombay Port Trust.
3. Cochin Port Trust.
4. Kandla Port Trust.
5. Paradeep Port Trust.
6. Mormugao Port Trust.
7. Visakhapatnam Port Trust.
8. The Commissioners for the Port of Calcutta.
9. Mormugao Dock Labour Board.
10. Visakhapatnam Dock Labour Board.
11. Cochin Dock Labour Board.
12. Bombay Dock Labour Board.
13. Calcutta Dock Labour Board.
14. M/s. A. B. Cursetjee & Sons (P) Ltd., Bombay.
15. M/s. D. B. Cursetjee's Sons, Bombay.
16. M/s. R. Sharp & Son (P) Ltd., Bombay.
17. M/s. Hill, Son & Dinshaw (P) Ltd., Bombay.
18. M/s. M. Dinshaw & Co. (P) Ltd., Bombay.
19. M/s. Eastern Bunkerers Ltd., Bombay.
20. United India Marine & Trading Co. (P) Ltd., Bombay.
21. M/s. A. R. Naim & Sons., Bombay-16 (DD).
22. M/s. Dinshaw C. Cooper & Sons, Bombay.
23. M/s. Kanji Jadhavji & Co., Bombay-9.
24. M/s. M. B. E. Cassinath Sons, Bombay.
25. M/s. New Dholera Shipping & Trading Co. Ltd., Bombay-1.
26. M/s. R. H. Tookaram Hariba & Sons, Bombay.

27. M/s. Purshotamdas Madhavani & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Bombay.
28. M/s. H. K. Joshi & Co., Bombay.
29. M/s. Shlvax C. Cambatta & Co. (P) Ltd., Bombay.
30. M/s. Robinsons, Bombay.
31. M/s. Vinsons, Bombay.
32. M/s. R. Tulsidas & Co., Bombay.
33. M/s. Kanji Jadhavji & Co. (P) Ltd., Bombay-9.
34. M/s. Alex Miller (Shipchandlers) Private Ltd., Calcutta-1.
35. M/s. Banerjee & Chatterjee Stevedores (Pvt.) Ltd., Calcutta-16.
36. M/s. B. Ghose & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Calcutta-13.
37. M/s. Calcutta Supply Agency, Calcutta-1.
38. M/s. Chatterjee Hazra Pvt. Ltd., Calcutta-1.
39. M/s. Naresh Nath Mookerjee, Calcutta-1.
40. M/s. Pratul Mukherjee (Stevedores) Pvt. Ltd., Calcutta-1.
41. M/s. Sen Mukherjee & Co., Calcutta-1.
42. M/s. Sharma & Co., Calcutta-19.
43. M/s. T. P. Roychoudhuri & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Calcutta-13.
44. M/s. Chinay Chalblani & Co., Calcutta-1.
45. M/s. Miscos (India) Pvt. Ltd., Calcutta.
46. M/s. A. C. Roy & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Calcutta-1.
47. M/s. Balalil Mookerjee & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Calcutta.
48. M/s. Baney Madhub Mookerjee & Co., Calcutta-1.
49. M/s. Butterworth & Farmer, Calcutta-43.
50. M/s. B. Bose Pvt. Ltd., Calcutta-13.
51. M/s. Darabshaw B. Cursetjees' Sons Pvt. Ltd., Calcutta-1.
52. M/s. E. C. Bose & Co. (Pvt.) Ltd., Calcutta-1.
53. M/s. H. M. Coria & Sons Pvt. Ltd., Calcutta-16.
54. M/s. J. N. Mukherjee & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Calcutta-1.
55. M/s. Keshavlal P. Gorsia, Calcutta-1.
56. M/s. M. Elias Pvt. Ltd., Calcutta-1.
57. M/s. P. E. Davis & Co. (Stevedores) Pvt. Ltd., Calcutta-1.
58. M/s. Santosh Chandra Banerjee & Sons Pvt. Ltd., Calcutta-1.
59. M/s. Sarat Chatterjee & Co. (P) Ltd., Calcutta-1.
60. The Master Stevedore's Association, Calcutta.
61. The Calcutta Stevedores Association Calcutta.
62. The Madras Stevedore's Association, Madras.
63. Messrs. A. Arumugam Chettiar, Madras-13.
64. Messrs. Best & Company, Madras-1.
65. Messrs. Binny & Company Ltd., Madras-2.
66. Messrs. P. Devarajoolu Naidu & Son, Madras-1.
67. Messrs. Krishna & Company, Madras-1.
68. Messrs. C. M. Natarajan & Brothers, Madras-1.
69. Messrs. N. Selvarajoolu Chetty & Co., Madras-1.
70. Messrs. K. V. P. Shaik Mohamed Rowther & Co., Madras-1.
71. M/s. South India Corporation (Agencies), (Private) Ltd., Madras-1.
72. M/s. P. Theruvengada Mudaliar, Madras-1.
73. M/s. United Stevedores, Madras-1.
74. The Shipping Employer's Federation, Visakhapatnam.
75. Visakhapatnam Stevedore's Association, Visakhapatnam.
76. M/s. Balalil Mookerjee & Co. (P) Ltd., Visakhapatnam-1. (A.P.).
77. M/s. E. C. Bose & Co. (P) Ltd., Visakhapatnam-2 (A.P.).

78. M/s. G. S. Murty, Ch. Agastayya & Co., Visakhapatnam-1 (A.P.).
79. M/s. H. K. Banerjee & Co., Visakhapatnam-3 (A.P.).
80. M/s. K. Ramabrahmam & Sons (P) Ltd., Visakhapatnam-1 (A.P.).
81. M/s. La Rive & Co., Harbour Area, Visakhapatnam-1 (A.P.).
82. M/s. N. Selvaradjalou Chetty & Co. (India), Visakhapatnam-1 (A.P.).
83. M/s. Roy & Chatterjee & Co. (P) Ltd., Visakhapatnam-1 (A.P.).
84. M/s. Sarat Chatterjee & Co. (P) Ltd., Visakhapatnam-3 (A.P.).
85. M/s. South India Corporation (Agencies) (P) Ltd., Visakhapatnam-1 (A.P.).
86. M/s. V. Dhanareddy & Co., Visakhapatnam-1 (A.P.).
87. The Bombay Stevedores Association, Bombay.
88. Mormugao Stevedores Association, Vasco-da-Gama.
89. M/s. Agencia Commercial Maritima, Vasco-da-Gama.
90. M/s. Agencia Ultramarina Pvt. Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama.
91. M/s. Chowgule Brothers, Vasco-da-Gama.
92. M/s. Damodar Mangalji & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama.
93. M/s. Elesbao Pereira & Sons, Vasco-da-Gama.
94. M/s. Froilano C. R. Machado & Sons, Vasco-da-Gama.
95. M/s. Lima Leitao & Co. Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama.
96. M/s. Mormugao Navegadora Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama.
97. M/s. Shantilal Khushaldas & Brothers Vasco-da-Gama.
98. M/s. V. M. Salgaocar & Bro. Pvt. Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama.
99. M/s. V. S. Dempo & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama.
100. M/s. Rajaram V. Redij, Vasco-da-Gama.
101. The Kandla Stevedores Association, Gandhidham, Kutch.
102. M/s. Chotalal Premji and Co. DBZ:S:146, Gandhidham (Kutch).
103. M/s. C. Doctor & Co. (P) Ltd., Gandhidham, Kutch.
104. M/s. D.B.C's (i) DBZ; S: 163, Gandhidham (Kutch).
105. M/s. J. M. Baxi & Co., New Kandla (Kutch).
106. M/s. Kanji Jadhvi and Co. (P) Ltd., DBZ:S, Gandhidham.
107. M/s. Kanji Jadhavi & Co., DBZ:S:5, Gandhidham.
108. M/s. Kutch Salt & Allied Industries Pvt. Ltd., New Kandla.
109. M/s. New Globe Shipping Service Pvt. Ltd., BBZ:N:38, Gandhidham (Kutch).
110. M/s. Ota India, BBZ:N:50, Gandhidham (Kutch).
111. M/s. Pestonjee Bhikajee (Kutch), New Kandla-Kutch.
112. M/s. Prabhulal L. Pandya & Co., DBZ:S:29, Gandhidham (Kutch).
113. M/s. R. Tulsidas & Co., (i) C-129, Gandhidham (Kutch).
114. M/s. Vinsons, P.O. Box No. 35, Gandhidham (Kutch).
115. M/s. United Salt Works, Kandla Kutch.
116. The United Stevedores Association, of Cochin (Private) Limited, Cochin-1
117. M/s. P. A. Abdulrehimankutty & Sons, Stevedores, Cochin-1.
118. M/s. K. B. Jacob & Sons, Cochin-1.
119. M/s. W.H.D'cruz & Sons, Cochin-1.
120. M/s. P. Paree & Sons, Cochin-1.
121. M/s. C. V. A. Hydrose & Son, Cochin-2.
122. M/s. O. P. Mamoo, Cochin-2.
123. M/s. South India Corporation Limited, Cochin-3.
124. M/s. P. Paul Abrao & Sons, Cochin-3.
125. M/s. B. J. Khona, Cochin-2.
126. M/s. Bharat Lines, Cochin-2.

127. M/s. New Dholera Shipping & Trading Company, Cochin-2.

128. M/s. Achuthan Pillai & Company, Cochin-1.

[No. 29(2)/68-LR.III.]

N. N. CHATTERJEE, Jt. Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

CORRIGENDUM

*New Delhi, the 8th July 1968*

**S.O. 2513.**—In the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 2107 dated the 6th July, 1966, published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii) at page 2076,

in the schedule, in line 2, for "1st December, 1965" read "1st January, 1966".

[No. 28/32/66-LR.IV.]

C. RAMDAS, Under Secy.

(Department of Rehabilitation)

*New Delhi, the 20th June 1968*

**S.O. 2514.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules regulating the method of recruitment to certain Class I and Class II posts in the Dandakaranya Project, Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Rehabilitation), namely :—

1. **Short title and commencement.**—(1) These rules may be called the Dandakaranya Project (Class I and II posts) Recruitment Rules, 1968.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. **Application.**—These rules shall apply for recruitment to the posts as specified in column 1 of the Schedule annexed hereto.

3. **Number, classification and scale of pay.**—The number of posts, their classification and the scales of pay attached thereto shall be as specified in columns 2 to 4 of the said Schedule.

4. **Method of recruitment, age limit and other qualifications.**—The method of recruitment, age limit, qualifications and other matters connected therewith shall be as specified in columns 5 to 13 of the Schedule aforesaid:

Provided that the upper age limit prescribed for direct recruitment may be relaxed in the case of Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes or other special categories of persons in accordance with the orders issued from time to time by the Central Government.

5. **Disqualifications.**—(a) No person, who has more than one wife living or who, having a spouse living, marries in any case in which such marriage is void by reason of its taking place during the life-time of such spouse, shall be eligible for appointment to the said posts; and

(b) No woman, whose marriage is void by reason of the husband having a wife living at the time of such marriage, or who has married a person who has a wife living at the time of such marriage, shall be eligible for appointment to the said posts:

Provided that the Central Government may, if satisfied that there are special grounds for so ordering, exempt any person from the operation of this rule.

---

6. **Power to relax.**—Where the Central Government is of opinion that it is necessary or expedient so to do, it may, by order, for reasons to be recorded in writing, and in consultation with the Union Public Service Commission, relax any of the provisions of these rules with respect to any class or category of persons or posts.



## SCHEDULE

*Recruitment Rules for Class I and Class II Posts in the Dandakaranya Project in Ministry of Labour, Employment & Rehabilitation.*

[File No. 1/(104)/67 DNK.]

Name of Post	No. of Posts	Classification	Scale of Pay <sub>i</sub>	Whether selection post or non-selection post	Age for direct recruits	Education and other qualifications required for direct recruits	Whether age & Educational qualifications prescribed for the direct recruits will apply in the case of promotees	Period of probation, if any	Method of rectt. whether by direct rectt. or by promotion or by deputation/transfer & percentage of the vacancies to be filled by various methods	In case of rectt. by promotion/deputation/transfer grades from which promotion/deputation/transfer to be made	If a DPC exists what is its composition	Circumstances in which UPSC is to be consulted in making recruitments.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Rs.									
1. Financial Adviser & Chief Accounts Officer	One	General Central Service Class I Gazetted	1100—50— —1300—60— 1600—100— —1800	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	By transfer on deputation	Transfer on deputation: Officer in the Junior Administrative Grade from any of the organised Accounts Services (Viz. Indian Audit & Accounts Service, Indian Defence Accounts Service or Indian Railway Accounts Service) (Period of deputation ordinarily, not exceeding 4 years).	Not applicable.	As required under the rules.

2. Deputy Financial Adviser and Chief Accounts Officer.	One	General Central Service Class I Gazetted.	400—400— —450—30— 570—EB— 700—40— 1100—50/2 1250	Not appli- cable.	Not appli- cable.	Not applicable	Not appli- cable.	Not appli- cable.	By trans- fer on deputation.	Transfer on deputation: Time scale officers from any of the organised Accounts Services ( <i>Viz.</i> Indian Audit & Accounts Service Indian Defence Accounts Service or Indian Railway Accounts Service) failing which Assistant Accounts/Assistant Audit Officers with at least 5 years service in the grade from any of the organised Accounts Departments <i>viz.</i> Indian Audit & Accounts Department, Indian Defence Accounts Department, Indian Railway Accounts Department.	Not appli- cable.	As re- quired under the rules.
3. Assistant Financial Adviser and Chief Accounts Officer.	8	General Central Service Class II Gazetted.	590—30— 830—35— 900	Not appli- cable.	Not appli- cable.	Not applicable	Not appli- cable.	Not appli- cable.	By trans- fer on deputation	Transfer on deputation: Officers of the rank of Assistant Accounts Officer/Assistant Audit Officer failing which S.A.S. Accountant with 5 years service in the grade from any of the organised Accounts Departments	Not appli- cable.	As re- quired under the rules.

(Period of deputation ordinarily not exceeding 3½ years.)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
										viz. Indian Audit and Accounts department, Indian Defence Accounts Department, Indian Railway Accounts Department.		
										(Period of deputation ordinarily not exceeding 3 years.)		
4. Superintending Engineer, Irrigation/Construction.	2	General Central Service Class I Gazetted.	1300—60— 1600—100— 1800	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	By transfer on deputation.	Transfer on deputation: Officers holding analogous posts under the Central/State Governments.	Not applicable.	As required under the rules.
										(Period of deputation ordinarily, not exceeding 4 years.)		
5. Forest Officer/Divisional Forest Officer/Deputy Conservator of Forests.	1	General Central Service Class I Gazetted.	700—40— 1100—50/2 1250	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	By transfer on deputation.	Transfer on deputation: Officers in the appropriate grade of the Indian Forest Service.	Not applicable.	As required under the rules.
										(Period of deputation ordinarily, not exceeding 3 years.)		

6. Zonal Administrator.	3	General Central Service Class I Gazetted.	900—50—1000—60—1600—100—1800	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	By transfer on deputation.	Transfer on deputation: Officer of the I.A.S. or Central Service Class I.  (Period of deputation ordinarily, not exceeding 4 years).	Not applicable.	As required under the rules.
7. Senior Executive Officer/ Zonal Administrator.	5	General Central Service Class I Gazetted.	Rs. 700-40-1100-50/2-1250 or Rs. 900-1250 proposed scale.	Not applicable.	45 years and below (Relaxable for Government servants.)	Essential:— (i) Degree of a recognised University or equivalent qualifications. (ii) About 5 years experience of personnel management/establishment in any Central / State Government or in a big industrial concern of undertakings etc. in a responsible capacity. (Qualifications relaxable at Commission's discretion in the case of candidates otherwise well qualified).	Not applicable.	Two years.	By transfer on deputation failing which by direct recruitment.	Transfer on deputation: Not Officers of the C.S.S. Grade I failing which Section Officers of the C.S.S. drawing basic pay falling within the scale of pay applicable to the post with experience in Administration/Establishment work. OR Officer of the State Civil Service. (Period of deputation, ordinarily, not exceeding 3 years).	Not applicable.	As required under the rules.

*Desirable:*

- (i) Experience in relief and rehabilitation work and re-settlement of refugees.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
						(ii) Knowledge of Bengali.						
						(iii) Experience of community development, moral uplift or other work of an allied nature.						
8. Stores Purchase Officer	One	General Central Service Class I Gazetted.	Rs. 400-400-450-30-600-35-670-EB-35-950.	Not applicable.	35 years and below (Relaxable for Government servants).	Essential:— (i) Degree of a recognised University or equivalent qualifications. (ii) About 5 years experience of purchase/inspection of stores under Government or in a firm of repute. (Qualifications relaxable at Commission's discretion in the case of candidates otherwise well qualified).	Not applicable.	Two years.	By transfer on deputation failing which by direct recruitment.	Transfer on deputation:— Officers holding analogous posts under the Central Government with about 3 years experience in purchase/inspection of Engineering equipment and other equipment/stores.  (period of deputation, ordinarily not exceeding 3 years).	Not applicable.	As required under the Rules.
9. Joint Director of Industries.	One	General Central Service Class I Gazetted.	Rs. 1100-50-1400	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	By transfer on deputation.	Transfer on deputation:— Officers holding analogous posts in the Central/State Government with at least 10 years' total experience in the Industries Department/Small Scale	Not applicable.	As required under the rules.

10. Assistant Director of Industries.	3	General Central Service Class II Gazetted.	Rs. 590-30-830-35-900	Not applicable.	Not exceeding 30 years (Relaxable for Government servants).	Essential:— (i) Degree in Mechanical Engineering or Technology of a recognised University or equivalent qualifications preferably with about one year's experience of managing training-cum-production centre. (Qualifications relaxable at Commission's discretion in the case of candidates otherwise well qualified). OR (i) Degree in Economics of a recognised University or equivalent qualifications. (ii) About 5 years experience in Industrial Management and market research, field investigation and survey of	Not applicable.	Two years.	By transfer on deputation failing which by direct recruitment.	Industries in a responsible capacity. (Period of deputation, ordinarily not exceeding 3 years).	Transfer on deputation:— Officers holding analogous posts under the Central/State Governments. (Period of deputation, ordinarily not exceeding 3 years).	Not applicable.	As required under the rules.
---------------------------------------	---	--	-----------------------	-----------------	---	--	-----------------	------------	--	---	--	-----------------	------------------------------

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Rs.			industrial potentialities. (Qualifications relaxable at Commission's discretion in the case of candidates otherwise well qualified).						
						<i>Desirable:—</i> Some experience in production department of a manufacturing industry or training centre including experience of running small industries.						
11. Assistant Architect.	One	General Central Service Class II Gazetted.	Rs. 350-25-500-EB-30-830-35-900	Not applicable.	Not exceeding 35 years. (Relaxable for Government servants)	<i>Essential:—</i> Diploma in Architecture of a recognised Institute or equivalent qualifications. (Qualifications relaxable at Commission's discretion in the case of candidates otherwise well qualified).	Not applicable.	Two years.	By direct recruitment.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	As required under the rules.





I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
13. Assistant Conservator of Forests/ Assistant Forest Officer	3	General Central Service Class II Gazetted	Rs. 350— 25—575	Selection	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Two years	By transfer on deputation failing which by promotion	Transfer on deputation:— Officers holding analogous posts under Central/ State Governments.  (Period of deputation ordinarily, not exceeding 3 years. Promotion : Forests Rangers who hold a Ranger's Diploma from Forest Research Institute and Colleges with 5 years service in the grade.	Class II Departmental Promotion Committee	As required under the rules.
14. Assistant Research Officer	3	General Central Service Class II, Gazetted	Rs. 350— 25—500— 30—590— EB—30— 800—EB— 30—830— 35—900	Selection	Not exceeding 35 years (Relaxable for Government servants)	Essential : (i) M.Sc. degree in Chemistry or Soil Chemistry of a recognised University or equivalent qualifications. (ii) About one year's experience in Soil Research work or on Barthen Dams.	Age: No. Qualifications: At least a degree in Science with Chemistry as a subject	Two years	By promotion failing which by transfer on deputation and failing both by direct recruitment.	Promotion : Research Assistant with 5 years service in the grade. Transfer on deputation. Officers holding analogous posts under Central/ State Governments (Period of deputation ordinarily not exceeding 3 years).	Class II Departmental Promotion Committee	As required under the rules.

(Qualifications relaxable at Commissions' discretion in the case of candidates otherwise well-qualified).												
15. Research Officer	One	General Central Service Class I, Gazetted	Rs. 400—450—30—600—EB—35—670—EB—35—950	Selection	Not exceeding 35 years. (Relaxable for Government servants).	<i>Essential :</i> (i) M.Sc.degree in Chemistry or Soil Chemistry of a recognised University or equivalent qualifications. (ii) About 3 years experience in Soil Research work or on Earthen Dams  (Qualifications relaxable at Commission's discretion in the case of candidates otherwise well-qualified).	Age: No. Qualifications At least a degree in Science with Chemistry as a subject	Two years	By promotion failing which by transfer on deputation and failing both by direct recruitment.	<i>Promotion :</i> Assistant Research Officers with 3 years service in the grade <i>Transfer on deputation</i> Officers holding analogous posts under Central/State Governments. (Period of deputation ordinarily not exceeding 3 years).	Class I Departmental Promotion Committee	As required under the rules.
(Qualifications relaxable at Commission's discretion in the case of candidates otherwise well-qualified).												
16. Land Officer Deputy Land Officer	2	General Central Service, Class II, Gazetted Non-Ministerial	Rs. 350—25—500—30—590—EB—30—800—EB—30—830—35—900	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	By transfer on deputation	<i>Transfer on deputation</i> Officers holding analogous posts under Central/State Governments (Period of deputation ordinarily, not exceeding 3 years).	Not applicable	As required under the rules.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Rs.									
17. Veteri- nary Officer/ Poultry Offi- cer/Poultry Development Officer	5	General Central Service Class II, Gazetted/ Non- Minis- terial	350— 25—500— 30—590— EB—30— 800—EB— 30—830— 35—900	Selec- tion	Not excee- ding 35 years. (Rela- xable for Govern- ment ser- vants).	<i>Essential :</i> (i) Degree in Veterinary Science from a recognised University or equivalent quali- fications. (ii) At least 5 years experien- ce in live-stock disease control and manage- ment of live- stock, poul- try/fishery de- velopment and extension schemes. Qualifications relaxable at Commission's discretion in the case of candidates otherwise well- qualified).	No	Two years	50% by promotion; 50% by transfer on de- putation failing which by direct recruit- ment.	<i>Promotion :</i> Veterinary Assistant Surgeon with 3 years service in the grade. <i>Transfer on deputa- tion.</i> Officers holding analogous posts under Central/ State Governments. (Period of deputa- tion ordinarily not exceeding 3 years).	Class II Depart- mental Promo- tion Comm- ittec.	As re- quired under the rules.

[No. 1(104)/67-DNK.]

J. K. AHLUWALIA, Under Secy.

## (Department of Rehabilitation)

## (Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)

*New Delhi, the 28th June 1968*

**S.O. 2515.**—Whereas the Central Government is of the opinion that it is necessary to acquire the evacuee properties specified in the schedule hereto annexed in the State of Madhya Pradesh for public purpose being a purpose connected with the relief and rehabilitation of Displaced Persons including payment of compensation to such persons.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 12 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation & Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), it is notified that the Central Government has decided to acquire and hereby acquires the Evacuee Properties specified in the Schedule hereto annexed.

## SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Particulars of the Property	Name of the Town and Locality in which the Property is situated	Name of the Evacuee with Parentage
1.	H. No. 726	Omti Ward, Jabalpur.	Jabida Khatoon widow of Rahmat Ali and others.
2.	H. No. 235	P. C. No. 16, Nainpur, Distt. Mandla.	Shri Abdul Aziz s/o Khuda Bux.
3.	H. No. 387	Do.	Do.
4.	H. No. 538	Do.	Sh. Abdul Majid and Papa Mian sons of Badulla Khan.
5.	H. No. 2/1299	Kiri Mohalla Sironj, Distt. Vidisha.	Shri Sabir Ali son of Zulfkar Ali.
6.	H. No. 4310	Mohd. Manpur, Teh. Harda, Distt. Hoshangabad.	Sh. Abdul Wahab son of Mohd. Firoz.

[No. 29(3)/Comp. &amp; Prop/67.]

*New Delhi, the 1st July 1968*

**S.O. 2516.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Administration of Evacuee Property Act, 1950 (XXXI of 1950), the Central Government hereby appoints for the States of Punjab and Haryana, Shri Des Raj Maini, Superintendent in the Office of the Custodian of Evacuee Property, Jullundur, as Assistant Custodian for the purpose of discharging the duties imposed on such Assistant Custodians by or under the said Act.

[No. 4(85)AP/58.]

A. G. VASWANI,

Settlement Commissioner (A) & *Ex-Officio*, Under Secy.

## (Department of Labour and Employment)

## ERRATA

Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) Notification No. issued as S.O. 1835, dated 14th May, 1968, published at pages 2427—2429 of the Gazette of India Part II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii), dated 25th May, 1968, may be corrected to read as "No. 5/47/67-LR.II" instead of "No. 5/60/67-LR.II".

## CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES

## INCOME-TAX

*New Delhi, the 26th June 1968*

**S.O. 2517.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 122 of the Income-tax Act 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that

behalf and in supersession of all previous Notifications in this regard the Central Board of Direct Taxes, hereby directs that the Appellate Assistant Commissioners of Income-tax of the Ranges specified in Column I of the Schedule below shall perform their functions in respect of all persons and incomes assessed to Income-tax or Super-tax in the Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts specified in the corresponding entry in column 2 thereof.

## SCHEDULE

Range	Income-tax Wards/Circles and Districts
I	2
A-Range, Bombay	Company Circle II and Film Circle.
B-Range, Bombay	Company Circle I(1), I(2), I(3), I(4), I(5), I(6) & I(7).
C-Range, Bombay	Non-residents Refund Circle, A-IV Ward, Evacuee Circle I, Foreign Section, Bombay Circle & Special Investigation Branch I, II & III.
D-Range, Bombay	Company Circle IV(1), IV(2), IV(3), IV(4), IV(5), IV(6), IV(7), IV(8), IV(9) and Evacuee Circle II.
E-Range, Bombay	D-I and A-I Wards.
F-Range, Bombay	B-II and D-II Wards.
G-Range, Bombay	B-I and A-III Wards.
H-Range, Bombay	Bombay Suburban District (West).
I-Range, Bombay	Hundi Circle, A-II Ward and Special Investigation Branch IV.
J-Range, Bombay	Company Circle III(1), III(2), III(3), III(4), III(5), III(6), III(7), III(12), III(13), III(14) and III(15).
K-Range, Bombay	C-IV Ward and Company Circle III(8), III(9), III(10) and III(11).
L-Range, Bombay	C-I and B-III Wards.
M-Range, Bombay	E-Ward.
N-Range, Bombay	C-III & A-V Wards.
P-Range, Bombay	I. Salaries Branch II.
Q-Range, Bombay	C-Ward and Bombay Suburban District (East).
R-Range, Bombay	C-II Ward, Salaries Branch I, Bombay Refund Circle and Company Circle I(8), I(9), I(10) & I(11).
S-Range, Bombay	Market Ward and Company Circle IV (10), IV(11), IV(12), IV(13), IV(14), IV(15) and IV(16).

Where an Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof stands transferred by this Notification from one Range to another Range, appeals arising out of assessments made in that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of this Notification before the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Range from whom that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred shall from the date this Notification shall take effect be transferred to and dealt with by the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range to whom the said Circle/Ward or District or part thereof is transferred.

This Notification shall take effect from 1st July, 1968.

*Explanatory Note*

The amendments have become necessary on account of the shifting of a part of the Income-tax Offices to Parel and also for equalising the work-load among the AACs.

(The above note does not form part of the Notification, but is intended to be merely clarificatory.)

[No. 56 (F. No. 50/7/68-ITJ).]

S. V. SUBBA RAO, Under Secy.

**MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS***New Delhi, the 5th July 1968*

**S.O. 2518.**—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 1266 dated 25th March 1968 under sub-section (I) of Section 3 of the Petroleum pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the Right of User in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines.

And whereas the competent authority, has under sub-section(I) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification.

Now, whereas, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (I) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from all encumbrances.

**SCHEDULE**

State :—Gujars

Distr. Ahmedabad

Taluka—Gandhinagar

Village	Sl. No.	Hector	Are	P. Are.
Jamiyatpur . . . . .	Village Panahayat Road	0	72	43

[No. 20(3)/67-Prod/IOC(III).]

P. P. GUPTA, Under Secy.

**MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING***New Delhi, the 29th June 1968 .*

**S.O. 2519.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 10 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government is pleased to appoint Shri K. D. Dixit, Station Director, All India Radio, Bangalore on transfer to officiate temporarily as Regional Officer, Central Board of Film Censors, Bombay, with effect from 12th June, 1968, until further orders vice Shri B. K. Nundee, transferred to Calcutta.

[No. F. 2/57/68/F-(C).]

H. B. KANSAL, Under Secy.

**ORDER***New Delhi, the 5th July 1968*

**S.O. 2520.**—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provisions of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the films specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in all its language versions to be of the description specified against it in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.



## THE FIRST SCHEDULE

- (1) Sub-section (4) of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952),
- (2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XI of 1953).

## THE SECOND SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Length 35 mm	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer Whether a scientific film or a film intended for educa- tional purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a docu- mentary film	
1	2	3	4	5	6
I.	Maharashtra News No. 193.	301-00M	Director of Publicity, Govt. of Maharashtra 68-Tardeo Road, Film Centre, Bombay-34.	Film dealing with news and current events (For release in Maharashtra Circuit only).	

[No. F. 24/1/68-FP App. 1270.]

BANU RAM AGGARWAL,  
Under Secy.

## MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AND COMPANY AFFAIRS

(Department of Industrial Development)

New Delhi, the 28th June 1968

S.O. 2521.—Reference erstwhile Ministry of Industry S.O. 4030 dated the 31st December, 1966 constituting a Development Council for Textile Machinery Industry.

2. It has been decided that Mr. W. Luney, Technical Director, M/s. Lagan Jute Machinery Company, Private Limited, 24B, Park Street, Calcutta-16 shall be a Member of the Development Council for Textile Machinery Industry vice Mr. Bryan D. Hall, Managing Director, who has since left India.

[No. 2-9/67-MEL.]

I. V. CHUNKATH, Under Secy.

## औद्योगिक विकास तथा समन्वय-कार्य मंत्रालय

(औद्योगिक विकास विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 28 जून, 1968

एस० प्रो० 2522.—भूतपूर्व उद्योग मंत्रालय के एस० प्रो० 4030 दिनांक 31 दिसम्बर, 1966 के संदर्भ में जो कपड़ा मशीन उद्योग के लिये विकास परिषद बनाने के सम्बन्ध में था।

2. अब यह निश्चय किया गया है कि श्री ब्रियन डी० हाल, प्रबन्ध निदेशक के स्थान पर जो अब भारत से चल गये हैं, श्री डब्ल्यू लूनी, तकनीकी निदेशक, मसर्स लागन जूट मशीनरी

कम्पनी, प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, 24 बी पार्क स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता-16 कपड़ा मशीन उद्योग की विकास परिषद के सदस्य होंगे।

[सं० 2-9/67-एम० ई० आई०.]

आई० बी० चुनकत, प्रवर सचिव।

**(Department of Industrial Development)**

*New Delhi, the 4th July 1968*

**S.O. 2523.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 4 of the Trade and Merchandise Marks Act, 1958 (43 of 1958), the Central Government hereby appoints Dr. S. Vedaraman, Officer on Special Duty in the Office of the Controller General of Patents, Designs and Trade Marks, Bombay as the Controller General of Patents, Designs and Trade Marks, Bombay with effect from the 1st May, 1968 *vice* Dr. A. Jogarao retired.

[No. F. 34(3)/P.P.&D/68.]

HARGUNDAS, Under Secy.

**(Department of Company Affairs)**

**COSTS AND WORKS ACCOUNTANTS**

*New Delhi, the 5th July 1968*

**S.O. 2524.**—In pursuance of clause (b) of Regulation 20 of the Cost and Works Accountants Regulations, 1959, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Department of Company Law Administration) No. S.R.O. 2118 dated the 19th September, 1959, namely:—

In the said Notification, after item 26, the following item shall be inserted, namely:—

“27. A pass in Sections ‘A’ and ‘B’ Examinations of the Institution of Engineers (India)”.

[No. F. 10/17/65-IGC.]

P. B. SAHARYA, Dy. Secy.

**(Department of Industrial Development)**

**ORDERS**

*New Delhi, the 5th July 1968*

**S.O. 2525/IDRA/6/5/68.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951), read with rules 2, 4, and 5 of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints, for a period of two years with effect from the date of this Order, the following persons to be members of the Development Council for the scheduled industries engaged in the manufacture or production of Organic Chemicals, in place of members, appointed under the late Ministry of Industry and Supply Order No. S.O. 2209/IDRA/6/15 dated the 5th July, 1965 as amended from time to time, whose term of office has expired by efflux of time or otherwise:—

*Development Council for Organic Chemical Industries*

1. Shri V. G. Rajadhyaksha, Vice Chairman, Hindustan Lever Limited, Hindustan Lever House, Backbay Reclamation, Bombay-1—*Chairman*.
2. Dr. S. Hussain Zaheer, 87, Lodi Estate, New Delhi.
3. Shri D. M. Trivedi, M/s. Synthetics and Chemicals Limited, Bank of Baroda Annexe Bldg., 3rd Floor, 12, Apollo Street, Bombay-1.

4. Dr. H. N. Patel, M/s. Hylam Limited, Hyderabad.
5. Shri Kalyan Sen, M/s. Allied Resins and Chemicals (Pvt.) Ltd., 10-1, Elgin Road, Calcutta-20.
6. Shri R. K. Parikh, M/s. Hindustan Polymers, C/o. Shree Ram Mills Ltd., Ferguson Road, Lower Parel, Bombay-13.
7. Shri H. C. Bhatwari, M/s. Union Carbide (India) Ltd., 1 and 3, Brabourne Road, Calcutta-1.
8. Shri S. Rameswami, M/s. India Cements Limited, Dhun Building, Mount Road, Madras.
9. Shri Nagin S. Shah, M/s. Pesticides Limited, Hexamer House, 28, Sayani Road, Bombay-28.
10. Shri G. T. Medley, M/s. Tata Fison Limited, Union Bank Building, Dalal Street, Bombay-1.
11. Shri Rajendra Nath Barua, Member (Lok Sabha) 111, South Avenue, New Delhi.
12. Shri B. V. Shah, M/s. Atul Products Ltd., P.O. Atul, Distt. Surat (Gujarat).
13. Dr. B. S. Garud, M/s. Rajasthan Vinyl and Chemical Industries Rani Jhansi Road, New Delhi.
14. Shri Santokh Singh, M/s. National Chemical Industries, 26, Najafgarh Road, New Delhi-15.
15. Shri Raman M. Patel, M/s. The Bhor Industries Ltd., 392, Cadwell Road, Bombay.
16. Shri S. L. Venkateswaran, Chief Project Officer, The Mysore Acetate and Chemical Company Ltd., Mysugar Building, Shri Jayachamaraja Wadiyar Road, Bombay-2.
17. Dr. D. R. Swayampati, Production Directorate, M/s. Suhrid Geigy Ltd., P.O. Box 48, Wadi Wadi, Baroda.
18. Shri H. A. Vora, Vijay Industries, Vijay Trading Corporation, 297-B, Old Post Office Lane, Mangal Das Market, Bombay-2.
19. Dr. C. J. Dadachanji, Administrative Managing Director, M/s. National Organic Chemical Industries Ltd., Sendez House, Dr. Anne Besant Road, Worli, Bombay-18.
20. Shri J. Chandra Mouli, M/s. Jay Kay Plastics, Guntur.
21. Dr. G. S. Kasbekar, Managing Director, M/s. Hindustan Organic Chemical Industries, P.O. Rasayani, Distt. Kolaba (Maharashtra).
22. Shri E.F.F. Davis, Technical Director, Imperial Chemical (India) Private Limited, ICI House, 34, Chowringhee, Calcutta-16.
23. Dr. A. S. Nagarkatti, Sales Manager, Hindustan Steel Limited, 2, Fairlee Place, Calcutta-1.
24. Dr. B. D. Tilak, Director National Chemical Laboratory, Poona.
25. Shri Raja Kulkarni, President, Indian National Chemical Workers Federation Ismail Bldg., G. H. Road, Sewree, Bombay-15.
26. Shri George Chadayamuri, Tharayil Kottaram, P.O. Ennakkad, Via Chennithala, Dt. Alleppey, Kerala State.
27. Shri L. Kumar, Adviser (Petro-Chemicals), Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals, New Delhi.
28. Shri S. Sundararajan, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals, New Delhi.
29. Shri A. P. Sarwan, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Industrial Development and Company Affairs (Department of Industrial Development), New Delhi.
30. Shri Joginder Singh, Industrial Adviser, Dte. General of Technical Development, New Delhi.

2. Shri Joginder Singh, Industrial Adviser, Directorate General of Technical Development, New Delhi, is hereby appointed to carry on the functions of Secretary to the said Development Council.

*New Delhi, the 6th July 1968*

**S.O. 2526/15/IDRA/68.**—Whereas the Central Government is of the opinion that there has been, or is likely to be, a substantial fall in the volume of production in respect of cotton textiles manufactured in the following industrial undertakings, or which, having regard to the economic conditions prevailing, there is no justification:

1. Gobald Textiles Ltd., Tiruppur, Coimbatore Dt.
2. Tiruppur Cotton Spg., and Wvg., Mills, Perumanallur Road, Tiruppur, Coimbatore Distt.
3. Githanjali Mills Ltd., Sri Gomathipuram, Sankaranarayanan Koll, Tirunelveli District.
4. V. R. Textiles, Punjaipullaampatti, (via) Erode, Coimbatore District.
5. Rajah Mills, Madurai.
6. Shri Kothandaram Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Madurai-1.
7. Padma Mills, Kalatatti P.O., Coimbatore-6.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 15 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act 1951 (65 of 1951), the Central Government hereby appoints, for the purpose of making a full and complete investigation into the circumstances of the cases, a body of persons consisting of:

1. Dr. R. Balakrishnan, Chairman.
2. Shri A. V. Ekambaram, Member.
3. Shri R. K. Rakshit, Member-Secretary.

[No. 9(9)Lic.Pol./68.]

**S.O. 2527/15/IDRA/68.**—Whereas the Central Government is of the opinion that there has been, or is likely to be, a substantial fall in the volume of production in respect of cotton textiles manufactured in the following industrial undertakings for which, having regard to the economic conditions prevailing there is no justification:—

1. The Cambodia Mills Ltd., Coimbatore.
2. The Kaleeswarar Mills Ltd., Coimbatore.
3. The Palamalai Ranganathar Mills Ltd., Coimbatore.
4. The Tamilnad Spinning Mills, Tiruppur, Coimbatore Distt.
5. Om Parakash Mills Ltd., Coimbatore.
6. The Kasthuri Mills Ltd., Coimbatore.
7. Pankaja Mills Ltd., Coimbatore.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 15 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951, (65 of 1951), the Central Government hereby appoints, for the purpose of making a full and complete investigation into the circumstances of the cases, a body of persons consisting of:—

1. Dr. H. V. Mehta, Chairman.
2. Shri S. M. Yousuf, Member.
3. Dr. M. S. Srinivasan, Member-Secretary.

[No. 9(9)Lic.Pol./68.]

R. C. SETHI, Under Secy.

## संचार विभाग

### (डाफ-तार बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 4 जुलाई 1968

एस० नो० 2528.—संविधान के परिच्छेद 309 के उपबन्ध में प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करती हुए राष्ट्रपति ने निम्नलिखित नियम बनाए हैं, यथा—

1. संक्षिप्त शीर्षक और प्राक्कथन:—(1) ये नियम डाफ-तार बोर्ड और निदेशालय (हिन्दी सहायक) भर्ती नियम, 1968 कहलाएंगे।

(2) ये नियम सरकारी राजपत्र में प्रकाशित होने की तारीख से लागू होंगे।

2. नियमों का प्रभाव:—ये नियम इन नियमों के साथ संलग्न अनुसूची के कालम 1 में उल्लिखित पद के लिए लागू होंगे।

3. पदों की संख्या, वर्गीकरण और वेतनमान:—पदों की संख्या, उनका वर्गीकरण और उनका वेतनमान उक्त अनुसूची के कालम 2 से 4 में निदिष्ट है।

4. भर्ती का तरीका, आयु सीमा और अन्य योग्यताएं:—उपर्युक्त पदों की नियुक्ति के लिए भर्ती का तरीका, आयुसीमा, योग्यताएं और इससे संबंधित अन्य बातें उसके अनुसार होंगी जैसा कि उपर्युक्त अनुसूची के कालम 5 से 13 तक में दिया गया है बशत कि सीधी भर्ती के लिए वी गई अधिकतम आयुसीमा में, समय-समय पर केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा जारी किये गये आदेशों के अनुसार अनुसूचित जाति और अनुसूचित जनजाति और अन्य विशिष्ट श्रेणी के व्यक्तियों के मामलों में छूट दे दी जाए।

5. अयोग्यताएं:—(क) कोई भी व्यक्ति जिसके एक से अधिक पत्नी जीवित होंगी या जो पत्नी/पति के जीवित रहते हुए ऐसी शादी करेगा जो ऐसे पति/पत्नी के जीवित रहने के कारण अद्वैध मानी जाएगी, इन पदों में से किसी पद पर नियुक्ति के लिए योग्य नहीं समझा जाएगा; और

(ख) कोई भी महिला उम्मीदवार जिसकी शादी, ऐसी शादी के होने के समय उसके पति के पास दूसरी पत्नी जीवित होने के कारण अमान्य समझी गई हो या उसने ऐसे व्यक्ति से शादी की हो जिसके पास शादी करने के समय दूसरी पत्नी जीवित हो, इन पदों में से किसी पद पर नियुक्ति के लिए अयोग्य समझी जाएगी।

बशर्त कि यदि केन्द्रीय सरकार इस बात से आवश्यक हो जाए कि किसी व्यक्ति द्वारा दूसरी शादी करने के विशिष्ट कारण हैं तो उस व्यक्ति पर इस नियम को लागू करने से छूट दी जा सकती है।

6. छूट देने का अधिकार:—जहाँ केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह विचार हो कि ऐसा करना आवश्यक या कालोचित है तो वह लिखित में कारण बतलाकर ग्रीर संधीय लोक सेवा आयोग के परामर्श से किसी भी श्रेणी या वर्ग के व्यक्तियों/पदों के लिए इन नियमों के उपबन्धों के लागू होने से छूट दे सकती है।





क्या सीधी भर्ती के लिए परीक्षा की निर्धारित शैक्षिक योग्यता और आयु पदोन्नति प्राप्त करने वाले उम्मीदवारों के मामले में भी लागू होंगी	अवधि यदि कोई हो	भर्ती का तरीका सीधी भर्ती या पदोन्नति या स्थानान्तरण द्वारा और विभिन्न तरीकों से भर जाने वाले पदों का प्रतिशत	पदोन्नति, स्थानान्तरण द्वारा भर्ती करने के मामले में किस पद-क्रम से पदोन्नति की जाए	यदि विभागीय पदोन्नति समिति हो तो उसका संगठन किस प्रकार से होगा	वे परिस्थितियाँ जिनमें संघीय लोक सेवा आयोग भर्ती के संबंध में परामर्श लिया जाए
--	-----------------	---	---	--	--

8	9	10	11	12	13
---	---	----	----	----	----

लागू नहीं होता	दो वर्ष	सीधी भर्ती के द्वारा	लागू नहीं होता	लागू नहीं होता	नियमानुसार
----------------	---------	----------------------	----------------	----------------	------------

---

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

---

(अन्यथा  
योग्य  
उम्मीद-  
वारों के  
मामले में  
आयोग के  
विवेक से  
ये योग्यताएं  
शिथिलनीय  
हो सकती  
हैं ।)

वांछनीय :

कम से कम  
प्रति मिनट  
25 शब्दों की  
गति से  
हिन्दी टाइप  
जानता  
हो ।

---

8

9

10

11

12

13

[सं० 807-2/68-प्रशासन.]

प्राण नाथ साहू,

सहायक सहायनिदेशक (A)

